

Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 775048212582

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Receptionist/Front Desk

M.GEORGE 4052 BALD CYPRESS WAY Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx 2Day

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday TALLAHASSEE, FL, 32399

> **Delivery date:** Oct 29, 2021 11:58

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 775048212582 Oct 27, 2021

> Weight: 2.0 LB/0.91 KG

Recipient:

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way TALLAHASSEE, FL, US, 32399

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference

Update on Amish COVID / Jummai





Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 775048203371

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Shipping/Receiving

A.LEVINE 404 S MONROE ST 515 KNOTT BUIL Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx 2Day

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday TALLAHASSEE, FL, 32399

> **Delivery date:** Oct 29, 2021 09:48

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 775048203371 Oct 27, 2021

> Weight: 3.0 LB/1.36 KG

Recipient:

Governor Ron DeSantis, Governors Office 400 South Monroe Street The Capitol TALLAHASSEE, FL, US, 32399

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference Ltr to Florida SG



DDM Consulting 22357 Columbia Street Dearborn, MI 48124-3431 313-277-5095 / pvs6@cornell.edu

27 October 2021

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, M.D. Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Subject 1: Mrs. Jummai Nache

Subject 2: New York District Court Ruling – 'Religious Exemption'

Subject 3: The Fraud of Alleged "COVID-19 Vaccine" Safety versus Liability Immunity

Reference 1: My Letter/Enclosure to You of 23 September 2021

Reference 2: Report by Sharyl Attkisson of 10 October 2021: Amish COVID

Reference 3: Your Talk of 25 October 2021 in Clearwater, Florida

Dear Dr. Ladapo:

Shipper records indicate that your office received Reference 1 (Tab 1). Those materials had reviewed with you Subjects 1 and 2. The very positive results and follow-up discussion are now offered under Tab 2.

The Judge David Hurd order of 12 October 2021 is later thoroughly justified in Reference 2:



There are two internet locations where you and your staff can view Ms. Attkisson's excellent report:

https://fullmeasure.news/news/shows/amish-covid https://youtu.be/O1DgWYdukZU

Given Reference 3, I strongly encourage you and your staff to watch that 6 minute report.

Subject 3 and Reference 3 are inseparable . . . you and Governor DeSantis are on the correct track, but the corrupt issue of 'liability immunity' must be a focus in your public efforts; you cannot complain about a lack of integrity/truthfulness about "COVID-19 vaccine" safety, while failing to address its pre-planned underbelly: **LIABILITY IMMUNITY.**

Alternatively, I can assure you that many vested-interest individuals appreciate your overlooking of that underbelly; not vocalizing the inveracity of liability immunity in your public statements:





Under Tab 3 you will find my 'Thank you' letter to Ms. Sharyl Attkisson of FullMeasure, and anchor for the 'Amish COVID' report offered above. Please take a moment to note two items **in my letter**:

Tab 3, the footnote at the bottom of Page 4 which addresses **the bold-faced lie** that FDA granted the Pfizer needle "Full Approval." They most-certainly did not.

Tab 3, at the bottom of Page 5, the 'Stark and Cruel COVID-19 Comparisons' <u>table</u> between what happened and continues to happen to Mrs. Jummai Nache, versus the exact opposite enjoyed/deployed by the God-fearing Amish people of Lancaster, Pennsylvania.

Under instant Tab 4, in the Governor Ron DeSantis courtesy copy, I am forwarding the materials in hard-copy that I had previously sent to you; Reference 1 / Tab 1.

Please do not hesitate to contact me at any time, and thank you *very much* for Reference 3.

Respectfully yours,

Paul V. Sheridan

Courtesy Copy:

Governor Ron DeSantis Office of Governor Ron DeSantis State of Florida - The Capitol 400 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399 850-717-9337

Tab 1

27 October 2021

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, M.D. Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Subject 1: Mrs. Jummai Nache

New York District Court Ruling – 'Religious Exemption' Subject 2:

The Fraud of Alleged "COVID-19 Vaccine" Safety versus Liability Subject 3:

Immunity

Reference 1:

My Letter/Enclosure to You of 23 September 2021

Report by Sharyl Attkisson of 10 October 2021: Amish COVID Reference 2:

Reference 3: Your Talk of 25 October 2021 in Clearwater, Florida

Letter from Paul V. Sheridan to Dr. Ladapo of 24 September 2021

Two pages



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 284098594222

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Mailroom

T.MADISON 4052 BALD CYPRESS WAY Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx Standard Overnight

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday TALLAHASSEE, FL, 32399

> **Delivery date:** Sep 24, 2021 09:49

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 284098594222 Sep 23, 2021

> Weight: 2.0 LB/0.91 KG

Recipient:

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way TALLAHASSEE, FL, US, 32399

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124


Reference

Ltr Judge Hurd Hrg 28Sept21



Dear Dr. Ladapo:

Mrs. Jummai Nache and husband Philip are from Nigeria (Plateau State and Kaduna State respectively). After reading the attached materials; the first portion dedicated to them, please call them 502-379-5428.





Congratulations on your recent appointment as Surgeon General of the great state of Florida.

Paul Sheridan

Tab 2

27 October 2021

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, M.D. Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Subject 1: Mrs. Jummai Nache

New York District Court Ruling – 'Religious Exemption' Subject 2:

The Fraud of Alleged "COVID-19 Vaccine" Safety versus Liability Subject 3:

Immunity

Reference 1:

My Letter/Enclosure to You of 23 September 2021

Report by Sharyl Attkisson of 10 October 2021: Amish COVID Reference 2:

Reference 3: Your Talk of 25 October 2021 in Clearwater, Florida

Judge David Hurd Ruling and Order of 12 October 2021

Twenty-eight pages

Judge David Hurd Ruling/Order of 12 October 2021

In order to understand important wording of the attached Order, please review my words (sent prior) to the court a-top page 10 of my letter (screenshot, see red arrow):

21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd Page 10 of 17

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) : Liars by Commission

One of the diversionary arguments His Honor can expect from Mr. Howard Zucker is his ruse that 'COVID cases are exploding,' and that this "COVID explosion" is his justification for mandating vaccinations, while subverting "sincere religious beliefs." I can assure His Honor that the entire population of New York State is Zucker's true mandatory vaccination target.

The original lawsuit did not include "other individuals." Nowhere else in the entire case docket do you find my thematic extension (which I worded as "entire population").

The original lawsuit represented the Catholic plaintiffs only. I expanded the discussion, and Judge Hurd (a fellow Cornell graduate) entered an order that added "other individuals are entitled."

From my letter emerged the following <u>addition</u> from Judge David Hurd (red underline):

Case 1:21-cv-01009-DNH-ML Document 22 Filed 10/12/21 Page 25 of 27

V. CONCLUSION¹⁰

The question presented by this case is not whether plaintiffs and other individuals are entitled to a religious exemption from the State's workplace vaccination requirement. Instead, the question is whether the State's summary imposition of § 2.61 conflicts with plaintiffs' and other individuals' federally protected right to seek a religious accommodation from their individual employers.

Please see Page 25 of 27 of the attached order.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DR. A., NURSE A., DR. C., NURSE D., DR. F., DR. G., THERAPIST I., DR. J., NURSE J., DR. M., NURSE N., DR. O., DR. P., TECHNOLOGIST P., DR. S., NURSE S., and PHYSICIAN LIAISON X.,

Plaintiffs,

v- 1:21-CV-1009

KATHY HOCHUL, Governor of the State of New York, in her official capacity, DR. HOWARD A. ZUCKER, Commissioner of the New York State Department of Health, in his official capacity, and LETITIA JAMES, Attorney General of the State of New York, in her official capacity,

Defendants.

APPEARANCES:

OF COUNSEL:

THOMAS MORE SOCIETY Attorneys for Plaintiffs 148-29 Cross Island Parkway Whitestone, NY 11357 CHRISTOPHER FERRARA, ESQ.

THOMAS MORE SOCIETY

MICHAEL MCHALE, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10506 Burt Circle, Suite 110 Omaha, NE 68114

HON. LETITIA JAMES New York State Attorney General Attorneys for Defendants The Capitol Albany, NY 12224 KASEY K. HILDONEN, ESQ. RYAN W. HICKEY, ESQ. Ass't Attorneys General

DAVID N. HURD United States District Judge

MEMORANDUM-DECISION and ORDER

I. INTRODUCTION

On August 26, 2021, the New York State Department of Health adopted an emergency regulation that required most healthcare workers to be vaccinated against COVID-19 within the next thirty days. N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 10, § 2.61(c) (2021). As relevant here, § 2.61 eliminated a religious exemption included in the first iteration of this mandate.

On September 13, 2021, seventeen healthcare workers employed in New York State ("plaintiffs"), all of whom object to the existing COVID-19 vaccines on religious grounds, filed this official-capacity 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action against New York State Governor Kathy Hochul ("Hochul"), New York State Health Commissioner Howard A. Zucker ("Zucker"), and New York State Attorney General Letitia James ("James") (collectively "defendants").

Plaintiffs' three-count verified complaint alleges that § 2.61 violates their constitutional rights because it effectively forbids employers from considering workplace religious accommodations under processes guaranteed by federal law. Plaintiffs sought to enjoin defendants from, *inter alia*, enforcing § 2.61 "to the extent it categorically requires health care employers to deny or revoke religious exemptions from COVID-19 vaccination mandates."

On September 14, 2021, the Court issued a temporary restraining order ("TRO") to that effect, *Dr. A. v. Hochul*, 2021 WL 4189533 (N.D.N.Y.), and ordered briefing on whether the TRO should be converted to a preliminary injunction pending a resolution of the merits of plaintiffs' constitutional claims seeking a permanent injunction. The TRO was extended for good cause to this date, October 12, 2021. Dkt. No. 15. The motion has been fully briefed and will be decided on the basis of the submissions without oral argument.

II. BACKGROUND¹

On June 25, 2021, then-Governor Andrew Cuomo rescinded the COVID-19 public health emergency declaration that had been in effect across New York

¹ The facts are taken from plaintiffs' verified complaint, Dkt. No. 1, which is tantamount to an affidavit, see 28 U.S.C. § 1746, and from the declaration of Elizabeth Rausch-Phung, M.D., M.P.H., Dkt. No. 16. A review of these submissions did not reveal any genuine disputes over the essential facts necessary to decide the motion. See, e.g., In re Defend H20 v. Town Bd. of Town of E. Hampton, 147 F. Supp. 3d 80, 96–97 (E.D.N.Y. 2015) (discussing circumstances in which an evidentiary hearing on a preliminary injunction is unnecessary).

State for the previous eighteen months. Compl. ¶ 16; N.Y. Exec. Order 210 (June 24, 2021). As defendants explain, Cuomo's decision was based on "declining hospitalization and [rates of COVID-19] positivity statewide, as well as success in vaccination rates." Rausch-Phung Decl., Dkt. No. 16 ¶ 19.

However, the end of the emergency declaration did not bring an end to defendants' exercise of their emergency powers.² Compl. ¶ 17. On August 18, 2021, Health Commissioner Zucker issued an "Order for Summary Action" that required general hospitals and nursing homes to "continuously require all covered personnel to be fully vaccinated against COVID-19." Ex. B to Compl. at 95–101 (the "August 18 Order"). The August 18 Order included a medical exemption as well as an explicit religious exemption:

Religious exemption. Covered entities shall grant a religious exemption for COVID-19 vaccination for covered personnel if they hold a genuine and sincere religious belief contrary to the practice of immunization, subject to a reasonable accommodation by the employer.

Id.; see also Compl. ¶ 20.

Just five days later, on August 23, 2021, New York State's Public

Health & Health Planning Council (the "Health Council"), acting on a

summary basis pursuant to its statutory authority under the Public Health

 $^{^2\,}$ The New York legislature has curbed the executive's authority to issue new COVID-related orders. See N.Y. Sess. Laws ch. 71 \S 4.

Law, published a proposed emergency regulation that would quickly be adopted as § 2.61.³ *Id.* ¶¶ 4–5. This proposal expanded the vaccination requirement set forth in the August 18 Order to reach personnel in other healthcare settings. Rausch-Phung Decl. ¶ 5. This proposal also eliminated the religious exemption found in Zucker's August 18 Order. *See id.*

On August 26, 2021, three days after its publication, the Health Council adopted § 2.61, which superseded the August 18 Order and became effective immediately. Rausch-Phung Decl. ¶ 5. According to defendants, the Health Council's emergency action was a necessary measure to control the continued spread of Delta and other SARS-CoV-2 variants. *Id.* ¶¶ 8–21.

The seventeen plaintiffs are "practicing doctors, M.D.s fulfilling their residency requirement, nurses, a nuclear medicine technologist, a cognitive rehabilitation therapist and a physician's liaison." Compl. ¶ 36; see also id. ¶¶ 38, 47, 56, 66, 74, 84, 91, 98, 108, 117, 128, 140, 149, 161, 171, 181, 188. They are employed by hospitals, nursing homes, and other New York State entities that are subject to § 2.61. See id. ¶ 10.

Plaintiffs hold the sincere religious belief that they "cannot consent to be inoculated . . . with vaccines that were tested, developed or produced with fetal cell[] line[s] derived from procured abortions." Compl. ¶ 35; see also

 $^{^3}$ August 23 is also the date on which Cuomo resigned from office, Compl. ¶ 14, and when the Food & Drug Administration ("FDA") granted approval to the first COVID-19 vaccine for those age sixteen and older, Rausch-Phung Decl. ¶ 33. Hochul has since assumed the governorship.

id. ¶ 37 (detailing beliefs held in common by plaintiffs). According to plaintiffs, the COVID-19 vaccines that are currently available violate these sincere religious beliefs "because they all employ fetal cell lines derived from procured abortion in testing, development or production." Id. ¶¶ 9, 36; see also Rausch-Phung Decl. ¶¶ 35–45 (acknowledging that fetal cell lines are widely used in pharmaceutical development and were used in the testing and production of current COVID-19 vaccines).

