



FedEx Express
Customer Support Trace
3875 Airways Boulevard
Module H, 4th Floor
Memphis, TN 38116

U.S. Mail: PO Box 727
Memphis, TN 38194-4643
Telephone: 901-369-3600

March 24,2010

Dear Customer:

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number **869667283530**.

Delivery Information:

Status:	Delivered	Delivery location:	5765F BURKE CENTRE PARKWAY . 22015
Signed for by:	A.TRUEX	Delivery date:	Mar 24, 2010 13:42
Service type:	FedEx 2Day Pak		



Shipping Information:

Tracking number:	869667283530	Ship date:	Mar 22, 2010
		Weight:	3.0 lbs/1.4 kg

Recipient:
NS VIRGINIA THOMAS
LIBERTY CENTRAL INC
5765 F BURKE VTR PKWY STE 302
22015 US

Shipper:
PAUL SHERIDAN
SHERIDAN, PAUL V
22357 COLUMBIA ST
481243431 US

Reference DDN

Thank you for choosing FedEx Express.

FedEx Worldwide Customer Service
1.800.GoFedEx 1.800.463.3339

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@Cornell.edu

21 March 2010

VIA FEDEX AIRBILL # 8696-6728-3530

Ms. Virginia Thomas, President
Liberty Central, Inc., Suite 302
5765-F Burke Center Parkway
Burke, VA 22015
703-691-2012

Subject: My "Simple Question" to Chief Justice John Roberts
Reference 1: LibertyCentral.org
Reference 2: The Moneychangers versus the U.S. Constitution

Dear Ms. Thomas:

You claim concern about "Obama's hard Left agenda." But Obama, like Bush before him, is not beholden to the advertised political agendas of Left or Right. That polemic is diversionary, a ruse staged for mass consumption by and through a corrupt media. Unbeknownst to many, the central issue of the current epoch is not Left vs. Right, but economic manipulation through private control of the money. Despite appearances, the Office of the President serves a *private* agenda that is forcefully maintained by the elite moneychangers. Perhaps you have heard of the latter's deeds at Golgotha, or their similar attempts with Old Hickory?

The enemies of the elite are a free media and the Constitution of the United States. It would appear that you agree. At your LibertyCentral.org website you are quoted:

"New citizen activists have been cast as ignorant, intolerant or a radical fringe by many in the media. The facts are now demonstrating that the mainstream media is wrong and ordinary citizens are up against biased elitists who are not seemingly interested in the truth."

Your website proclaims its main purpose:

"Liberty Central . . . will be a major online resource for informing, motivating and activating everyday citizens around core founding principles of the US Constitution." [[Attachment 1](#)]

It is common for citizens to be slandered as "homegrown terrorists" and [threatened with internment](#) when exercising their Constitutional duties and freedoms. This is especially prevalent when citizens are merely seeking truths ranging from the U.S.S. Liberty to September 11, 2001 to the constitutionality of the Federal Reserve. Therefore it is not surprising that it is moneychangers and their sycophants that focus never-ending assaults on the Constitution; on its words, its intent; its very existence. But unprecedented are assaults upon the Constitution from the Court charged with protecting it, as demonstrated by Chief Justice John Roberts.

I have written to Roberts three times, and called his office twice. He and his staff refuse to answer a "Simple Question." Why? In my first letter of 2 April 2007 I ask him:

*"Your use of the phrase 'constitutional democracy' is confusing. It is my understanding that the Founding Fathers (and the great women that brought them into the world) intended that the United States of America be founded as a constitutional republic. My simple question follows: **Is the United States of America a constitutional democracy or a constitutional republic?**"* [[Attachment 2](#)]

Your website lists "Pillars: Education of core founding principles," as if ordinary citizens are in need of such. But perhaps your pillared education process should begin with Chief Justice Roberts regarding the "core founding principles of the US Constitution." A follow-up with the pandering sycophants of the US Senate, such as Mr. Judd Gregg, should be next. [[Attachment 3](#)]

I [wrote to Senator Carl Levin](#) (D-MI) regarding this quote by the Chief Justice, but Levin also refused to respond. As an American citizen I have learned to not-expect responsiveness from “public servants.” But I also did not expect the response from a high level Levin staffer, which I quoted in my editorial of 17 July 2008:

“I have written to Sen. Carl Levin, D-Michigan, six times about the ‘constitutional democracy’ ploy of Chief Justice Roberts. I have called Levin’s office three times. Recently a Levin senior staffer blurted, ‘Congress is not here to second guess the other branches.’” [\[Attachment 4\]](#)