The complaint alleges that each plaintiff has been denied a religious exemption, or had an existing religious exemption revoked, on the basis of their employers' application of § 2.61. Compl. ¶¶ 39–42, 49–51, 58–60, 67–68, 77–78, 85, 92–94, 102, 111–12, 118–23, 129–31, 142–43, 154–56, 162–63, 173–74, 183–85, 189. The complaint further alleges that each plaintiff has been threatened with professional discipline, loss of licensure, admitting privileges, reputational harm, and/or the imminent termination of their employment as a result of their refusal to comply with § 2.61. Id. ¶¶ 43–46, 52–55, 61–65, 69–73, 79–83, 86–90, 95–97, 103–07, 113–16, 124–27, 135–39, 144–48, 157–60, 164–65, 168–70, 176–80, 186–87, 190–91.

III. LEGAL STANDARD

"A preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy never awarded as of right." Winter v. Nat'l Res. Def. Council, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 24 (2008). To win relief, the movant must ordinarily demonstrate: (1) a likelihood of irreparable

harm; (2) either a likelihood of success on the merits or sufficiently serious questions as to the merits plus a balance of hardships that tips decidedly in their favor; (3) that the balance of hardships tips in their favor regardless of the likelihood of success; and (4) that an injunction is in the public interest. *Page v. Cuomo*, 478 F. Supp. 3d 355, 362–63 (N.D.N.Y. 2020).

However, in cases like this one, where the movants seek to enjoin government action taken in the public interest pursuant to a statutory or regulatory scheme, the less rigorous "serious questions" component of this legal standard is unavailable. *Otoe-Missouria Tribe of Indians v. N.Y. State Dep't of Fin. Servs.*, 769 F.3d 105, 110 (2d Cir. 2014). As the Second Circuit has explained, "[t]his exception reflects the idea that governmental policies implemented through legislation or regulations developed through presumptively reasoned democratic processes are entitled to a higher degree of deference and should not be enjoined lightly." *Id.* (citation omitted).

Defendants' opposition memorandum invokes a second exception to the general rules governing preliminary injunctive relief. Defs.' Opp'n, Dkt. No. 16-50 at 4, 11.⁴ As defendants correctly note, a heightened standard can also apply when the requested injunction (1) is "mandatory"; *i.e.*, it will alter the status quo by compelling some positive action; or (2) "will provide the movant

⁴ Pagination corresponds to CM/ECF.

with substantially all of the relief sought and that relief cannot be undone even if the defendant prevails at a trial on the merits." *Page*, 478 F. Supp. 3d at 363. When either condition is met, the movant must make a "clear" or "substantial" showing of a likelihood of success on the merits, and must also make a "strong showing" of irreparable harm. *Id*.

Upon review, however, it is not clear why this heightened requirement should apply to plaintiffs' request for preliminary injunctive relief. "An injunction that enjoins a defendant from enforcing a regulation clearly prohibits, rather than compels, government action by enjoining the future enforcement." *Hund v. Cuomo*, 501 F. Supp. 3d 185, 207 (W.D.N.Y. 2020) (cleaned up). Nor have defendants articulated how this heightened standard has been triggered. *See generally* Defs.' Opp'n. Accordingly, the ordinary rules applicable to "prohibitory" injunctions will be applied. *See, e.g., Hund*, 501 F. Supp. 3d at 207 (rejecting application of heightened standard where plaintiff sought to enjoin application of COVID-19 Executive Order).

IV. DISCUSSION⁵

Since its ratification in 1791, the First Amendment has protected religious practitioners from government action that "discriminates against some or all

⁵ Although Eleventh Amendment immunity sometimes poses a bar to § 1983 relief against state officials, the doctrine of *Ex parte Young* permits an official-capacity claim for prospective injunctive relief to remedy an ongoing violation of federal constitutional law. *See, e.g., Avitabile v. Beach*, 277 F. Supp. 3d 326, 332 (N.D.N.Y. 2017).

religious beliefs or regulates or prohibits conduct because it is undertaken for religious reasons." *Church of the Lukumi Babalu Aye, Inc. v. City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. 520, 532 (1993). And since Congress amended the statute in 1972, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 has explicitly required most employers to reasonably accommodate an employee's religious beliefs absent evidence that doing so would pose an undue hardship. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(j).

Plaintiffs contend that § 2.61 conflicts with these longstanding federal protections. In plaintiffs' view, § 2.61 "flagrantly disallows the religious protections required by federal employment law and specifically deletes its own prior offering of religious exemptions for covered health care workers." Pls.' Mem., Dkt. No. 5-1 at 13. As plaintiffs explain, § 2.61 "forbids each of their employers from even considering requests for religious exemptions notwithstanding the contrary requirements of Title VII." *Id.* at 10 (emphases omitted). According to plaintiffs, "the specific events leading to [§ 2.61's] final version show that it effectively targets religious opposition to the available COVID-19 vaccines." *Id.* at 12.

A. <u>Likelihood of Success & Irreparable Harm</u>⁶

Plaintiffs have asserted § 1983 claims under the Free Exercise Clause, Compl. ¶¶ 192–209, the Supremacy Clause, *id*. ¶¶ 210–19, and the Equal Protection Clause, *id*. ¶¶ 220–37. To warrant preliminary injunctive relief, plaintiffs must show a likelihood of success on the merits of at least one of these constitutional claims. *See*, *e.g.*, *L.V.M. v. Lloyd*, 318 F. Supp. 3d 601, 618 (S.D.N.Y. 2018).⁷

As an initial matter, however, the parties dispute whether a presumption of irreparable harm should attach to these claims. Plaintiffs argue the Supreme Court has recognized that "[t]he loss of First Amendment freedoms, for even minimal periods of time, unquestionably constitutes irreparable injury." Pls.' Mem. at 19 (quoting *Elrod v. Burns*, 427 U.S. 347, 373 (1976) (plurality opinion)). Defendants respond that the Second Circuit has not "consistently presumed irreparable harm in cases involving allegations of the

⁶ Defendants' threshold invocation of *Jacobson v. Massachusetts*, 197 U.S. 11 (1905), *Zucht v. King*, 260 U.S. 174 (1922), and *Prince v. Massachusetts*, 321 U.S. 158 (1944) is misplaced. Defs.' Mem. at 12–13. The Second Circuit has previously relied on this line of precedent to reject a Free Exercise Clause challenge to vaccination requirements for schoolchildren. *Phillips v. City of N.Y.*, 775 F.3d 538 (2d Cir. 2015). And early in the COVID-19 pandemic a number of district courts, including this one, relied on *Jacobson* to reject constitutional challenges to various COVID-19 emergency restrictions. *See, e.g., Page v. Cuomo*, 478 F. Supp. 3d 355 (N.D.N.Y. 2020). More recently, however, the Supreme Court and the Second Circuit have both cautioned that courts should not rely on *Jacobson* or its progeny to grant "special deference to the executive when the exercise of emergency powers infringes on constitutional rights." *Agudath Israel of Am. v. Cuomo*, 983 F.3d 620, 635 (2d Cir. 2020).

 $^{^7}$ Because plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their Free Exercise and Supremacy Clause claims, the Court declines to reach the merits of the Equal Protection Claim. See Defs.' Mem. at 18-19.

abridgement of First Amendment rights" unless the injury flows from "a rule or regulation that directly limits speech." Defs.' Opp'n at 25 (quoting *Bronx Household of Faith v. Bd. of Educ. of City of N.Y.*, 331 F.3d 342, 349 (2d Cir. 2003)).

To be sure, the existing precedent in this area of law is less than perfectly clear. The question seems to arise most frequently in free speech cases, but the Second Circuit has also applied the presumption in other constitutional contexts. N.Y. Progress & Prot. PAC v. Walsh, 733 F.3d 483, 486 (2d Cir. 2013) (identifying dispute over applicability of the presumption).

In short, as the Second Circuit explained in *Jolly v. Coughlin*, 76 F.3d 468 (2d Cir. 1996), the favorable presumption of irreparable harm arises only *after* a plaintiff has shown a likelihood of success on the merits of a constitutional claim. *Id.* at 482 (characterizing the presumption as one that "flows from a violation of constitutional rights").

"Thus, when a plaintiff seeks injunctive relief based on an alleged constitutional deprivation, 'the two prongs of the preliminary injunction threshold merge into one . . . in order to show irreparable injury, plaintiff must show a likelihood of success on the merits." *Page*, 478 F. Supp. 3d at 364 (quoting *Turley v. Guiliani*, 86 F. Supp. 2d 291, 295 (S.D.N.Y. 2000)).

1. The Supremacy Clause & Title VII

The Supremacy Clause declares that federal law "shall be the supreme Law of the Land . . . any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding." U.S. Const. Art. VI, cl 2. Although it "is not the source of any federal rights and certainly does not create a cause of action," *Armstrong v. Exceptional Child Ctr., Inc.*, 575 U.S. 320, 324–25 (2015) (cleaned up), the Supreme Court has long recognized that, "if an individual claims federal law immunizes him from state regulation, the court may issue an injunction upon finding the state regulatory actions preempted," *id.* at 326 (citing *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123, 155–56 (1908)).

Plaintiffs contend that § 2.61 runs afoul of the Supremacy Clause because it is preempted by Title VII, which prohibits discrimination in employment on the basis of "religion." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1)–(2). Under Title VII, "[t]he term 'religion' includes all aspects of religious observance and practice, as well as belief, unless an employer demonstrates that he is unable to reasonably accommodate [] an employee's . . . religious observance or practice without undue hardship on the . . . employer's business." § 2000e(j).

This protection for religious belief means that "[a]n employer may not take an adverse employment action against an applicant or employee because of any aspect of that individual's religious observance or practice unless the employer demonstrates that it is unable to reasonably accommodate that observance or practice without undue hardship." Equal Emp. Opportunity Comm'n v. Abercrombie & Fitch Stores, Inc., 575 U.S. 768, 776 (2015) (Alito, J., concurring). Importantly, however, "Title VII does not demand mere neutrality with regard to religious practices [r]ather, it gives them favored treatment." Id. at 775 (majority opinion). Thus, under certain circumstances, Title VII "requires otherwise-neutral policies to give way to the need for an accommodation." Id.

Plaintiffs argue that § 2.61 conflicts⁸ with Title VII's religious protections because it "conspicuously eliminates (and thereby forbids) any opportunity for covered employees to even attempt to secure a reasonable accommodation for their sincerely held religious objections to the currently available COVID-19 vaccines." Pl.'s Mem. at 7. Defendants respond that there is a distinction between a so-called "religious exemption" and a "reasonable accommodation." Defs.' Opp'n at 15–16. According to defendants, "Title VII does not entitle employees to a religious exemption—it only requires employers to make reasonable accommodation so long as it can be provided by the employer without undue hardship." *Id.* at 16.

⁸ "In general, three types of preemption exist: (1) express preemption, where Congress has expressly preempted local law; (2) field preemption, where Congress has legislated so comprehensively that federal law occupies an entire field of regulation and leaves no room for state law; and (3) conflict preemption, where local law conflicts with federal law such that it is impossible for a party to comply with both or the local law is an obstacle to the achievement of federal objectives." *N.Y. SMS Ltd. P'ship v. Town of Clarkstown*, 612 F.3d 97, 104 (2d Cir. 2010) (cleaned up).

Upon review, plaintiffs have established at this early stage of the litigation that they are likely to succeed on the merits of this constitutional claim. Of course, defendants are correct that there is a substantial difference between a blanket "religious exemption" from a vaccination requirement and the "reasonable accommodation" for religious beliefs imposed on employers by Title VII. But defendants' assertion that § 2.61 "does not implicate Title VII at all" and "does not require covered entities to deny reasonable accommodation requests" fails to grapple with how the broad scope of the Health Council's mandate has allegedly impacted plaintiffs.

The plain terms of § 2.61 do not make room for "covered entities" to consider requests for reasonable religious accommodations. Instead, § 2.61 obligates all covered entities to "continuously require personnel to be fully vaccinated against COVID-19." And "personnel" is defined broadly, sweeping in "all persons employed or affiliated with a covered entity, whether paid or unpaid . . . who engage in activities such that if they were infected with COVID-19, they could potentially expose other covered personnel, patients or residents to the disease."

Plaintiffs allege that some of their employers have revoked existing religious exemptions and/or religious accommodations by pointing to the State's adoption of § 2.61. *See, e.g.*, Compl. $\P\P$ 39–40, 77. Plaintiffs also allege that some of their employers have refused to consider exemption or

accommodation requests because of § 2.61. See, e.g., id. ¶ 49. Although Title VII certainly does not require an employer in all cases to "accommodate" an employee by necessarily granting them an "exemption," the statute does require employers to entertain requests for religious accommodations and to "reasonably" accommodate those requests absent a showing of undue hardship. According to plaintiffs, their employers have refused to engage in that process because of § 2.61.

Defendants also argue that § 2.61's elimination of the religious exemption language found in the August 18 Order brings it more in line with healthcare workplace immunization requirements for measles and rubella. Although fetal cell lines were used in the development of the rubella vaccine, there is no religious exemption in the State regulations that require workers to be immunized against this pathogen. Rausch-Phung Decl. ¶¶ 44, 47–48.

However, this argument conflates the merits of plaintiffs' present constitutional claims with a hypothetical Title VII anti-discrimination claim for a religious accommodation. What matters here is not whether a religious practitioner would win or lose a future Title VII lawsuit. What matters is that plaintiffs' current showing establishes that § 2.61 has effectively foreclosed the pathway to seeking a religious accommodation that is guaranteed under Title VII.