Obama, Bush, Roberts, Levin, Gregg . . . are all servile to the private agenda of the moneychangers, which has always emphasized destruction of our Constitutional Republic. In the United States, the elite routinely hide above-the-law. In a functioning Constitutional Republic, as explicitly intended by our Founders, no one can. Tea Party Patriots are not Left or Right. The central concern of genuine Tea Party members is assaults on the Constitution, as exemplified/prioritized in my 17 September 2007 letter to Senator Robert Byrd:

“The United States Constitution has been under assault since being signed on September 17, 1787. In my opinion the major milestones of that assault include the following:

1. *The Federal Reserve Act of December 23, 1913,*
2. *The USA PATRIOT Act of October 17, 2001, †*
3. *The announcement of July 19, 2005 by the George Bush appointee to the U.S. Supreme Court, Chief Justice John Roberts, that the United States is no longer a constitutional republic; but is now a ‘constitutional democracy.’”* [\[Attachment 5\]](#)

It would appear that you are concerned with media issues. Crucial to the elitist agenda is controlled and manipulated information, which then forms the basis that targeted citizens are “ignorant.” The Supreme Court’s ruling in the landmark case *National Cable & Telecommunications Assc vs. Brand X* demonstrates the depth and reach of the “mainstream media.” Without a free, truthful media the masses are informed by an elite corporate media, and then expected to opine/behave accordingly. [Benjamin Franklin is turning in his grave!](#)

“Ignorant, intolerant, radical fringe” taxpayers are aware that the Left vs. Right, Liberal vs. Conservative polemic is a fool’s game having no relevance to patriotic/central efforts to protect & preserve our [Constitutional Republic](#); still the envy of the world. But please demand that Chief Justice Roberts engage with civility my Simple Question of 2 April 2007.

Respectfully,

Paul V. Sheridan

Attachments

Enclosures (Addressee only) : “The Fall of the Republic,” and “Camp FEMA” DVD’s

† In the context of #2 listed for Senator Byrd, one example of the casting endured by “homegrown terrorists” is that inflicted upon [Dr. Richard Gage](#). Such came from Mr. Mark Weitzman of the Simon Wiesenthal Center at the behest of Rep. Jane Harman (D-CA), a self-avowed servant of the moneychangers. As an indication of the comparative veracity of Weitzman/Harman vs. Gage, I suggest that you study the hard-right agenda deployed by the Bush/Cheney Administration. Please ponder the sworn testimony at the 911 Commission of Monday 26 January 2004, rendered by White House staffer [Mr. Philip Zelikow from Ms. Susan Ginsburg, regarding paper and a “passerby.”](#)

List of Courtesy Copies *

Senator Carl Levin (D-MI)
269 Russell Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20510-2202
202-224-6221

Rep. Ron Paul (R-TX)
203 Cannon House Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20515-4314
202-225-2831

Rep. Dennis Kucinich (D-OH)
2445 Rayburn House Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20515-3510
202-225-5871

Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street N.E.
Washington, D.C. 20543
202-479-3011

Dr. Richard Gage
Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth
2342 Shattuck Avenue, Suite 189
Berkeley, CA 94704
510-292-4710

Senator Judd Gregg (R-NH)
201 Russell Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510-2904
202-224-3324

Ms. Susan Ginsburg
Migration Policy Institute
1400 16th Street NW, Suite 300
Washington, DC 20036
202-266-1940

Ms. Debbie Lewis
Lewis and Franchi Productions
800 Sycamore Lane
Columbia, MO 65203-2966

Senator Robert Byrd (D-WV)
311 Hart Senate Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20510-4801
202-224-3954

Rep. Alan Grayson (D-FL)
1605 Longworth House Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20515-0908
202-225-2176

Rep. Jane Harman (D-CA)
2400 Rayburn House Office Building,
Washington, D.C. 20515-0536
202-225-8220

Dean Stewart J. Schwab
Cornell Law School
263 Myron Taylor Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853-4901
607-255-3527

Mr. Mark Weitzman
Simon Wiesenthal Center
1399 South Roxbury Drive
Los Angeles, CA 90035
310-553-9036

Alex Jones
PO Box 19549
Austin, TX 78760

Prof. Steven G. Calabresi, Chairman
The Federalist Society
1015 18th Street, NW, Suite 425
Washington, DC 20036
202-822-8138

Additional recipient's information available upon request.

Instant letter in pdf format with active links is available here:

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/VirginiaThomas-1.pdf>

* Via USPS

List of Hyperlinks Referenced/Inserted in Cover Letter

LibertyCentral.org Home Page: <http://libertycentral.org/index.html>

LibertyCentral.org News Washington – February 18, 2010 (Attachment 1): <http://libertycentral.org/news.html>

Camp FEMA: Evidence of Internment Camps in America : <http://www.campfema.com/>

Paul V. Sheridan letter of 2 April 2007 to Chief Justice John Roberts (Attachment 2):

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/RobertsComplete.pdf>

Sen. Judd Gregg Release, 20 Nov 2009: “House Move to Oversee Fed is Political Pandering” (Attachment 3):

http://links.veronicachapman.com/gregg_fed_newsrelease.pdf

Paul V. Sheridan Letter of 7 April 2008 to Senator Carl Levin:

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/SenatorLevinCoverRequestLetter-2.pdf>

Paul V. Sheridan OpEd of 17 July 2008: “The Moneychangers versus the US Constitution” (Attachment 4):

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/MoneychangersversusUSConstitution-ph.pdf>

Paul V. Sheridan letter of 17 September 2007 to Senator Robert Byrd (Attachment 5):

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/Byrd-Roberts-SPOD.pdf>

Constitutional Convention 1787, Mrs. Powel asked Mr. Franklin, "Well, Doctor, what have we got, a republic or a monarchy?" With no hesitation whatsoever, Franklin responded, "**A republic, if you can keep it.**"

http://quotes.liberty-tree.ca/quotes_by/benjamin+franklin

Fall of the Republic: A Film by Alex Jones <http://falloftherepublic.com/>

Dr. Richard Gage Letter of 10 December 2007 to Mr. Mark Weitzman of the Simon Wiesenthal Center:

<http://www.ae911truth.org/info/23>

Paul V. Sheridan letter of 17 September 2007 to Mr. Vincent Bugliosi, Esq. (See page 11):

<http://links.veronicachapman.com/Sheridan2Bugliosi1-fa.pdf>

Ron Paul questions Federal Reserve Ben Bernanke on definition of inflation 07/21/2009:

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=XKSKWSnhCwI&feature=related>

Alan Grayson: "Which Foreigners Got the Fed's \$500,000,000,000?" Bernanke: "I Don't Know."

<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n0NYBTkE1yQ>

The Federalist Society (Video Introduction by Chief Justice John Roberts, features Justice Clarence Thomas)

<http://www.fed-soc.org/aboutus/>

Hyperlinks of Interest

“Justice's wife launches 'tea party' group” (Los Angeles Times)

<http://articles.latimes.com/2010/mar/14/nation/la-na-thomas14-2010mar14>

“FBI says, ‘No hard evidence connecting Osama bin Laden to 9/11’ “ (The Ithaca Journal)

http://links.veronicachapman.com/OBLNoHardEvidence-Ithaca_Journal.pdf

Chief Justice John Roberts Swears in Mr. Henry Paulsen, former CEO of Goldman-Sachs:

http://links.veronicachapman.com/Roberts_swearing_in_Paulson.pdf

Attachment 1



NEWS

Washington – February 18



A poll of random online respondents commissioned by Liberty Central.org and Tea Party Patriots finds that new citizen activists have been misunderstood and mischaracterized by much of the mainstream media. This poll of 2,000 online respondents was conducted from January 12-20, 2010 answered by a nationally representative sample provided by Survey Sampling, Inc.

"New citizen activists have been cast as ignorant, intolerant or a radical fringe by many in the media. The facts are now demonstrating that the mainstream media is wrong and ordinary citizens are up against biased elitists who are not seemingly interested in the truth." said Virginia Thomas, President and CEO of Liberty Central at CPAC. "The heart of America is our everyday citizens whose voices and inspiring stories will help preserve our precious liberty, and this poll shows why new citizen activists are mad, who they are and what they want."

According to Mark Meckler, "This poll is important, because as a grassroots organization Tea Party Patriots must constantly reach out and learn from activists in the field. The genius of the movement is in the field, and this poll provides fundamental information that will guide us in the coming year."

Jenny Beth Martin said, "The core values Tea Party Patriots have been espousing for the last year are fiscal responsibility, constitutionally limited government, and free markets. This poll shows that those core values are not the fringe in America but are rather the center of Americans when it comes to politics."

Some of the key findings of the poll include:

The size of this movement is increasing and it evidences a conservative ascendancy.

New citizen activists are increasingly worried that we are losing the core of what makes America great.

They are more likely to be consumers of mainstream media, but find most credibility in talk radio, the internet and Fox News.

Their key 'gripes' are: (1) aloof politicians, (2) biased media and (3) leftist agenda untethered to core founding principles of our nation.

Their focus is intensely on 2010.

Their demographics are unusually even in terms of age, education and income.

Make Your Donation

Make a real difference and support restoring America's greatness.