In any event, plaintiffs have not alleged a religious objection to other workplace vaccination requirements. Nor have defendants explained why the State's approach to immunization against measles and rubella necessarily justifies an identical approach to SARS-CoV-2.9 In sum, plaintiffs have established that § 2.61 stands "as an obstacle to the accomplishment and execution of the full purposes and objectives of Congress." *California Fed.* Sav. & Loan Ass'n v. Guerra, 479 U.S. 272, 281 (1987). Accordingly, plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of this claim.

2. The First Amendment & The Free Exercise Clause

The First Amendment provides that "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof." U.S. Const. amend. I. The "free exercise" component of this First Amendment guarantee has been incorporated against the States through the Fourteenth Amendment. *Cantwell v. Connecticut*, 310 U.S. 296, 303 (1940).

"The free exercise of religion means, first and foremost, the right to believe and profess whatever religious doctrine one desires." *Emp. Div., Dep't of*

⁹ The State's healthcare regulatory framework is not monolithic when it comes to workplace immunization requirements. Although it may not be an explicit "religious exemption," the relevant regulation for "influenza season" only requires covered entities to "ensure that all personnel not vaccinated against influenza for the current influenza season wear a surgical or procedure mask while in areas where patients or residents are typically present." N.Y. COMP. CODES R. & REGS. tit. 10, § 2.59(d) (2014). It may be true that a hypothetical healthcare worker who sought a Title VII religious accommodation from immunization against rubella would be rebuffed by their employer on the basis of "undue hardship." But the same hypothetical worker who objected on religious grounds to vaccination against influenza—a respiratory disease broadly similar to COVID-19—could be "reasonably accommodated" with a surgical mask.

Hum. Res. of Or. v. Smith, 494 U.S. 872, 877 (1990). Accordingly, "religious beliefs need not be acceptable, logical, consistent, or comprehensible to others in order to merit First Amendment protection." Thomas, 450 U.S. at 714.

To that end, the Free Exercise Clause "protect[s] religious observers against unequal treatment" and against "laws that impose special disabilities on the basis of religious status." *Espinoza v. Mont. Dep't of Revenue*, 140 S. Ct. 2246, 2254 (2020) (citation omitted). However, the Free Exercise Clause "does not relieve an individual of the obligation to comply with a valid and neutral law of general applicability on the ground that the law proscribes (or prescribes) conduct that his religion prescribes (or proscribes)." *Smith*, 763 F.3d at 877 (citation omitted).

A neutral and generally applicable law is subject to rational basis review. Agudath Israel of Am. v. Cuomo, 983 F.3d 620, 631 (2d Cir. 2020). Under that standard, the law "is presumed to be valid and will be sustained if the [burden imposed] by the statute is rationally related to a legitimate state interest." Cent. Rabbinical Cong. of U.S. & Can. v. N.Y. City Dep't of Health & Mental Hygiene, 763 F.3d 183, 186 n.2 (2d Cir. 2014) (citation omitted). "A law burdening religious conduct that is not both neutral and generally applicable, however, is subject to strict scrutiny." Id. at 193. Under that standard, the government must establish that the law is "justified by a compelling interest" and "narrowly tailored to advance that

interest." *Id.* at 186 n.2 (citation omitted). "Neutrality and general applicability are interrelated, and . . . failure to satisfy one requirement is a likely indication that the other has not been satisfied." *City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. at 531.

A law is not neutral if it is "specifically directed at [a] religious practice." Cent. Rabbinical Cong., 763 F.3d at 193 (citation omitted). To determine whether a law is neutral, the court begins with the text, "for the minimum requirement of neutrality is that a law not discriminate on its face." City of Hialeah, 508 U.S. at 533. A law discriminates on its face "if it refers to a religious practice without a secular meaning discernable from the language or context." Id. Importantly, though, even a facially neutral law may trigger heightened scrutiny if it "targets religious conduct for distinctive treatment." Id. at 534. Likewise, "[t]he general applicability requirement prohibits the government from 'in a selective manner impos[ing] burdens only on conduct motivated by religious belief." Cent. Rabbinical Cong., 763 F.3d at 196 (citation omitted). Although "[a]ll laws are selective to some extent, . . . categories of selection are of paramount concern when a law has the incidental effect of burdening religious practice." Id. at 197 (citation omitted).

Plaintiffs contend that § 2.61 "effectively targets religious opposition to the available COVID-19 vaccines." Pls.' Mem. at 12. In plaintiffs' view, the

vaccination requirement "flagrantly disallows the religious protections required by federal employment law and specifically deletes its own prior offering of religious exemptions for covered health care workers." *Id.* at 13. Defendants respond that § 2.61 is facially neutral because it "contains no reference to religion" and "applies to every employee of the covered entities." Defs.' Opp'n at 17. According to defendants, the "object" of the vaccination requirement "is to protect public health and safety by reducing the incidence of COVID-19." *Id.* at 18.

Upon review, plaintiffs have established at this early stage of the litigation that § 2.61 is not a neutral law. As the Supreme Court has explained, "the historical background of the decision under challenge, the specific series of events leading to the enactment or official policy in question, and the legislative or administrative history" are all relevant circumstantial evidence in detecting a lack of neutrality. *City of Hialeah*, 508 U.S. at 540.

Zucker's August 18 Order, which was imposed on a summary basis, included medical and religious exemptions to COVID-19 vaccination. The Health Council's adoption of § 2.61, which was imposed on a similar summary basis just eight days later, amended the vaccination mandate to eliminate the religious exemption. This intentional change in language is the kind of "religious gerrymander" that triggers heightened scrutiny.

Plaintiffs have also established at this early stage of the litigation that § 2.61 is not generally applicable. A law is "not generally applicable if it is substantially underinclusive such that it regulates religious conduct while failing to regulate secular conduct that is at least as harmful to the legitimate government interests purportedly justifying it." *Cent. Rabbinical Cong.*, 763 F.3d at 197; *see also Blackhawk v. Pennsylvania*, 381 F.3d 202, 209 (3d Cir. 2004) ("A law fails the general applicability requirement if it burdens a category of religiously motivated conduct but exempts or does not reach a substantial category of conduct that is not religiously motivated and that undermines the purposes of the law to at least the same degree as the covered conduct that is religiously motivated.").

Section 2.61's regulatory impact statement claims that "[u]nvaccinated personnel in [healthcare] settings have an unacceptably high risk of both acquiring COVID-19 and transmitting the virus to colleagues and/or vulnerable patients or residents, exacerbating staffing shortages, and causing unacceptably high risk of complications." Ex. A to Compl. at 78.

But as plaintiffs point out, the medical exemption that remains in the current iteration of the State's vaccine mandate expressly accepts this "unacceptable" risk for a non-zero segment of healthcare workers. Pls.' Mem. at 13. Although defendants claim that they expect the number of people in need of a medical exemption to be low, Rausch-Phung Decl. ¶¶ 65–66, the

Supreme Court has recently emphasized that "[c]omparability is concerned with the risks various activities pose," not the reasons for which they are undertaken. *Tandon v. Newsom*, 141 S. Ct. 1294, 1297 (2021). Thus, absent further factual development the Court cannot conclude that § 2.61 satisfies the requirement of "general applicability."

Finally, plaintiffs have established at this early stage of the litigation that § 2.61 is likely to fail strict scrutiny. To satisfy strict scrutiny, defendants must show that the challenged law advances "interests of the highest order" and is "narrowly tailored" to achieve those interests. Fulton v. City of Phila., Pa., 141 S. Ct. 1868, 1881 (2021) (quoting City of Hialeah, 508 U.S. at 546). "Put another way, so long as the government can achieve its interests in a manner that does not burden religion, it must do so." Id.

Defendants have satisfied the first component of this analysis. *Roman Catholic Diocese of Brooklyn v. Cuomo*, 141 S. Ct. 63, 67 (2020) ("Stemming the spread of COVID-19 is unquestionably a compelling interest."). However, they have failed to establish that § 2.61—and in particular, its intentional omission of a religious exemption—is narrowly tailored to address that public health concern.

"Narrow tailoring requires the government to demonstrate that a policy is the 'least restrictive means' of achieving its objective." *Agudath Israel of Am.*, 983 F.3d at 633 (quoting *Thomas*, 450 U.S. at 718). The asserted justification

"must be genuine, not hypothesized or invented *post hoc* in response to litigation." *United States v. Virginia*, 518 U.S. 515, 533 (1996). "And the government must show that it 'seriously undertook to address the problem with less intrusive tools readily available to it." *Agudath Israel of Am.*, 983 F.3d at 633 (quoting *McCullen v. Coakley*, 573 U.S. 464, 494 (2014)).

Defendants have not made this showing. According to the "alternative approaches" component of § 2.61's regulatory impact statement, the Health Council considered two alternatives: (1) daily testing before each shift; and (2) wearing appropriately fitted N95 face masks at all times. Ex. A to Compl. at 81; see also Defs.' Opp'n at 21.

However, there is no adequate explanation from defendants about why the "reasonable accommodation" that must be extended to a medically exempt healthcare worker under § 2.61 could not similarly be extended to a healthcare worker with a sincere religious objection. *Fulton*, 141 S. Ct. at 1881 (cautioning courts to "scrutinize[] the asserted harm of granting specific exemptions to particular religious claimants").

Nor have defendants explained why they chose to depart from similar healthcare vaccination mandates issued in other jurisdictions that include the kind of religious exemption that was originally present in the August 18 Order. Pl.'s Mem. at 17 (citing Illinois and California COVID-19 regulations that include religious exemption language); see also Roman Catholic Diocese

of Brooklyn, 141 S. Ct. at 67 (finding tailoring requirement unsatisfied where, inter alia, the challenged restriction was "much tighter than those adopted by many other jurisdictions hard-hit by the pandemic"); Mast v. Fillmore Cty., Minn., 141 S. Ct. 2430, 2433 (2021) (Gorsuch, J., concurring) ("It is the government's burden to show this alternative won't work; not the [challenger's] to show it will.").

In sum, "[t]o meet the requirement of narrow tailoring, the government must demonstrate that alternative measures imposing lesser burdens on religious liberty would fail to achieve the government's interests, not simply that the chosen route was easier." *Agudath Israel of Am.*, 983 F.3d at 633 (cleaned up). Defendants have not done so. Accordingly, plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of this constitutional claim.

B. The Balance of Hardships & The Public Interest

Plaintiffs have also satisfied the remaining elements necessary to warrant preliminary injunctive relief. Where, as here, a governmental defendant is the party opposing relief, "balancing of the equities merges into [the court's] consideration of the public interest." *SAM Party of N.Y. v. Kosinski*, 987 F.3d 267, 278 (2d Cir. 2021).

<u>First</u>, the public interest lies with enforcing the guarantees enshrined in the Constitution and federal anti-discrimination law. *See, e.g., Paykina ex rel. E.L. v. Lewin*, 387 F. Supp. 3d 225, 245 (N.D.N.Y. 2019) ("The public

interest generally supports granting a preliminary injunction where . . . a plaintiff has established a clear likelihood of success on the merits and made a showing of irreparable harm.").

Second, the balance of hardships clearly favors plaintiffs. Defendants argue that a preliminary injunction will hinder its "ongoing efforts to curb the spread" of SARS-CoV-2. Defs.' Opp'n at 26. According to defendants, the spread of SARS-CoV-2 among health care workers "imposes staffing burdens on already strained hospital and healthcare operations due to quarantining requirements and potential length of illness when healthcare workers become infected." *Id.* at 26–27.

However, defendants acknowledge that § 2.61 still includes a medical exemption that requires covered entities to make a "reasonable accommodation." As plaintiffs point out, defendants have not shown that granting the same benefit to religious practitioners that was originally included in the August 18 Order "would impose any more harm—especially when Plaintiffs have been on the front lines of stopping COVID for the past 18 months while donning PPE and exercising other proper protocols in effectively slowing the spread of the disease." Pls.' Mem. at 20.

V. CONCLUSION¹⁰

The question presented by this case is not whether plaintiffs and other individuals are entitled to a religious exemption from the State's workplace vaccination requirement. Instead, the question is whether the State's summary imposition of § 2.61 conflicts with plaintiffs' and other individuals' federally protected right to seek a religious accommodation from their individual employers.

The answer to this question is clearly yes. Plaintiffs have established that § 2.61 conflicts with longstanding federal protections for religious beliefs and that they and others will suffer irreparable harm in the absence of injunctive relief. *Tandon*, 141 S. Ct. at 1297 (finding irreparable harm from loss of free exercise rights for even minimal periods of time). Plaintiffs have also satisfied the remaining elements necessary to obtain preliminary relief.

To reiterate, these conclusions have nothing to do with how an individual employer should handle an individual employee's religious objection to a workplace vaccination requirement. But they have everything to do with the proper division of federal and state power. *Cf. Arizona v. United States*, 567 U.S. 387, 398 (2012) ("Federalism, central to the constitutional design, adopts

¹⁰ The bond requirement is waived. See FED. R. CIV. P. 65(c).

the principle that both the National and State Governments have elements of sovereignty the other is bound to respect.").

In granting a preliminary injunction, the Court recognizes that it may not have the final word. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1), "Congress permits, as an exception to the general rule, an immediate appeal from an interlocutory order that either grants or denies a preliminary injunction." *N.Y. State Nat'l Org. for Women v. Terry*, 886 F.2d 1339, 1350 (2d Cir. 1989). Because the issues in dispute are of exceptional importance to the health and the religious freedoms of our citizens, an appeal may very well be appropriate.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED that

- 1. Plaintiffs' motion to proceed pseudonymously is GRANTED¹¹;
- 2. Plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction is GRANTED;
- 3. Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, attorneys and successors in office, and all other persons in active concert or participation with them, are preliminarily ENJOINED from enforcing, threatening to enforce, attempting to enforce, or otherwise requiring compliance with § 2.61 such that:

¹¹ Plaintiffs requested leave to proceed pseudonymously. Compl. ¶¶ 26–34. Defendants do not oppose. Defs.' Opp'n at 3 n.2.

- (a) Section 2.61 is suspended in operation to the extent that the Department of Health is barred from enforcing any requirement that employers deny religious exemptions from COVID-19 vaccination or that they revoke any exemptions employers already granted before § 2.61 issued;
- (b) The Department of Health is barred from interfering in any way with the granting of religious exemptions from COVID-19 vaccination going forward, or with the operation of exemptions already granted;

and

(c) The Department of Health is barred from taking any action, disciplinary or otherwise, against the licensure, certification, residency, admitting privileges or other professional status or qualification of any of the plaintiffs on account of their seeking or having obtained a religious exemption from mandatory COVID-19 vaccination.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 12, 2021 Utica, New York.

David N. Hurd U.S. District Judge

Tab 3

27 October 2021

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, M.D. Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Subject 1: Mrs. Jummai Nache

Subject 2: New York District Court Ruling – 'Religious Exemption'

Subject 3: The Fraud of Alleged "COVID-19 Vaccine" Safety versus Liability

Immunity

Reference 1: My Letter/Enclosure to You of 23 September 2021

Reference 2: Report by Sharyl Attkisson of 10 October 2021: Amish COVID

Reference 3: Your Talk of 25 October 2021 in Clearwater, Florida

Letter from Paul V. Sheridan to Sharyl Attkisson (of Full-Measure 'AMISH COVID' report) - 22 October 2021

Eight pages



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 775007797493

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status:

Signed for by: Signature release on file

Service type: FedEx Standard Overnight

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday

HUNT VALLEY, MD, 21030

10706 BEAVER DAM RD

Delivery date: Oct 25, 2021 12:50

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 775007797493 Oct 23, 2021

> Weight: 3.0 LB/1.36 KG

Recipient:

Ms. Sharyl Attkisson, Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. 10706 Beaver Dam Road Full Measure HUNT VALLEY, MD, US, 21030

Delivered To:

Delivery Location:

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference

Ltr to Judg Hurd Report Requst

Proof-of-delivery details appear below; however, no signature is available for this FedEx Express shipment because a signature was not required.



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 775007806150

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Residence

115 S GROFFDALE RD Signed for by: Signature not required **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx 2Day

Deliver Weekday; Residential Delivery Special Handling: LEOLA, PA, 17540

> **Delivery date:** Oct 26, 2021 12:19

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 775007806150 Oct 23, 2021

> Weight: 3.0 LB/1.36 KG

Recipient:

Mr. Calvin Lapp, 115 South Groffdale Road LEOLA, PA, US, 17540

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Ltr Judge Hurd Report Request Reference

> Proof-of-delivery details appear below; however, no signature is available for this FedEx Express shipment because a signature was not required.

DDM Consulting 22357 Columbia Street Dearborn, MI 48124 313-277-5095 pvs6@cornell.edu

22 October 2021

Via FedEx AirBill 7750-0779-7493

Ms. Sharyl Attkisson Sinclair Broadcast Group, Inc. 10706 Beaver Dam Road Hunt Valley, Maryland 21030 410-568-1500

Subject: The Stark and Cruel COVID-19 Comparisons:

Cornell University et al. versus The Amish

Dear Ms. Attkisson:

I cannot thank you enough for your excellent efforts, and recent FullMeasure report with Mr. Calvin Lapp (and Professor Steven Nolt):



Discussion

I have been involved in the so-called COVID-19 pandemic from the very beginning, for reasons both obvious and subtle. As a result, one recent accomplishment included my input to a New York state based lawsuit involving 'religious exemptions' from mandatory COVID vaccinations:

More precisely the New York governor officially attempted to coyly eliminate that option from the health order for state workers; initially victimizing and focused upon health care workers. My central point/input was that the true target of that omission was <u>all</u> New York residents.

Similar to the staff and students of my alma mater (Cornell), if the option of 'religious exemption' had been available and an issue for the Amish people of Lancaster, Pennsylvania, (versus a government agency or a university), it is likely that they too would have been rebuffed:

Under **Tab 3** of the enclosed letter to Judge Hurd, you will note a recent article written by a Cornell student. He had applied for 'religious exemption' under Cornell's mandatory vaccination coercions. As noted on the last page of *TheCollegeFix* article, he was denied basic Constitution rights. As I stated to Judge Hurd on Page 6 of 17:

"To the best of my knowledge, not one request for 'religious exemption' was honored by (Cornell University President) Pollack."

Request

I need your journalistic help with a similar coercion; one that provides a 'stark and cruel COVID-19 comparison.' My request proposes a report that contrasts the outcomes that relate directly to your 10 October 2021 Amish COVID report

My call for assistance involves Mrs. Jummai Nache, wife and mother of four daughters:





I direct your attention to Page 11 of my cover letter to Judge Hurd; the Timeline developed by husband Philip and I regarding the results of a 'mandatory vaccination' coercion enforced upon Jummai, due to her employment as a nurse within the University of Minnesota hospital system.

On Page 2 above, the *before* photo was taken in December 2020, and the *after* photo depicts what occurred shortly after Jummai was injected with the needle provided by **The Vaccine King**:



But how does my Request relate to the Subject, and university campuses like Cornell? Earlier today, I had a telephone conversation with 'Amish COVID' interviewee Mr. Calvin Lapp, wherein I explained the connections . . .

The insidious relationship between Pfizer and national universities? Closed-door conspiratorial relationships present the administrative template for what happened to Mrs. Jummai Nache . . . versus her former employer, the University of Minnesota.

Regarding New York and Cornell, **the closed-door state-level orchestration of 'mandatory vaccinations'** was facilitated by the 'New York Forward Advisory Board.' This Board was formed immediately after, **and in lockstep with**, the President Trump national emergency declaration of March 13, 2020. Reporting to former Governor Andrew Cuomo, the governor who had more "COVID deaths" in his nursing homes *alone* than many countries had in-total; this closed-door Board had the following key and <u>original members</u>:

Mr. Albert Bourla, CEO of Pfizer
Ms. Martha Pollack, current President of Cornell University






I go into great detail about that little-known Board under Tab1, on Page 20/21 of 39: *



That is, the coercion of 'mandatory vaccination' has nothing to do with health, and everything to do with marketing and, relative to the Subject, university fund-raising.

^{*} In the upper right of the above screenshot you note their parroting of **the bold-faced lie** that the Pfizer needle has been given "full approval" by the FDA . . . it has not.

Jummai, and people similarly victimized, need our help, especially in the context of knowing that news reports of their Pfizer "vaccine" induced suffering will be of great benefit in the avoidance of similar future outcomes; a conversation I have already had with her and husband Philip:




A follow-up story could be one that contrasts Anthony Fauci / Albert Bourla and their inputs to the outcome inflicted upon Mrs. Jummai Nache, versus the outcome **enjoyed by the Amish** . . . a contrast of polar opposites; a contrast in **all** dimensions, and at all levels; samples include:

COVID-19 Inputs	Mrs. Jummai Nache	The Amish
Social Distancing	mandatory	none
Continuous Testing	mandatory	none
Face Masks	mandatory	none
Community "lockdowns"	mandatory	none
Pfizer "vaccination"	mandatory	none
Outcome of above Inputs from		Rejection of the fraud
so-called "health authorities," and	Horrific maiming and amputations;	of a "New Normal,"
places like Cornell University	complete loss of health and	ongoing personal
which refer to the above inputs	livelihood.	health and
as the "New Normal"		community vitality

Conclusion

Screenshot of ongoing Cornell University homepage:



Again, the stark contrast of what actually happened in Lancaster, Pennsylvania versus the "New Normal," which is what happened to and horribly afflicts Mrs. Jummai Nache and many others, provides your viewers with polar opposite approaches and polar opposite results . . .

Please feel free to contact me at any time,

Respectfully yours,

Paul V. Sheridan

Enclosure/Attachment

cc: Mr. Calvin Lapp (hard copy)
Professor Steven Nolt (by email)

Tab 4

27 October 2021

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, M.D. Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Subject 1: Mrs. Jummai Nache

Subject 2: New York District Court Ruling – 'Religious Exemption'

Subject 3: The Fraud of Alleged "COVID-19 Vaccine" Safety versus Liability

Immunity

Reference 1: My Letter/Enclosure to You of 23 September 2021

Reference 2: Report by Sharyl Attkisson of 10 October 2021: Amish COVID

Reference 3: Your Talk of 25 October 2021 in Clearwater, Florida

Letter from Paul V. Sheridan to Judge David N. Hurd of 22 September 2021

One-Hundred-Four pages



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 283988109829

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Receptionist/Front Desk

J.EVANS 10 BROAD ST Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx Standard Overnight

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday UTICA, NY, 13501

> **Delivery date:** Sep 22, 2021 09:51

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 283988109829 Sep 21, 2021

> Weight: 1.8 LB/0.82 KG

Recipient:

Judge Daivid N. Hurd, Alexander Pirnie Federal Bldg 10 Broad Street Northern District Court of NY UTICA, NY, US, 13501

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference

Show Cause Hearing 28Sept2021



22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095 / pvs6@cornell.edu

21 September 2021

VIA FEDEX AIRBILL 2839-8810-9829

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 / 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

Preliminary Courtesy Copy List

Mrs. Jummai Nache/ Mr. Philip Nache Hope of Nations Gospel Church 1021 Hennepin Ave # 2 Minneapolis, MN 55403 502-379-5428 Mr. Christopher A. Ferrara, Esq. Thomas More Society 148-29 Cross Island Pkwy Whitestone, Queens, NY 11357 718-357-1040 By email

3 Hamaspik Way Monroe, NY 10950 845-782-0000 Shipper tracking 284044618565

Dr. Vladimir Zelenko *

Suite 011

By email

Governor Kathy Hochul *
Governor of New York State
NYS State Capitol Bldg.
Albany, NY 12224
518-474-8390
Shipper tracking 284044386836

Mr. Ravi Batra, Esq. Law Firm of Ravi Batra, PC 142 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10016 212-545-1993

Mr. Peter Breen Thomas More Society 309 W. Washington, Ste. 1250 Chicago, IL 60606 312-782-1680 By email

Stephen M. Crampton Thomas More Society 309 W. Washington, Ste. 1250 Chicago, IL 60606 662-255-9438 By email Dr. Anthony S. Fauci *
Director NIAID
5601 Fishers Lane
Rockville, MD 20852
301-496-2263
Shipper tracking 284043990060

Governor Tim Walz *
130 State Capitol
75 Rev Dr. ML King Jr. Blvd.
St. Paul, MN 55155
651-201-3400
Shipper tracking 284044944001

Ms. Martha E. Pollack *
Cornell University
300 Day Hall
Ithaca, NY 14853

Mr. Michael G. McHale, Esq. Thomas More Society 10506 Burt Circle, Ste. 110 Omaha, NE 68114

Mr. Tucker Carlson *
Fox News Washington
400 North Capitol St NW
Washington, DC 20001

607-255-5201 Shipper tracking 284043811835 402-501-8586 By email

By email

202-824-6300 Shipper tracking 284044228679

http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2judgehurd-1-21september2021.pdf

^{*} Cover letter only, complete version available here:

22357 Columbia Street Dearborn, MI 48124-3431 313-277-5095 pvs6@cornell.edu

21 September 2021

FEDEX AIRBILL 2839-8810-9829

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

Dear Judge Hurd:

Before we review the Subjects, note the following photograph . . . please take a good long look:



Mr. Philip Nache has detailed for me the horrors that have befallen his lovely wife Mrs. Jummai Nache. Philip stated that few are "standing in the gap" in her behalf. **Medical Assistant Jummai** and Philip **prior** to a "mandatory vaccination," coerced *upon* a devoted Christian mother of four daughters by hospital administrators; so-called "health authorities" at the University of Minnesota:



Fishing for the exact opposite, note the "horror" headlines of Monday September 13 here in Michigan:



Unexpected?! Subject defendants and their "health authorities" are fully aware of, and responsible for these horror stories . . . they divert from the horror by use of their coy vernacular, "adverse events."

On Page 31 of Reference 1, I put the current president of my alma mater <u>on-notice</u> (screenshot):

Is the vaccine safe?

All data currently available indicate that the vaccines are safe. Thus far, no serious long-term side effects have occurred. Some individuals do experience minor side effects that reflect the body's immune response beginning; a tiny number of individuals have experienced allergic reactions and have required immediate and successful treatment.

All current data? No serious long-term side effects? Minor side effects?! A tiny number!? As you are fully aware, your so-called "approved vaccines," that you have injected into the arms of captured, unsuspecting but **coerced** Cornell students and staff, have killed and horribly maimed more human beings in the first six months of deployment (post the fraudulent December 11 2020 EUA), than all true vaccines <u>combined</u> during the previous twenty years! Let us try that again, in large font:

Your so-called "approved vaccines," that you have injected into the arms of unsuspecting but coerced Cornell students and staff, have killed and horribly maimed more human beings in the first six months of deployment than all vaccines combined during the previous twenty years!

My large-font statement continues to increase in credibility. The Flushing Hospital Medical Center (four-hour drive from the Honorable Court) is the focus of this headline of last Thursday:



I am aware of the specific issue of law before the court, and how these assorted New York and global COVID facts may seem extraneous to these issues...these are not.