[DONATE TODAY](#)

Our Pillars Include:

Education of core founding principles and their application to civic engagement today

Motivation in understanding key issues and hearing success stories

Activism in promoting causes and organizing on issues of interest

Liberty Central is a new 501c4 whose website at www.libertycentral.org will be will officially launched in April 2010 as a major online resource for informing, motivating and activating everyday citizens around core founding principles of the US Constitution. ©
Tea Party Patriots is a 501c4 with over 1,500 chapters nationwide. By organizing rallies, recruiting, motivating and informing citizen activists, and connecting them through www.teapartypatriots.org, Tea Party Patriots operates as a grassroots organization made up of ordinary citizens reclaiming America's founding principles.

Some of the key findings of the poll include:

1. The size of this movement is increasing and it evidences a conservative ascendancy.

While 'just' 38% of Americans identify themselves as conservatives, 58 percent are conservative when judged based on their answers about policy views. By the same measure, just 28 percent are liberal.

While there are currently up to 14 million conservative "activists", there are an additional 43 million conservative "information seekers" who are deciding how active they want to be - - making 57 million people open to "informed activism."

2. More and more worry that we are losing the core of what makes America great.

85% worry that America might be losing the core of what made America great, including 46% of liberals (and 47% of those who voted for President Obama).

3. These new citizen activists are more likely to be consumers of mainstream media, but find most credibility in talk radio, the internet and Fox News.

Conservative activists and information seekers are more likely than average Americans to be viewers of mainstream news sources like the three major networks, PBS, CNN, MSNBC - including 60 Minutes, Frontline & other network specials. So while the liberal Left likes to portray this conservative counterculture as out-of-touch and uninformed, they are actually better informed than most. (Note: an average of 9 percent of Americans watch CNN & MSNBC but 32% of conservative activists watch these.)

80% of conservative activists and 72% of liberals believe the internet has become the best source of information about what is going on in government.

72% of conservative activists and 33% of liberals think talk radio has become the best source of information on what is going on in the government.

4. Their Key 'gripes' are: (1) aloof politicians, (2) biased media and (3) leftist agenda.

64% think politicians listen more to lobbyists than ordinary citizens.

58% find it frustrating that politicians seem not to be listening to citizens.

44% think laws are being written in technical, legal jargon.

59% think the mainstream media is showing favoritism.

53% think the media ignores views of people like them, or treats those with their views unfairly.

5. Focus is intensely on 2010.

While 63% of conservative activists (34% of Republicans) and 50% of conservative 'info-seekers' are passionately focused on the 2010 midterms, just 14 percent of liberals (19% of Democrats) are focused there.

Contact: Amy Feather (703) 537-6877

Attachment 2

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@Cornell.edu

2 April 2007

VIA FEDEX SHIPPER # 8535 4296 8820

Chief Justice John G. Roberts, Jr.
Supreme Court of the United States
One First Street N.E.
Washington, DC 20543
202-479-3211

Subject : A Simple Question

Dear Chief Justice Roberts:

My only experience with the U.S. Supreme Court was my work (in behalf of the plaintiff) in the case of *Baker v General Motors*. Requests for my assistance originated with Professor Lawrence Tribe of Harvard University. Although prior to your appointment to the Court, the ruling was unanimous in favor of the plaintiff. For this and many other efforts (in transportation safety) I was chosen to receive the Civil Justice Foundation award, along with 2008 presidential candidate John Edwards and Father Patrick Doyle.

My question to you is not-nearly as complex as the issues of *Baker v GM*. My question is simple. It is based on a quote you made at the White House subsequent to being nominated by President Bush in July 2005. Your quote follows:

“Before I became a judge, my law practice consisted largely of arguing cases before the Court. That experience left me with a profound appreciation for the role of the Court in our constitutional democracy . . . ”

Your use of the phrase “constitutional democracy” is confusing. It is my understanding that the Founding Fathers (and the great women that brought them into the world) intended that the United States of America be founded as a constitutional *republic*. My simple question follows: Is the United States of America a constitutional democracy or a constitutional republic?

Please feel free to contact me at any time.

Respectfully and sincerely,

Paul V. Sheridan

attachments



THE WHITE HOUSE
PRESIDENT
GEORGE W. BUSH

 [CLICK HERE TO PRINT](#)

For Immediate Release
Office of the Press Secretary
July 19, 2005

President Announces Judge John Roberts as Supreme Court Nominee

The State Floor

 [In Focus: Judicial Nominations](#)
 [en Español](#)

9:02 P.M. EDT



THE PRESIDENT: Good evening. One of the most consequential decisions a President makes is his appointment of a Justice to the Supreme Court. When a President chooses a Justice, he's placing in human hands the authority and majesty of the law. The decisions of the Supreme Court affect the life of every American.

And so a nominee to that Court must be a person of superb credentials and the highest integrity; a person who will faithfully apply the Constitution and keep our founding promise of equal justice under law. I have found such a person in Judge John Roberts.

And tonight, I'm honored to announce that I am nominating him to serve as Associate Justice of the Supreme Court. John Roberts currently serves on one of the most influential courts in the nation, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit.



Before he was a -- before he was a respected judge, he was known as one of the most distinguished and talented attorneys in America. John Roberts has devoted his entire professional life to the cause of justice and is widely admired for his intellect, his sound judgment, and personal decency.

Judge Roberts was born in Buffalo and grew up in Indiana. In high school, he captained his football team, and he worked summers in a steel mill to help pay his way through college. He's an honors graduate of both Harvard College and Harvard Law School. In his career, he has served as a law clerk to Justice William Rehnquist, as an Associate Counsel to President Ronald Reagan, and as the Principal Deputy Solicitor General in the Department of Justice.

In public service and in private practice, he has argued 39 cases before the Supreme Court and earned a reputation as one of the best legal minds of his generation. Judge Roberts has earned the respect of people from both political parties. After he was nominated for the Court of Appeals in 2001, a bipartisan group of more than 150 lawyers sent a letter to the Senate Judiciary Committee. They wrote:

"Although as individuals we reflect a wide spectrum of political party affiliation and ideology, we are united in our belief that John Roberts will be an outstanding federal court appeals judge and should be confirmed by the United States Senate."

The signers of this letter included a former counsel to a Republican President, a former counsel to two Democratic Presidents, and a former -- and former high-ranking Justice Department officials of both parties.

My decision to nominate Judge Roberts to the Supreme Court came after a thorough and deliberative process. My staff and I consulted with more than 70 members of the United States Senate. I received good advice from both Republicans and Democrats. I appreciate the care they took. I'm grateful for their advice. I reviewed the credentials of many well-qualified men and women. I met personally with a number of potential nominees.

In my meetings with Judge Roberts, I have been deeply impressed. He's a man of extraordinary accomplishment and ability. He has a good heart. He has the qualities Americans expect in a judge: experience, wisdom, fairness, and civility. He has profound respect for the rule of law and for the liberties guaranteed to every citizen. He will strictly apply the Constitution and laws, not legislate from the bench.



He's also a man of character who loves his country and his family. I'm pleased that his wife, Jane, and his two beautiful children, Jack and Josie, could be with us tonight. Judge Roberts has served his fellow citizens well, and he is prepared for even greater service.

Under the Constitution, Judge Roberts now goes before the United States Senate for confirmation. I've recently spoken with leaders Senator First and Senator Reid, and with senior members of the Judiciary Committee, Chairman Specter and Senator Leahy. These senators share my goal of a dignified confirmation process that is conducted with fairness and civility. The appointments of the two most recent Justices to the Supreme Court prove that this confirmation can be done in a timely manner.

So I have full confidence that the Senate will rise to the occasion and act promptly on this nomination. It is important that the newest Justice be on the bench when the Supreme Court reconvenes in October. I believe that Democrats and Republicans alike will see the strong qualifications of this fine judge as they did when they confirmed him by unanimous consent to the judicial seat he now holds.

I look forward to the Senate voting to confirm Judge John Roberts as the 109th Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States. Judge Roberts, thank you for agreeing to serve, and congratulations.

JUDGE ROBERTS: Thank you, Mr. President. Thank you. Thank you, very much. It is both an honor and very humbling to be nominated to serve on the Supreme Court.

Before I became a judge, my law practice consisted largely of arguing cases before the Court. That experience left me with a profound appreciation for the role of the Court in our constitutional democracy and a deep regard for the Court as an institution. I always got a lump in my throat whenever I walked up those marble steps to argue a case before the Court, and I don't think it was just from the nerves.

I am very grateful for the confidence the President has shown in nominating me, and I look forward to the next step in the process before the United States Senate.

It's also appropriate for me to acknowledge that I would not be standing here today if it were not for the sacrifice and help of my parents, Jack and Rosemary Roberts, my three sisters, Cathy, Peggy, and Barbara, and of course, my wife, Jane. And I also want to acknowledge my children -- my daughter, Josie, my son, Jack -- who remind me every day why it's so important for us to work to preserve the institutions of our democracy.

Thank you again, very much.