New York State COVID Landscape and Its Primary Architects

On Pages 20-22 of Reference 1, I discuss the New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board (NYFRAB). This board now reports its "advice" to defendant Governor Kathy Hochul; <u>a recipient of Reference 1</u>. Note, while babbling about "transparency," her website continues to spew the following *utter crap*:



"Full approval"?! It is well-known to Ms. Hochul, and her co-defendants, that the FDA **did NOT approve** the "Pfizer BioNTech vaccine." That Hochul, as governor of my home state, would spew this falsehood; this outright fraud regarding the health & well-being of the good people of New York portends of *her* character. But your new governor's deeds are consonant with two key "advisors" of NYFRAB:





At-left, Ms. Martha Pollack, currently occupies the President's Office at my alma mater, Cornell University; she is an <u>addressee</u> of Reference 1. I discuss the person at-right extensively in Reference 1:

New York State COVID Landscape and Its Primary Architects - con't



New York State COVID Landscape and Its Primary Architects - Conclusion



I can assure His Honor, the person that vested interests gush as "The Vaccine King," is *also* fully aware of *exactly* what was "approved" (*and what was not*) by the August 23 2021 letter from career FDA Chief Scientist, Ms. Denise Hinton.

INTERMISSION - 1

Again, I am aware of the precise specific narrow issue(s) of law before the court, and how this *very* brief review of assorted COVID facts <u>may seem</u> <u>irrelevant</u> to these issues...**quite the contrary!**

With respect to the plaintiff's case, their issue of *"religious exemption,"* versus your scheduling order

of 14 September 2021, **the 'slippery slope' has been paved and is already in practice**; coercions inflicted by institutions least expected as a source . . . unless one is well versed in the current lack of morals, ethics and competence of Big Academia. My alma mater Cornell University has fallen into very dark times. This is <u>partially</u> confirmed by the current administration and its participations in (what Anthony Fauci later *re-named* as) "COVID-19."

Religious exemption?! Without legal authority, US Constitutional or otherwise, Pollack coerced Cornell into operative lock-step with the defendants of the Nuremberg Tribunal, *only worse*.

There is nothing sincere about *any* aspect of Polack's refusal to consider the "sincere religious beliefs" of the Cornell community.

To the best of my knowledge,
not one request for 'religious
exemption' was honored by
Pollack. The primary failure of her



administration involves the health & well-being of the Cornell community; in-time the statistics presented by The College Fix will get much worse! In stark contrast to the undersigned, Pollack has refused to meet or communicate with the <u>aggrieved</u> students and staff (Please see Page 15 below).

Unlike the plaintiffs, no one is protecting the Cornell students **from Pollack's slippery slope**; an experimental Pfizer mRNA concoction, forcefully deployed by New York "health authorities," after advice from a New York board that had **NOT ONE** practicing medical doctor as a member . . . see next page.

New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board - Liars by Commission


In 2019, a prominent television star was questioned about the proper personal health response to respiratory viral infection, such as SARS-CoV-2, the *alleged* original cause of *alleged* COVID-19:

"You avoid all the paranoid aspects, and do something positive. Eat a good diet.

Don't smoke; don't drink, at least not very much. Get some exercise. Get good sleep.

I think that the normal low-tech things that you can do are the best things."

That advice comports, *but only by default*, to 2020 survival data from the Centers for Disease Control (CDC), data which many believe is skewed negatively (yes, *negatively*). The following survival data existed *prior* to the FDA Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) issued on December 11 2020 (discussed next):



That "low tech" public health statement came from the same person who *psychically* declared a *not-so-public* statement, mere days prior to the 45th presidential inauguration on January 21 2017:



"There will be a challenge (for) the coming Administration in the arena of infectious diseases, both chronic infectious diseases in the sense of already ongoing disease, and we have certainly a large burden of that, but also there will be a surprise outbreak."

During March 13 (National Emergency) through December 11 2020 (EUA granted), **MDs were treating** and curing patients who presented COVID-like symptoms. This undeniable historical fact was *viciously* suppressed by Big Tech, Big Pharma and especially Big Academia.

The coordinated underbelly of their censorship was the "no alternative" ruse; a bold-faced lie that low-profit low-tech non-vaccine COVID cure protocols <u>did not exist</u>. The "no alternative" status is required prior to the issuance of the EAU . . . and more insidiously its evoking of "liability immunity" . . . a clause deeply cherished by the "advisors" of the New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, such as The Vaccine King Mr. Albert Bourla, and Ms. Martha Pollack in her role of Cornell University Development. *


I have interviewed Cornell University community students and staff. Of those coerced into to the mandatory needle, from The Vaccine King, **NOT ONE** was formally informed of "liability immunity." The Community is not allowed open informed discussion, instead they were/are repeatedly admonished by University administrators that Bourla's needle is "safe and effective."

INTERMISSION - 2

Referencing the data in *The College Fix* article (Page 6 above), and the direct quote from Mr. Fauci:

"I think that the normal low-tech things that you can do are the best things."

In my decades of experiences with him, it is rare that "America's Doctor" asserts correctly; here he bumbled into doing so. I can assure all Subject parties, that the medical assistant on Page 1 above, Mrs. Jummai Nache, was doing just that! Instead of allowing personal health decisions, Jummai was coerced by the following "logic" that now prevails on campuses throughout New York, including that renowned for its founding priority in life sciences; Cornell University:



In essence, with respect to the Subject plaintiffs, the above is what defendant Mr. Howard Zucker wants His Honor to submit to; a ruse that is so convoluted and so demented that only deep psychiatric analysis might explain it. In truth it is a scheme steeped in RICO criminality.

As I have openly stated and documented many times prior, the individuals involved in these affairs are not merely incompetent; they are guilty of crimes ranging from conspiracy, to gross criminal negligence, to depraved indifference . . . and more . . . the next discussion will further my position/opinion.

New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board: Liars by Omission

Reference 1 introduces who was **not** on the NYFRAB, and why. Apparently, the curing of patients is reducible to adolescent Left versus Right polemics. It takes individuals of very low character to degrade these issues; the individuals actively sought at hype outlet news sewers such as the *New York Times*:



"Right Wing Star"? It should not surprise His Honor that a medical doctor, who began treating patients with COVID-like symptoms at the very beginning of the so-called pandemic, was not merely *not*-invited to ex-Governor Andrew Cuomo's NYFRAB... this doctor's talents, successes and person were actively shunned and censored, *and* his practice placed under a phony US DOJ investigation!

Back in July 2020 I wrote to Anthony Fauci about the inexpensive non-vaccine protocols that were being administered by **Monroe**, **New York Dr. Vladimir Zelenko**. Both NYFRAB-member Martha Pollack and US President Donald Trump were among the recipients of my July 21 2020 letter.

A graduate of SUNY-Buffalo, he treats patients with COVID-like symptoms with a combination of hydroxychloroguine, zinc, anti-biotics, selenium and vitamin D, with near 100% success!

Guess how many of Dr. Zelenko's patients were turned-away because they were registered with the "wrong" political party? *Zero*.

Guess how many of Dr. Zelenko's patients suffered blood clots so extensive that their legs and hands and fingers had to be amputated in order to save their lives? **Zero**.

There are many doctors of similar skill and success that exemplify this 'New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board – Liars by Omission' topic. More detailed discussion is found in Reference 1.

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC) : Liars by Commission

One of the diversionary arguments His Honor can expect from Mr. Howard Zucker is his ruse that 'COVID cases are exploding,' and that this "COVID explosion" is his justification for mandating vaccinations, while subverting "sincere religious beliefs." I can assure His Honor that the entire population of New York State is Zucker's true mandatory vaccination target.

But, what are the Zucker "cases" based upon? In my July 21 2020 letter to Fauci, Trump, Pollack, et al., I challenged Fauci as follows (screenshot):

- 1. The faulty COVID-19 test/prognosis is now admitted by the CDC. Given your commitment to "speaking the truth at all times," will you publically clarify/correct for the taxpayer, the precise limitations of the PCR and anti-body tests, and what those limitations portend for (1) what you alleged are "confirmed COVID-19 cases," and (2) your so-called "Second Wave"?
- 2. Are you in a position to offer President Trump, and the world, actual scientific proof that these "viral" and the "anti-body" tests are valid for SARS-CoV-2, and therefore the political actions from lockdowns to suspension of the US Constitution are justified? If you have any questions, you might wish to confer with President John Magufuli of Tanzania.



Consistent with his lack of character, Fauci never responded to me regarding this PCR testing issue.

A close personal friend of Mr. Albert Bourla, Ms. Rochelle Walensky, director of the CDC, *did* respond, but not directly. Under duress from mounting global evidence and worldwide pressure concerning the demonstrated lack of <u>applicability</u> of the rt-PCR protocol for detection of SARS viral particles, Walensky has now relented as follows:

CDC withdraws fraudulent PCR testing protocol that was used to falsify covid "positives" to push the plandemic

Sunday, July 25, 2021 by: Mike Adams
Tags: badhealth, badmedicine, badscience, CDC, coronavirus, COVID, hoax, junk science, pandemic, PCR,
Plandemic, science fraud

Presumably the defendants will argue that "COVID cases are exploding." But their argument is based upon the rt-PCR "testing." Their promotions that rt-PCR can detect the so-called "Delta variant" is even *more* fraudulent . . . and Walensky and Fauci and Bourla and defendant Zucker know it!

[†] Intermission 3 (Page 13 below) re-demonstrates this 'lack of character' at a relevant, but alarming/poignant level.

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC): Liars by Omission

During 2020 a medical assistant (MA) was working, enjoying life-long health, never presenting COVID symptoms. After the fraudulent FDA EUA of December 11 2020, hospital administrators began asserting 'mandatory vaccinations' upon their staffs, demanding compliance with injection of the experimental Pfizer mRNA concoction; the latter is exempt under the "liability immunity" clause of that EUA.

A rough timeline of what occurred to the medical assistant (MA):

Memo 1: MA employed at University of Minnesota (U of M) Physicians group; perfectly healthy, no COVID symptoms. Prior to first mandatory injection, hospital refuses to administer COVID test.		
First Pfizer mRNA injection (No COVID symptoms)	January 13 2021	
Adverse reaction to Pfizer commences immediately; fever, COVID-like symptoms restricted to "COVID toes." Prior to first needle MA had <i>no</i> health issues.	January 16, 2021	
Second Pfizer mRNA injection (No COVID respiratory symptoms).	February 1 2021	
No COVID respiratory symptoms. Chest pain commences, MA cannot work.	February 2 2021	
Still no COVID respiratory symptoms, but chest pain and heart palpitations become severe, MA is rushed to 'Urgent Care.' COVID test administered.	February 6 2021	
COVID test results from February 6 asserted/recorded as "positive."	February 7 2021	
Memo 2: Martha Pollack, a member (with Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla) on Cuomo's NYFRAB, begins posting at Cornell University 'COVID-19 website' that Pfizer injection is, "safe and effective."		
MA heart function deteriorates; she is admitted to Fairview Emergency Room.	February 11 2021	
MA heart and now respiratory function near total failure; rushed to intensive care at University of Minnesota Medical Hospital.	February 13 2021	
Near death, U of M declares only way to save MA is to use ventilator.	February 14 2021	
Memo 3: IMPORTANT - We are now beyond a '14 day window' since MA received her second Pfizer mRNA injection. This CDC 14-day-window fraud is detailed on Page 12 below.		
U of M retests MA for COVID, continues to assert/record as "positive."	February 16 2021	
Prior to Pfizer needles, MA never in hospital for illness. "A model of health," life-long non-smoker/non-drinker. After 14-day-window U of M reports all new diagnosis: 1. Arterial blood clot 2. Respiratory distress 3. Cardiomyopathy 4. Anemia 5. Ischemia 6. Multiple Inflammatory Syndrome 7. Severe blood clot related damage to both legs, both hands, all fingers.	March 2021	
Without doing in-person exam of MA, Rochelle Walensky/CDC send letter declaring her 1 – 7 diagnostic catastrophe is un-related to Pfizer mRNA injection.	March 31 2021	
MA health destroyed, living in continuous agony.	April May June 2021	
Both legs of MA amputated due to Item 7, severe blood clot related damage.	June 21 2021	
Left hand, fingers right hand amputated due to Item 7, severe blood clot damage.	July 20 2021	
Memo 4: Final condition of medical assistant discussed in this timeline is pictured on Page 1 above.		

With the above horror story in mind, and with the CDC fully aware of the Jummai Nache case, we now review the "response" from Walensky herself; especially as it relates to timeline Memo 3.

The Centers for Disease Control (CDC): Liars by Omission - Conclusion



Shockingly, CDC Now Lists Vaccinated Deaths as Unvaccinated

By Dr. Joseph Mercola

Global Research, September 15, 2021

Mercola

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Media Disinformation, Science and

Medicine

Four days prior to Mrs. Jummai Nache being forced to have her limbs amputated *for the second time*, CDC Director Ms. Walensky, on July 16, 2021, boldly stated at a White House press conference:

"... over 97% of people who are entering the hospital right now are unvaccinated."

I can state without hesitation, backed by overwhelming evidence; <u>Ms. Walensky is a LIAR</u>. Based on the date-range alone, her claim is a lie. The CDC vaccinated/unvaccinated death and injury data acquisition "system" constitutes criminal fraud. Their lies and frauds involve two areas.

The '14-Day-Window' Fraud

The following staggers the mind. Upwards of 80% of all second Pfizer needle *deaths* occur <u>prior to 14 days</u>. 90% of second Pfizer needle *injuries* occur <u>prior to 14 days</u>. Walensky has instructed the "health system" to relegate 14-day-window horrors **to the "unvaccinated"!** Note she ignores entirely the death/injury events after the <u>first</u> needle (ala the Nache timeline, Page 11 above). After the 14-day-window, the death/injury cue is in short-term reprieve. But, as Walensky/CDC are fully aware, the long-term adverse effects of their mRNA injection *is not known*.