END 9:09 P.M. EDT

Return to this article at:

<http://www.whitehouse.gov/news/releases/2005/07/20050719-7.html>

 [CLICK HERE TO PRINT](#)



Track Shipments

Detailed Results

 [Quick Help](#)

Tracking number	853542968820	Delivered to	Shipping/Receiving
Signed for by	C.BUSH	Service type	FedEx 2Day Envelope
Ship date	Apr 2, 2007		
Delivery date	Apr 4, 2007 9:45 AM		
Status	Delivered		

Date/Time	Activity	Location	Details
Apr 4, 2007	9:45 AM	Delivered	
	9:03 AM	On FedEx vehicle for delivery	WASHINGTON, DC
	6:48 AM	At local FedEx facility	WASHINGTON, DC
Apr 3, 2007	7:04 PM	At dest sort facility	DULLES, VA
	4:12 PM	Departed FedEx location	MEMPHIS, TN
	1:01 PM	Arrived at FedEx location	MEMPHIS, TN
Apr 2, 2007	9:54 PM	Left origin	ROMULUS, MI
	7:53 PM	Picked up	ROMULUS, MI

Subscribe to tracking updates (optional)

Your Name:

Your E-mail Address:

E-mail address

Language

Exception updates

Delivery updates

Select format: HTML Text Wireless

Attachment 3



 NEWS RELEASE
CONTACT: LAENA FALLON
(202) 224-3324 | 201 RUSSELL BUILDING,
WASHINGTON DC | GREGG.SENATE.GOV

For Immediate Release: November 20, 2009

GREGG: HOUSE MOVE TO OVERSEE FED IS POLITICAL PANDERING

WASHINGTON – U.S. Senator Judd Gregg (R-NH), ranking member of the Senate Budget Committee and a member of the Senate Banking Committee, today issued the following statement in reaction to passage, by the House Financial Services Committee, of an amendment by Representative Ron Paul (R-TX) to institute vast new Congressional oversight powers of the Federal Reserve.

Senator Gregg stated, “Yesterday’s passage of the Paul Amendment by the House Financial Services Committee is a dangerous move by this Congress to pander to the populist anger currently directed against our central bank, the Federal Reserve. The Fed’s very public role in combating the financial crisis has heightened interest in and criticism of this vital institution. But, make no mistake; this move to bring the Fed’s conduct of monetary policy under the control of Congress is a grave threat to our economy. Congress has demonstrated time and again its inability to manage the nation’s fiscal policy, illustrated by our staggering national debt in excess of 12 trillion dollars, so how can anyone think that its involvement in monetary policy would be good for the country?”

“We simply must not allow political interests in Congress to compromise the Fed’s historic independence and reduce its broad ability to manage monetary policy for the best interests of our nation. The Fed’s unique role as the lender of last resort is a vital backstop for our country’s economic health, and our monetary system relies on it making critical, timely decisions to maximize sustainable employment and minimize inflation. This important mission would be seriously undermined by the political meddling proposed by the Paul Amendment. The Fed’s decisions are sometimes by necessity unpopular or politically inconvenient. But, the hard lessons of history have taught us what Alexander Hamilton knew at the nation’s founding- America needs a strong, credible, independent central bank. Following President Andrew Jackson’s abolishment of the Second Bank of the United States in 1836, our country suffered debilitating financial crises in nearly every succeeding decade, culminating in the Great Panic of 1907. It was only then that Congress woke up and established the modern Federal Reserve which has done its job of maintaining a sound dollar and controlling inflation well.

“As Congress moves forward on financial regulatory reform, I urge my colleagues to maintain an independent Fed in order to ensure stability and market confidence. I would also caution that we are not yet out of the woods in this economic downturn. The Fed’s more public role may present an easy target today, but maintaining its independence will help our economy stay on the path to recovery and, ultimately, sustainable economic growth.”

Attachment 4

July 17, 2008

The moneychangers versus the U.S. Constitution

Paul V. Sheridan / Guest Column

On June 12, the Supreme Court rescinded Senate Bill 3930, the Military Commissions Act, which had denied the human rights of “enemy combatants.” The justices managed to ascend to their primary duty to protect the Constitution “against all enemies, foreign and domestic.”

The most insidious enemy of the Constitution is the private moneychanger and its misuse of our security assets, military and police agencies. Never have we witnessed such blatant and relentless assaults on our Constitution, and the moneychangers are central to those assaults. The first major congressional assault was the secret Christmas holiday passage of the Federal Reserve Act of 1913. These assaults are directed to serve private interests, not the common citizen.

The U.S./Israel/U.K. concept of “enemy combatants” is another ruse where the so-called “war on terror” is used as a diversion to dismantle the Constitution. But for the moneychangers the priority issue is the currency: who controls it and who uses it. This is not to say that material wealth, such as Middle Eastern oil, is not in play; it is. But all geopolitical roads lead to the esoteric goal: domination of the global currency markets, the mechanism by which material wealth is negotiated. You needn't know Valerie Plame to recognize that the Cheney proclamations about Iraq's WMDs were outright lies. But beyond adolescent partisan politics we find tactics that citizens need to be aware of: The moneychangers have and will continue to plunge us into war over private control of the currency.

The threat posed by Saddam Hussein was his intention to trade Iraq's oil, not in petrodollars, but in Eurodollars. This threat was the real justification to deploy our military. Sales pitches such as “spreading democracy” and the security of Israel were widely promoted by the private news media, but Saddam's Eurodollar threat was the clincher. Similarly, that same media is now claiming that Iran is working on WMDs and poses a threat to nuclear-armed Israel. But also not widely publicized is the Iranian oil trades in Eurodollars. Reduction of the hegemony of the Federal Reserve and petrodollars is regarded as the true threat. Is there a pattern here?

My letters to Congress expose assaults on our Constitution by the moneychanger's recent hire, Chief Justice John Roberts. Specifically, you cannot be a law school graduate, a member of the Bar Association, a judge and a Supreme Court justice but somehow mistakenly claim that the U.S. is a “constitutional democracy.” But that is the exact phrase Roberts spewed as he accepted Bush's nomination. It was no innocent misstatement; Chief Justice Roberts was consciously catering to private interests.

Unlike Roberts, grammar school children know that America is a constitutional republic, not a constitutional democracy. The reason was simple and central to the framers of our Constitutional Republic: No one is above the law! However, in a constitutional democracy the opinion of the masses prevails. In this scenario, the private elite controls the currency but owns the news media. America is very close to that political retrograde wherein the privately owned news media forms opinion and then

opinion polls are deployed to override the rule of law. The sociopolitical advantage for the power brokers is that citizens believe the illusion of meaningful participation. When brainwashed into fear of “enemy combatants” the common citizen will protect powerful criminals from prosecution, accepting the lie that the elite are above the law. The lawlessness of torture at Guantanamo is a symptom of a constitutional democracy, having no direct connection to national security. In a sinister way national security is at risk but through destruction of our constitutional republic and our currency.

Losses in market share to the Eurodollar are to be expected, but the American dollar in freefall is no accident. The moneychangers of the Federal Reserve have orchestrated that freefall to justify their secret plan to subvert Canada, Mexico and the U.S. into their greedy brainchild: the North American Union. Intrinsic to the North American Union is total control of a new proposed currency, the amero. It is well-known in Congress that the amero printing dies are ready. To implement the North American Union, the moneychangers need to dismantle the Constitution, assisted by the Chief Justice Roberts' lie that the U.S. is a constitutional democracy.

I have written to Sen. Carl Levin, D-Michigan, six times about the “constitutional democracy” ploy of Chief Justice Roberts. I have called Levin's office three times. Recently a Levin senior staffer blurted, “Congress is not here to second guess the other branches.” Perhaps this mindless outburst provides insight into the Executive Branch's unhindered, illegal invasion of Iraq. Although Senate 3930 has now been second-guessed, Levin still lacks the courage and integrity to confront the moneychangers — the very traitors who have relentlessly promoted the ruse of “enemy combatants,” that Valerie Plame was expendable, that the Iraq war was justified, and the treasonous lie that America is a constitutional democracy.

Rather than mindlessly submitting to the North American Union and the amero, it is time once again for the common citizen to overturn the tables of the moneychangers.

Attachment 5

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@Cornell.edu

September 17, 2007

Senator Robert C. Byrd (D-WV)
311 Hart Senate Office Building
Washington, D.C. 20510-4801
202-224-3954

Subject: The All-out Assault to Destroy the United States Constitution
Reference: Constitution Day

Dear Senator Byrd:

Thank you for your leadership and patriotism, especially as such relates to Constitution Day.

In some of today's news reports one can detect an urgency regarding the ignorance of our young regarding the history and importance of the United States Constitution. In truth, those that have historically mounted and/or are currently engaged in an all-out assault on that hallowed document, up to and including President Bush, **rely** on the ignorance of the electorate. I do not condone the ignorance of the electorate any more that I condone the assault committed by traitors. I never dreamed, in my wildest allegedly partisan discourse, that I would suspect the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of being a member of the latter.

Enclosed you will find an exact copy of a letter that I wrote to Chief Justice Roberts, which was signed for at the U.S. Supreme Court on April 4, 2007 (I have also enclosed these on cd in case you needed a digital format.). After its receipt I received a telephone call informing me that the Chief Justice had no intention of responding to my simple question:

“Your use of the phrase “constitutional democracy” is confusing. In is my understanding that the Founding Fathers (and the great woman that brought them into the world) intended that the United States of America be founded as a constitutional republic. My simple question follows: Is the United States of America a constitutional democracy or a constitutional republic?”

I was told by the Court clerk that Chief Justice Roberts was “too busy.”