The rt-PCR 'Cycle Threshold Value' COVID Test Fraud

Referencing my challenge to Fauci and the recent CDC withdrawal announcement (Page 10 above), it is well-known to Walensky that the rt-PCR process is not only *clade specific*, but the reliability of the "results" are dependent on the number of runs, or cycles.

Florida recently began requiring their labs to list the Cycle Threshold Value (CTV) when reporting "positive" COVID tests. Ignoring clade specificity (which aids the defendants!), Dr. Kary Mullis won the Nobel Prize for inventing the PCR process. He declared that his protocol can**not** be used for COVID. As Fauci and Walensky are fully aware, CTVs above 10 renders the rt-PCR unreliable to the point of being useless.

But Walensky has taken this basic public service to new lows. In their lust to skew the vaccinated versus unvaccinated death and injury data, the CDC directs our "health system" to use biased CTVs: 28 for the vaccinated, and a CTV of 40 . . . yes you read that correctly . . . a CTV of 40 for the unvaccinated!

The **14-day-window** fraud accommodates the '97% unvaccinated hospital admissions' lie.

Her use of high/biased CTVs in the rt-PCR testing fraud facilitates the "COVID cases are exploding" lie.

INTERMISSION - 3

Referencing his behavior on Page 7 above, the following quotes of 1996 and 2021 prove him worse:

Question 1: I would like to know how we can control the behavior of people in foreign countries, when we cannot control the behavior in our own country?

Response 1: "Yeah, I think you used an important word that really illustrates the difficulty of the problem. You can't control people's behavior, but what you can do is, you can educate and you can try to modify behavior. Our experience tells us very clearly that the only way that you can do that effectively is to create an environment in which you don't force people who are the subjects or the targets of your education and behavioral modification; if you force them underground. If you make your education in an environment of oppressiveness, you're not going to get to the people that you need to get to.

You've got to create a situation where people understand that they're not going to be stigmatized, that they're not going to lose their human rights when they find out that they're infected.

That you're going to do something to help them. You see more and more people coming in, getting the education, and ultimately modifying their behavior. We have already seen that in spades in this country (USA), and that's the environment that we are suggesting to our colleagues overseas to do. Because in some of the developing nations that you're referring to, it is an oppressive situation for rights. One of the examples, the late Jonathan Mann who was an associate of mine for years, who tragically died in an air crash a few years ago, used to say that HIV AIDS is as much a disease of human rights than it is a disease of a virus, and in countries in which human rights are not addressed in an appropriate way, people do not have the capability of avoiding infections. Some women for example in certain nations have absolutely no empowerment to avoid being infected by their husband or sexual partner because women don't seem to have the right to say 'No I do not want to have sex, yes I want to wear a condom, I want to practice safe sex.' And in some societies they're not allowed that right.

Those are the things we need to look at, not oppressing or forcing people to do things, because it never works that way."

Referencing Page 6 above, after that White House pow-wow Joe Biden declared his denunciation of the US Constitution. The following day, Friday September 10, Anthony Fauci *now* declares on NBC News:



"There are a number of people for one reason or other who just do not want to **comply**, and get vaccinated. We've got to get them vaccinated. And hopefully they will do it willingly.

If not, there will have to be things that will essentially put pressure on them, such as, you're not gonna work in this particular agency or institution. And I believe that once we start doing that, you will see more and more people willingly get vaccinated."

Here we see demonstrated on the world stage, the ever-increasing cognitive dissonance of America's Doctor who spews: Comply + pressure on them + not gonna work = willingly!

This cannot be overemphasized . . . the Subject defendants are demanding that health workers in New York "comply" with a needle that injects undisclosed content, but enjoys "liability immunity."

The Dystopian Tsunami

UPDATED 08/23/21

Study: Fully Vaccinated Healthcare Workers Carry 251 Times Viral Load, Pose Threat to Unvaccinated Patients, Co-Workers

A preprint paper by the prestigious Oxford University Clinical Research Group, published Aug. 10 in The Lancet, found vaccinated individuals carry 251 times the load of COVID-19 viruses in their nostrils compared to the unvaccinated.

By Peter A. McCullough, M.D., MPH



It is clear that the primary issue surrounding COVID has never been SARS-type viruses, which date to rejected patent applications of 2003 by Mr. Anthony Fauci.

COVID has never been about health. At best, it has always been about the "official" response, which is implicitly connected to politics and money . . . lots of money . . . and lots of vested interests, ranging from the medical profession, to Big Academia, to The Vaccine King, to hospital administrations . . . and to their "health commissioners."

It would be imprudent to assume that the underbelly of the headline at-left was not anticipated, or not planned by the vested interests. The evidence for these RICO level crimes is overwhelming, but goes beyond the scope of this letter.

Regarding the vested interests, please review Pages 26/27 of Reference 1. For perspective on **that New York lawsuit**, and its defendant Mr. Peter Daszak, please see Page 15 of Reference 1 (screenshot):



"Somebody knew something in 2015 and 2016 which gave rise to my favorite quote of this entire pandemic. And by that, I am not being cute. My favorite quote of this pandemic was a statement made in 2015 by Peter Daszak. The statement that was made by Peter Daszak, reported in the National Academy of Press Publications in February 12, 2016; and I am quoting,

'We need to increase public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures such as a pan-corona-virus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profits at the end of the process."



CONCLUSION – We Ask Defense Counsel a 'Simple Question'

Churchill: Howard Zucker should follow Cuomo out the door

New York's Health Commissioner played key roles in several Andrew Cuomo scandals, including the hiding of nursing home deaths. He shouldn't keep his job.



Chris Churchill

Aug. 11, 2021

It would be imprudent for His Honor to assume that Zucker's deletion of 'religious exemption' from his vaccine mandate was inadvertent; **Zucker was fully aware of that dystopia**.

However, it is likely that defense counsel will argue that Bourla's needle is now "fully approved," that there is no basis for *any* exemptions, and will likely point to the "fully approved" lie spewed at the New York website of co-defendant Governor Kathy Hochul. So we ask defense counsel the following <u>simple</u> question:

If, as your client claims, the needle from The Vaccine King is "fully approved," and therefore the Emergency Use Authorization (EAU) is no longer in-force, does that mean that defense counsel is now admitting that "liability immunity" is also no longer in effect? If not correct, please explain in-detail.

On Page 12 above I discussed the State of Florida in these regards; please see Attachment 2. Regarding the byline on 'nursing home deaths,' please see Reference 1, Subject 3 (Attachment 1)

On Page 6 above I excerpted The College Fix article of September 4. Unlike Ms. Martha Pollack who has refused to meet with its author, I have had extensive communication with Mr. Joseph Silverstein. The latter concludes his article as follows (screenshot):

Cornell's draconian COVID-19 policies have failed, and now the administration's promises about a normal, mask-free academic year in return for achieving "herd immunity" have come crashing down against the cold reality we all face.

If the vaccines cannot prevent infection and return us to normalcy, what then, is the point of returning to campus at all?

Editor's note: The author of this piece has requested and been denied a religious exemption from Cornell's vaccine mandate. He received the first dose of the Moderna vaccine in June.

Combining the Editor's note with the headlines on Page 14 above, the legacy of Ms. Pollack will be the injury and death of untold numbers of Cornell University students and staff, and any they fall into contact with . . . all the while hiding behind the likes of what she has continuously claimed were New York "health authorities" . . . such as defendant Mr. Howard Zucker.

CONCLUSION – The Loss of Basic Human Rights



Pictured at-right is Mrs. Jummai Nache, a person like my grandparents who received United States citizenship through the proper immigration rules and procedures. Seeking for herself and her loved ones, the promise of our Constitutional Republic, Jummai worked as a Medical Assistant helping to finance a household of four daughters and a devoted husband that is near completion of his Ph.D degree in Intercultural Studies.

The photograph was taken in late December 2020 . . . prior to the vaccine mandate, that counterparts to the Subject defendants, enforced upon her in the State of Minnesota.

Whether through her US Constitutional rights or the under the instant plaintiffs' demand for religious exemption, Jummai was denied her basic human rights and was coerced by "health authorities" into the needle from The Vaccine King.

Putting this in perspective . . . tying many aspects together . . . had Jummai been a student at my alma mater, Cornell University, and had she decided as a result of her intelligence, expertise, and exercise of basic human dignity, to protect herself,

her family, and her associates from COVID; by use of proven non-vaccine treatments, such as that successfully deployed by, as just one example, Dr. Vladimir Zelenko (Page 9 above) . . . regardless of the latter success and elimination of any health threat . . . Ms. Martha Pollack would have revoked Jummai's matriculation status and expelled her from the University. In the alternative, Ms. Pollack would be party to the photograph on Page 1 above . . . by her "vaccine" promotions, I declare that she already is.

The seventeen plaintiffs are in the same profession as Jummai; the same as that discussed by the alarming headlines of Page 14 above. I strongly encourage His Honor to consider the (highly thrifted) background materials discussed above, and in the interest of health care workers and their patients, grant the preliminary injunction requested by the Subject plaintiffs.

Respectfully yours,

Attachments

Tab 1

Reference 1: Paul V. Sheridan Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021 (cover letter only)

45 pages

<u> Tab 2</u>

State of Florida COVID-19 Vaccine Screening and Consent Form – Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine (please see Page 2 red arrow highlights)

8 pages

Tab 3

The College Fix article of 4 September 2021, Despite 95% vaccination rate, Cornell today has five times more COVID cases than it did this time last year

4 pages

<u>Tab 4</u>

Letters from/to Oral Roberts University President Dr. William Wilson, describing ORU decision to not follow the national stampede into campus mandatory "vaccines." (cover letters only)

18 Pages

<u>Tab 5</u>

Order of Honorable Judge David N. Hurd of 14 September 2021, granting Plaintiffs' motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO), halting authority of New York State 'Public Health Order' scheduled for enforcement 28 September 2021, which removed religious exemption clause against mandatory vaccination of New York State health care workers, et al.

5 Pages



21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

Reference 1:

Paul V. Sheridan Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

(cover letter only)

45 pages



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774692191462

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Receptionist/Front Desk

K.BAUSCH 9000 ROCKVILLE PIKE Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx Standard Overnight

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday ROCKVILLE, MD, 20852

> **Delivery date:** Sep 1, 2021 16:13

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 774692191462 Aug 31, 2021

> Weight: 3.0 LB/1.36 KG

Recipient:

Dr. Anthony S. Fauci, NIAID 31 Center Drive NIAID Central Drop-off ROCKVILLE, MD, US, 20852

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference Joint Pollack/Fauci Ltr - 1



300 DAY HALL



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774692152281

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status:

Signed for by: Signature release on file

Service type: FedEx Standard Overnight

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday

ITHACA, NY, 14853

Delivery date: Sep 1, 2021 12:16

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 774692152281 Aug 31, 2021

> Weight: 3.0 LB/1.36 KG

Recipient:

Ms. Martha Pollack, Cornell University 300 Day Hall Office of the President ITHACA, NY, US, 14853

Delivered To:

Delivery Location:

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference

Joint Pollack/Fauci Ltr - 1

Proof-of-delivery details appear below; however, no signature is available for this FedEx Express shipment because a signature was not required.



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774705501995

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Shipping/Receiving

H.FLET NYS State Capitol Bldg Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx 2Day

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday **ALBANY, NY, 12224**

> **Delivery date:** Sep 3, 2021 11:56

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 774705501995 Sep 1, 2021

> Weight: 0.5 LB/0.23 KG

Recipient:

Governor Kathy Hochul, Governor of New York State NYS State Capitol Bldg ALBANY, NY, US, 12224

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Reference

Joint Ltr to Fauci/Pollack

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095 / pvs6@cornell.edu

27 August 2021

VIA FEDEX AIRBILLS 774692152281 / 774692191462

Ms. Martha E. Pollack Cornell University 300 Day Hall

Ithaca, NY 14853

607-255-5201 / president@cornell.edu

Mr. Anthony S. Fauci

NIAID

5601 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20852

301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov

Subjects: Ongoing Global Criminal Participations / Promotions of "SARS-CoV-2":

(1) The Fraudulent 'Emergency Use Authorization' (EUA)(2) Pfizer mRNA Inoculation Induced Severe Injury and Death

(3) Connections to Nursing Home Deaths

(4) Connections to Suicide Deaths – American K-12 Students

Reference 1: Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache

Reference 2: Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board

Characterization 1: Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are

Characterization 2: Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are Not

Preliminary Courtesy Copy List

Mr. Philip Nache
Hope of Nations Gospel Church
1021 Hennepin Ave # 2
Minneapolis, MN 55403
502-379-5428

Shipper tracking 774705403105

Dr. Vladimir Zelenko Suite 770 745 State Route 17m Monroe, NY 10950 845-782-0000 Shipper tracking 774718852115

Senator Rand Paul United States Senate 167 Russell Senate Office Bldg. Washington DC, 20510 202-224-4343

Shipper tracking 774728948701

Mr. Thomas Renz, Esq Renz Law Firm, PC - Suite 162 1907 W. State Street Fremont, OH 43420 419-351-4248

Shipper tracking TBD

Dr. Marion F. Gruber – FDA Building WO71 - Room 3230 10903 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20993

301-796-2630

Shipper Tracking 774718714821

Dr. Philip R. Krause – FDA Building WO71 - Room 3234 10903 New Hampshire Ave Silver Spring, MD 20993

301-796-1862

Shipper Tracking 774718739424

Dr. Peter R. Breggin / Ms. Ginger Ross-Breggin 206A Dryden Rd - PMB 112 Ithaca, NY 14850

607-272-5328

Shipper tracking 774728471165

Governor Kathy Hochul Governor of New York State NYS State Capitol Bldg. Albany, NY 12224

518-474-8390

Shipper tracking 774705501995

Mr. Ravi Batra, Esq. Law Firm of Ravi Batra, PC

142 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10016

212-545-1993

Shipper tracking 774705432189

Dr. Pierre D. Kory FLCCC Alliance 6006 N Highlands Ave Madison, WI 53705

513-486-4696

Shipper tracking 774728690762

Senator Ron Johnson United States Senate

328 Hart Senate Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20510

202-224-5323

Shipper tracking TBD

Ms. Janice Dean Fox News Network 1211 6th Avenue

New York, NY 10036

212-302-3000

Shipper tracking 774718628836

22357 Columbia Street Dearborn, MI 48124-3431 313-277-5095 pvs6@cornell.edu

27 August 2021

VIA FEDEX AIRBILLS 774692152281 / 774692191462

Ms. Martha E. Pollack Cornell University 300 Day Hall Ithaca, NY 14853 607-255-5201 / president@cornell.edu Mr. Anthony S. Fauci NIAID 5601 Fishers Lane Rockville, MD 20852 301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov

Subjects: Ongoing Global Criminal Participations / Promotions of "SARS-CoV-2":

(1) The Fraudulent 'Emergency Use Authorization' (EUA)(2) Pfizer mRNA Inoculation Induced Severe Injury and Death

(3) Connections to Nursing Home Deaths

(4) Connections to Suicide Deaths – American K-12 Students

Reference 1: Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache

Reference 2: Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board

Characterization 1: Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are

Characterization 2: Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are Not

Dear Ms. Pollack / Mr. Fauci:

Connecting you to the Subjects is not tentative; the facts are overwhelming:






PREAMBLE

We review the Subjects and Characterizations in a context which affirms that current events are foreseeable, but merely symptomatic of our epoch. In terms of human affairs at the macro level, and your participations at the micro level, no image is more representative or comprehensive than the following:

Preamble - con't



The above is not offered as religious overture, but as an epochal event. A Regarding *your* 'thirty pieces of silver' and *your* blatant betrayal of trust, I welcome your diatribe. But even if you incorrectly allege abuse, know that I have been thoroughly pre-empted and have already presented that pre-emption:

^A Betrayal of the Nazarene Jesus, by the Judæan Judas Iscariot; painting by Mr. Ary Scheffer (1795 – 1858).

Preamble - Conclusion

NIH director: We asked God for help with COVID-19, and vaccines are the 'answer to that prayer'

'This is about saving lives,' NIH Director Francis Collins told RNS.



National Institutes of Health Director Dr. Francis Collins speaks during a Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee hearing on new coronavirus tests on Capitol Hill in Washington on May 7, 2020. (AP Photo/Andrew Harnik, Pool)

I assure humanity that Jesus did not hear, nor respond to the "prayer" of the charlatan Francis Collins. His fraud on COVID "vaccines" confirms *his* betrayal on many levels; let us expose two:

(1) The needle deployed against Cornell University is filled with an mRNA concoction that is <u>not</u> a vaccine. Known to Fauci, as we already reminded him, his patent application of 2003 was **rejected** by the US Patent office <u>on that basis</u>; the generic mRNA concoction was <u>not</u> and is still <u>not</u> a vaccine. I stated in July 2021:

"Its content, delivery and true purpose does not meet the most loosely defined medical, legal, moral . . . or even patent office criteria . . . and Fauci knows it!"

(2) Collins, Donald Trump and you two, will proclaim that your COVID concoction resulted from *recent* "rigorous effort" by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and New York based Pfizer; that your all-new "vaccine" emerged initially from 'Operation Warp Speed,' conducted in the context of a no-alternatives emergency during 2020. **All bold-faced lies!**

http://pvsheridan.com/Dr-Fuellmich Dr-Martin July-2021-Corona-Investigative-Committee.mp4

B See Page 7 of Exhibit (or https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2wilson-1-19july2021.pdf)

^C The "rigorous effort" involves banning of truth by your comrades in Big Tech. The patent history of SARS viruses, "vaccines," test kits, etc., are rigorously censored by your colleagues at Facebook, YouTube, Instagram, Twitter, WordPress, LinkedIn, etc. One prominent example of such is preserved here (See Page 15 below):

REFERENCE 1: Mr. Albert Bourla Severe Injury Assault of Ms. Jummai Nache

Before I review the two Characterizations:

Show Me the Company You Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are, Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are Not,

I present a criminal and *one* of his victims. We return to the Ms. Nache horror in the Conclusion.

Mr. Albert Bourla was inserted into Pfizer in early 2019 in preparation for the revised timetable of COVID-17, from the original schedule of the "SARS-CoV-2" outbreak. Bourla is a friend of Mr. Fauci and a colleague of Ms. Martha Pollack. An advocate of Klaus Schwab and *The Great Reset*, Bourla immediately directed Pfizer to **drop all off-patent**, safe & proven, low cost/price/profit **medicines** from the Pfizer product line:





As you know, Bourla enjoys taxpayer-funded "sales" of his mRNA concoction that is immensely profitable, <u>and exempt from civil liabilities</u>; the latter, liability immunity, resulted from a RICO scheme pre-arranged by Mr. Anthony Fauci.

Similar to the 'mandatory vaccine' enforced by Ms. Pollack upon Cornell University, a dedicated nurse **Ms. Jummai Nache was coerced** by the so-called "medical profession" into a needle filled with a known-to-be-deadly mRNA concoction from Pfizer / Bourla:



The horror that happened to Jummai, and your connections to it, are discussed below.

^D Shocking, but expected Pollack / Bourla collaboration, and its connection to Jummai and the Subjects are discussed in the Reference 2 (Pages 20 – 22 below).


This list is so long and sullied that it renders the undersigned deeply grieved; especially regarding but not limited to the fate of my alma mater, Cornell University. I have decided to restrict the 'Company' of this section to only Subject-relevant persons...such as your close personal friend Andrew Cuomo:



If left to you Ms. Pollack, or you Mr. Fauci, the lady being sexually assaulted by your COVID colleague Andrew Cuomo; that lady will *also* suffer the horror you have inflicted upon Ms. Jummai Nache . . . or worse.

Review of connected headlines will affirm that prognostication . . .






A mere three days after this "surprise," FDA comrades awarded Mr. Bourla his requested Emergency Use Authorization (EAU) on December 11 2020; an mRNA monopoly guarantying BILLIONS for Pfizer. The EUA depended upon conspiratorial censorship of safe, non-vaccine treatment protocols, and smear campaigns against the MDs who saved COVID patients worldwide. The EUA racketeering was a follow-up to Fauci's liability immunity. Marketing schemes involving "variants," and of course "booster shots," are all pre-planned RICO crimes on a global scale. E

Some of the MDs directly and indirectly, or implicitly, slandered and libeled by Fauci/Pollack are presented in the section, 'Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You What You Are Not' (Page 16 -19 below).

"Surprise virtual appearance"? **Another example of manipulations and bold-faced lies.** The upcoming EUA was known to Fauci and Cuomo prior to their "surprise" of December 8 2020. Headlines *pre-date* their adolescent stunt, wherein the "pathological liar" is quoted. These post 2020-election news reports were *also* Fauci's ploy to disconnect his needles from the anti-Trump rhetoric of Joe Biden:



Regarding these connected headlines, justification of the EUA was criminal, and at least three-fold:

- (1) The 'Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act' evoked by HHS Secretary Alex Azar in February 2020, and the cheer-leading by President Trump about Operation Warp Speed, amounted to an open declaration by COVID vested interests that the citizenry and Congress were all 'born yesterday.' These and other criminal deceptions to establish the EUA are detailed below (Intermission 1, Page 15).
- (2) Fauci, Collins (and CDC Director Rochelle Walensky) deployed a coordinated censorship against early non-vaccine COVID treatment protocols. The Fauci/Collins/Walensky lie that "no adequate, approved, and available alternatives" existed, and therefore Bourla's mRNA needle was "the only path forward," are bold-faced lies which (purposely) ensured the horrors in the New York nursing homes, etc.
- (3) The EUA required death statistics that frightened the public, and overwhelmed the twits in The Swamp and the Cuomo suck-ups in Albany, New York. By endorsing the banning of early non-vaccine treatments you two participated in the crimes of gross criminal negligence and **depraved indifference** . . . for starters.

Fauci / Pollack, you are aware that the nursing home deaths were <u>avoidable</u>. You participated in lies (2) and (3) to assist the EUA and Cornell mandatory "vaccinations" respectively. In so-doing you accommodated The Great Reset, and the profiteering of Pfizer CEO Mr. Bourla. *That* was a major priority.

F Mr. Fauci, your reputation as a "pathological liar" includes a source a short walk from Ms. Pollack's 300 Day Hall office. Unlike the 'liability immunity' that you orchestrated for Big Pharma, the Cornell University official who described you in the public domain as a "pathological liar," unlike your needles, does not need liability immunity, and indeed he/she might welcome your legal claims for libel/slander.

In December 2020, prior to the Fauci-emails release, the news outlets served as Pfizer public relations. None reported on the <u>true causes</u> of **the agonizing deaths in New York nursing homes.** Earlier, while Governor Cuomo was assaulting people, and threatening those who exposed him, this photograph was taken at a Manhattan nursing home:



Ms. Pollack: No Cornell news outlet prior-to or after your Stay-Homecoming 2020 (which was re-purposed as a 'Mandatory Vaccination' precursor) . . . not the Cornell Chronicle, not the Cornell Daily Sun, not your "New Normal" website; none reported **on the causes of tens-of-thousands of nursing home deaths**. Weill-Cornell Medical College is located in Manhattan, where your COVID comrades Cuomo and Fauci promenaded their "surprise" December 7, 2020 marketing stunt, a precursor to the Pfizer/EUA gala: ^G



^G Ms. Pollack, your "contribution," subverting the Cornell Homecoming 2020 for the 'Mandatory Vaccination' purpose, speaks volumes about *what* you are, and The Company You Keep (Mr. Albert Bourla and Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board). Regarding Homecoming 2020, you and Fauci received my June 9 2021 letter; see Pages 5 - 13: https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-4-9june2021.pdf

'Company' is restricted to Subjects-relevant persons, such as Fauci's close friend, the bribery-philanthropist charlatan Bill Gates:



Is there is any person more hated than you Mr. Fauci? Bill Gates perhaps? A person that is allegedly banned from 38 countries? The lack of integrity you share with Mr. Collins serves the needs of the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation. Cloaked behind philanthropy, the Foundation was reinvigorated by your revised "SARS-CoV-2" / COVID breakout *to* late 2019.



Soon similar headlines will emerge on the world scene for both Mr. Fauci and Ms. Pollack. But in addition to #ArrestBillGates (which exists), we will soon have #ArrestAlbertBourla, and #ArrestAndrewCuomo, and #ArrestFrancisCollins, and #ArrestTonyFauci, and #ArrestMarthaPollack, and . . .

Whether the context is NIH/NIAID or Cornell University, Bill Gates has long been the focus of criminal investigations regarding his marketing-of and profiteering from known-to-be-*un*safe vaccines.

His criminality is manifold. But regarding his fraudulent promotions of vaccine safety, and the original 2017 schedule of "SARS-CoV-2," the best evidence of criminality is Gates' mouth:

"So the second time I saw him (President Trump) was the March after that, and so March 2017 in the White House. In both of those two meetings he asked me if vaccines weren't a bad thing, because he was considering a commission to look into, uh, ill effects of vaccines, and somebody, I think his name was Robert



Kennedy Jr., was advising him that vaccines were causing bad things. And I said, 'No, that's a dead end. That would be a bad thing, don't do that.' "

Perhaps you two, and your colleague Mr. Gates, will be in-attendance with Mr. Philip Nache . . . at the anticipated funeral of his lovely wife Jummai; a coerced recipient of the Mr. Albert Bourla needle:



Mr. Robert Harrison was appointed as Chief Executive Officer of the Clinton Global Initiative (CGI) in 2006. He was appointed to chair the Cornell University Board of Trustees (BOT) in March 11, 2011.



Clinton Global Initiative CEO
Bob Harrison Says the CGI
Will Continue Even If Hillary
is President

President Bill Clinton announced that fundamental changes would occur at the Clinton Foundation if Hillary Clinton is elected president in November.



That he chose to associate his person with lying, cheating, fornicating, adulterous self-absorbed people is indicative, and his personal right. But by serving Bill Clinton (and his baggage), and by chairmanship of the BOT at my alma mater, Harrison is now *my* business, especially if he is connectable to the Subjects.

With Yale Law graduate Robert Harrison as conduit, the connection of the Clintons to Cornell, implicitly includes persons of notoriously questionable or criminal character:






This Harrison/Clinton conduit entangles Cornell with globally based crimes against humanity. An indication includes deployment of Ms. Chelsea Clinton as CGI ambassador combatting "vaccine hesitancy."





Prior to an escalator ride in New York, <u>Mr. Fauci</u>, while serving as the highest paid member of the **Executive Branch**, sent improper intragovernmental emails; several revealed your bias regarding the 2016 presidential election. Your emails regarding "Candidate H' were part of a tacit conveyance to your global COVID / RICO colleagues that everything was on schedule:



Your 2016 election expectations included accommodation of COVID-2017. While your heart-throb was in play (the person you had been referring to as "Candidate H,' doing so two-years <u>before</u> Ms. Hillary Rodham-Clinton had announced), massive effort was being expended to usher-in what was codified by Mr. Klaus Schwab as *The Great Reset*.