Above you will note that my email is at Cornell University, my alma mater. That is somewhat ironic in the following way: The end of the Revolutionary War was consummated on September 3, 1783 at the signing of the Treaty of Paris in Paris, France. This set the final stages for the United States Constitution. However, after being told that the Chief Justice was “too busy” to respond to my ‘simple question,’ he found time to accompany my alma mater to . . . Paris, France . . . on a taxpayer funded junket, to attend a ceremony that was **once again** dedicated to the celebration of America and American law. Attached to this letter you will find various photographs from the Cornell web site that reports the attendance of the U.S. Supreme Court at the gala in Paris. The links to the Cornell University report are here:

<http://www.news.cornell.edu/stories/July07/lawParisCover.html>

<http://www.news.cornell.edu/stories/July07/lawParisSlides.html>

The United States Constitution has been under assault since being signed on September 17, 1787. In my opinion the major milestones of that assault include the following:

1. The Federal Reserve Act of December 23, 1913,
2. The USA PATRIOT Act of October 17, 2001,
3. The announcement of July 19, 2005 by the George Bush appointee to the U.S. Supreme Court, Chief Justice John Roberts, that the United States is no longer a constitutional republic; but is now a “constitutional democracy.”

As such the source of that assault has been and continues to be private interests, **not** the virtues of the public good (How could it be?). In truth, per Benjamin Franklin, it was not a tea party that led to the revolt by the Colonies, the revolt was caused by the outlawing of *Colonial Script* in 1764, replaced by notes issued by King George’s Bank of England, a private institution. Franklin said:

"The refusal of King George to operate an honest colonial money system which freed the ordinary man from the clutches of the manipulators was probably the prime cause of the Revolution . . . The Colonies would gladly have borne the little tax on tea and other matters, had it not been that England took away from the Colonies their money, which created unemployment and dis-satisfaction."

During a vicious debate at the White House regarding the Constitutionality of the USA PATRIOT Act, it was widely reported that President Bush blurted the following treasonous statement:

"I don't give a goddamn. I'm the President and the Commander in Chief. Do it my way . . . (it's just) a goddamned piece of paper."

Currently we are being pressured by private interests to execute the ‘North American Union’ (NAU). To execute this arrangement, the United States Constitution cannot remain intact; it must be watered down to the point of being mute. That tact was announced by Chief Justice Roberts when he declared the United States is merely a constitutional democracy, **which by-definition replaces the ‘rule of law’ with mobocracy**. Not surprisingly, the control of mass news media, the mechanism by which the opinions/votes of the “mob” are formed, has recently been concentrated into five corporations. The very private interests that convened at Jekyll Island, and then subverted the Constitution by enactment of the Federal Reserve Act, own the media, and are preparing to issue the NAU currency, the “amero.” <http://youtube.com/watch?v=6hiPrsc9g98> . In this context, I am convinced that the recent collapse of the dollar has nothing to do with “market forces.”

I have shared my Chief Justice Roberts letter with Congress, the Bar, the media, and many friends. To-date only one friend assisted by posting it to her website: <http://www.spingola.com:80/Paul%20Sheridan.htm> .

Please cover my letter with a request to Chief Justice John Roberts that he respond to my “simple question.”

Sincerely and respectfully,

Paul V. Sheridan

enclosures/attachments



Cornell Law School

Stewart J. Schwab
The Allan R. Tessler Dean
and Professor of Law

June 22, 2005

Dear Paul,

I was delighted to see that you are to be honored as a Community Champion by the Civil Justice Foundation in Toronto next month. Congratulations!

We are always pleased when an alumnus of Cornell University gets the recognition they richly deserve.

I hope you enjoy the occasion, & I wish you success in your future endeavors.

Sincerely,
Stef Schwab

FedEx Signature Proof of
Delivery (SPOD)

Paul Sheridan to Senator Byrd
Letter of September 17, 2007
(Constitution Day)

Detailed Results

Tracking number	861889082066	Delivered to	Shipping/Receiving
Signed for by	W.GROVE	Service type	Express Saver Envelope
Ship date	Sep 20, 2007	Weight	1.0 lbs.
Delivery date	Sep 24, 2007 10:28 AM		
Status	Delivered		
Signature image available	No		

Date/Time	Activity	Location	Details
Sep 24, 2007	10:28 AM 9:23 AM	Delivered On FedEx vehicle for delivery	WASHINGTON, DC
Sep 22, 2007	7:45 AM 7:45 AM	At local FedEx facility At local FedEx facility	WASHINGTON, DC WASHINGTON, DC Package not due for delivery
Sep 21, 2007	7:27 PM 3:24 PM 8:58 AM	At dest sort facility Departed FedEx location Arrived at FedEx location	DULLES, VA MEMPHIS, TN MEMPHIS, TN
Sep 20, 2007	9:50 PM 7:58 PM	Left origin Picked up	ROMULUS, MI ROMULUS, MI

Subscribe to tracking updates (optional)

Your Name: _____ **Your E-mail Address:** _____

E-mail address**Language****Exception updates****Delivery updates**