^H Mr. Fauci, your assessment of Candidate H is representative of your stupidity and lack-of-concern for humanity. You were aware that the Secretary Clinton hearings of 2013 investigated **murder of Americans in Benghazi Libya**. Candidate H testified, quote:

[&]quot;The fact is we had four dead Americans. Was it because of a protest or was it because of guys out for a walk one night who decided that they'd go kill some Americans? What difference at this point does it make?!"

[&]quot;Hit it right out of the park"?! "Very proud"?! And your comments regarding Mr. Bourla versus Ms. Jummai Nache?

In the context of COVID-2017, the escalator gala by Melania and Donald Trump on June 15, 2015, and



the Trump victory on November 8 2016, explain in-part the vile anti-Trump comments, tacitly endorsed by Mr. Fauci and Ms. Pollack. COVID-2017 as an operative of *The Great Reset* explains **the massive relentless hate campaign** that the Trump family endured, including young Barron, subsequent to the 45th presidential inauguration on January 21 2016.

On January 10, 2017, mere days prior to inauguration of President Donald Trump, the errand boy to *The Great Reset*, "America's Doctor," was compelled to announce postponement of COVID-2017:



"There will be a challenge (for) the coming Administration in the arena of infectious diseases, both chronic infectious diseases in the sense of already ongoing disease, and we have certainly a large burden of that, but also there will be a surprise outbreak."

It is likely that the March 2017 White House meetings (detailed by Bill Gates on Page 10 above) were originally planned for COVID-2017 . . . but those meetings were supposed to involve the complicity, if not outright participations of your "Candidate H."

Just prior to the 2016 election, *The Great Reset* and its NIAID COVID-2017 errand boy were confronted by diametrically opposed headlines; Donald Trump versus "Candidate H":





In 'CHARACTERIZATION 2: Show Me the Company You Do Not Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not*,' we further substantiate the relevance of these headlines to COVID-2017.

INTERMISSION 1: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee

Evidence asserting global conspiratorial COVID criminality is presented in a 70-minute interview of Dr. David Martin by Dr. Reiner Füllmich; July 2021 meeting of The Coronavirus Investigation Committee:





With no-need to reference the *self-inculpatory* emails of Fauci to Peter Daszak, **Dr. Martin testified as follows**; testimony which implies the veracity of COVID-2017:



"Somebody knew something in 2015 and 2016 which gave rise to my favorite quote of this entire pandemic. And by that, I am not being cute. My favorite quote of this pandemic was a statement made in 2015 by Peter Daszak. The statement that was made by Peter Daszak, reported in the National Academy of Press Publications in February 12, 2016; and I am quoting,

'We need to increase public understanding of the need for medical countermeasures such as a pan-corona-virus vaccine. A key driver is the media, and the economics will follow the hype. We need to use that hype to our advantage to get to the real issues. Investors will respond if they see profits at the end of the process."



In the context of the Subjects, the notion held by Ms. Martha Pollack, that Gates Hall on the Cornell campus is the result of "philanthropy," is not merely ignorant, it is at-best complicity, but most likely, in view of University Development Office prospecting, co-conspiracy.

¹ See Reference 2 discussion of similar prospect, Mr. Albert Bourla, Pages 21-22 below.

Footnote G, Page 8 above, introduces the little-known 'New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board.' That COVID-2019 farce is presented in the Reference 2 (Pages 20-22 below).

As Ms. Pollack, and her Cornell Homecoming 2020 cohort Anthony Fauci are fully aware, the people discussed in this section were not only *not*-invited to that New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, they were actively shunned . . . in stark contrast to Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla.

Ms. Pollack, Mr. Fauci . . . you two are definitely not of the same character, integrity and competence of Dr. Vladimir Zelenko. He represents, as a matter of history, 'Company You Do **Not** Keep.'



Located in Monroe, New York (where I spent a large part of my life), Dr. Zelenko has treated innumerable patients that present COVID-like symptoms . . . all have survived and returned to normal life:

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care were subjected to the fraudulent RT-PCR "test for COVID-19," and therefore were cannon fodder for CDC statistics that were used to justify the Fauci EUA?

Guess how many were hospitalized, and had a ventilator shoved into their face, which ensured death?

Guess how many times Dr. Zelenko was invited by State of New York "health authorities" (the buffoons that Ms. Pollack has relied upon for "guidance"), either to testify in Albany, or as a visiting physician to alleviate the Cuomo/Fauci nursing home deaths?

Instead, guess how many of Dr. Zelenko's patients were treated with COMPLETE SUCCESS with **hydroxychloroquine**, an off-patent inexpensive proven-safe medicine (that Mr. Fauci declared caused "adverse events," as he lied about "data" developed by his colleagues at Surgisphere)?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care have been victimized by "**breakthrough**" events that resulted from use of hydroxychloroquine, and had to reinitiate medical care to survive COVID?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care have been listed under the fraudulent Centers for Disease Control (CDC) Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS)?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Zelenko's care were injected with the same Pfizer/Bourla needle that was used on Ms. Jummai Nache?

^J Both of you received my July 21 2020 letter which discusses this Fauci fraud against **hydroxychloroquine** (a fraud deployed to bolster meetings held by Cuomo, **and attended by Pollack as a member** of Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board). See Pages 4-8 here http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-1-21july2020.pdf

Ms. Pollack, Mr. Fauci . . . you two are definitely not of the same character, integrity and competence of Dr. Pierre Kory. He represents, as a matter of history, 'Company You Do **Not** Keep.'



Also with offices in New York, Dr. Kory has treated patients WORLDWIDE that present COVID-like symptoms . . . all have survived and returned to normal life:

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care were specimens of the RT-PCR "test for COVID-19" fraud, and therefore used as cannon fodder for the World Health Organization (WHO) statistics that were used to justify global injection of humanity with Mr. Albert Bourla's needle?

Guess how many times Dr. Kory was invited by State of New York "health authorities," either to testify in Albany, or as a visiting physician to alleviate the Cuomo/Fauci nursing home deaths?

Instead, guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care were treated with COMPLETE SUCCESS with **IVERMECTIN**, an off-patent inexpensive proven-safe medicine (that Fauci declared an "animal drug")?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care have been victimized by "breakthrough" events that resulted from use of ivermectin, and had to reinitiate medical care for COVID?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care have been listed under the (under-counting) CDC VAERS *after* use of ivermectin?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care have been listed under the World Health Organization (WHO) Coronavirus COVID-19 Global Dashboard, after use of ivermectin?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Kory's care were injected with the same Pfizer/Bourla needle that was used on Ms. Jummai Nache?

^K Both of you received my December 21 2020 letter which discusses the suicide deaths of our K-12 children, but also discusses the vilification of Dr. Kory by US Senator Gary Peters (D-MI) during the Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs of 8 December 8 2020, This coordinated slandering, endorsed by Fauci, targeted the off-patent ivermectin. See Page 3 here http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-2-21december2020.pdf

Ms. Pollack, Mr. Fauci . . . you two are definitely not of the same character, integrity and competence of Dr. Richard Bartlett. He represents, as a matter of history, 'Company You Do **Not** Keep.'



Although not located in New York, Dr. Bartlett was among the first to treat innumerable patients in Texas that presented COVID-like symptoms . . . all have survived and returned to normal life:

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care were specimens of the RT-PCR "test for COVID-19" fraud, and spewed as cannon fodder for the **Texas** Department of State Health Services statistics; the latter used to justify tyrannical state-wide lockdowns and "mask mandates"?

Guess how many times Dr. Kory was invited by *any* state "health authority," either to testify, or as a visiting physician to alleviate nursing home horrors in New York, New Jersey, Michigan, Pennsylvania?

Instead, guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care were treated with COMPLETE SUCCESS with a **nebulized Budesonide protocol**, which involves off-patent inexpensive proven-safe medicines?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care have been victimized by "breakthrough" events that resulted from use of nebulized Budesonide, and had to reinitiate medical care for COVID?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care have been listed under the fraudulent CDC VAERS, *after* use of nebulized Budesonide?

Guess how many patients under Dr. Bartlett's care were injected with the same Pfizer/Bourla needle that was used on Ms. Jummai Nache?



CHARACTERIZATION 2: Show Me the Company You Do *Not* Keep, and I Will Tell You *What You Are Not* – Conclusion

Both of you are recipients of my April 12 2021 letter which discusses the Nuremberg Code, Medicalization, The Impossibility of Informed Consent, Connections of Dr. Anthony Fauci to the Nursing Homes Deaths, and the crime of 'Depraved Indifference.'

In addition to the MDs discussed above (Zelenko, Pierre, and Bartlett), I also presented in April 12 2021 the renowned Yale University epidemiologist Dr. Harvey Risch, director and founder of America's Frontline Doctors Dr. Simone Gold, and recent appointee to direct the Idaho Central District Health Dr. Ryan Cole.

Pictured on the left, Risch, Gold and Cole are also examples of The Company you do NOT keep:









At-right is The Company you *DO* keep . . . a 'vested interest,' who ensured that off-patent medicines were <u>NOT MENTIONED</u> as "advise," that <u>Ms. Pollack assisted with</u> as member of the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board . . . during the time <u>she was aware</u> that thousands were dying in the nursing homes . . . due to <u>censorship of available</u>, safe and 99% effective non-vaccine treatments.

REFERENCE 2: Martha Pollack Collaborations - Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board

Receiving scant media attention throughout 2020, ex-Governor Cuomo accommodated the needs of not merely The Great Reset, but also the central ploy of that cult which demands the addiction of humanity to an mRNA gene modification injection that the inventor declared "too dangerous to use on humans."

The surreptitious method that Cuomo used was formed in March 2020, called the "New York Reopening Advisory Board." The first meeting of this board occurred all the way back in April 2020:



It is no-surprise that Cuomo's Board website includes a "Pfizer Only" promotion:



The question: Who were <u>not</u> key members of this "New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, and who were, *and why*...

REFERENCE 2 : Martha Pollack Collaborations – Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board – con't

First we ask:

Of the <u>hundreds</u> on Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, were practicing medical doctors, <u>located in New York</u>, who had successfully treated patients, by the thousands, and were known to have done so <u>without</u> resorting to a Pfizer mRNA needle, doing so during the time that TENS-OF-THOUSANDS of elderly were dying in the nursing homes . . . were any invited to advise Cuomo's board?

Was New York Dr. Vladimir Zelenko invited?

Was New York Dr. Pierre Kory invited?

In the alternative we ask:

Was a person whose goal was the maximizing of corporate profits, who had previously banned all low-cost off-patent medicines from his drug portfolio to ensure those profits, who had knowledge of the COVID success of the off-patent medicines worldwide, but whose priority was instead the marketing of an mRNA concoction as a "vaccine," that was known to be dangerous but was shielded from all civil product liability . . . a person now pushing "variants," and "booster shots" . . . was that person invited to Cuomo's New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board?





REFERENCE 2 : Martha Pollack Collaborations - Pfizer / NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board - Conclusion

But we must address a most insidious question:

Is Cornell University in any way connectable to <u>coordinated disinformation and</u> <u>subversion</u> of known-to-be-successful non-vaccine COVID treatments that would have saved millions worldwide, had already done so in the great nation of India, <u>but could</u> <u>also have saved tens-of-thousands in the New York nursing homes</u>?

And *if* the answer is 'Yes' . . . *then* is there any person who is responsible for the overall COVID conduct of Cornell University, and implicitly that 'Yes' answer?

Was there a member on the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board from Big Academia, such as my alma mater Cornell University?

Her name is Ms. Martha Pollack, the current President of Cornell University:





Ms. Pollack, seated next to you during the 2020 NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board meetings, <u>during the time</u> that body bags were scarce, being filled with former nursing home residents, in a demonstration of your abject incompetence (at best), you associated Cornell University, *not* with practices and persons that ensured the well-being of humanity, but instead *with the exact opposite*; <u>sampled</u> by the following:









^L 'Body bags' is discussed with President Trump in September 18 2020, see Page 2: http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2trump-6-18september2020.pdf

INTERMISSION 2: The RICO Crimes of Liability Immunity - Paul Sheridan versus Fauci / Bourla



A staunch advocate of transportation safety, Mr. Lee lacocca, Chairman of Chrysler Corporation, nevertheless recognized that with respect to safety his organization had fundamental problems.

Inside Chrysler, in the 1992 timeframe, it was well-known that he was not pleased when he was compelled to ask the following not-so-rhetorical question:

"Who is going to fix safety in my company?!"

Of the ten-of-thousands of personnel to choose from, he chose Paul Sheridan, the undersigned.

Upon being chosen as Chairman of the Chrysler 'Safety Leadership Team' (SLT) I was immediately **inundated** with requests that the work and efforts of the SLT to protect Chrysler customers be, not merely circumspect, but secret! The primary source of that <u>criminal</u> request was the defense lawyers, and their corporate Defense Bar.

For two years, as chairman of the SLT, my primary burden was **not** correction or improvement of Chrysler product safety at the technical, engineering or manufacturing level . . . *not even close*. My primary burden was dealing with the deceit, the lies and the outright existing criminality of the corporate Defense Bar and their internal top executive clients. As a result of my Cornell MBA education, and corporate experience, and assertions of professional integrity, I deployed the following adage as a <u>comprehensive</u> rebuttal to the vileness that had characterized "safety," not merely in the automotive business, but in all product and service enterprises; the overleaf of my business card declares my *modus operandi*:




As a result of my work, after over-a- decade of effort, I was nominated by the American Bar Association for the much-heralded Civil Justice Foundation 'National Champion Award.' From over-1400 nominations I was chosen, and remain the first and only person to win the award for transportation safety.

From announcements in many business and legal journals, Cornell University Law School Dean Stewart Schwab sent a much appreciated hand-written note congratulating me as follows:

"... an alumnus of Cornell University gets the recognition they richly deserve."

INTERMISSION 2: The RICO Crimes of Liability Immunity - Paul Sheridan versus Fauci / Bourla - con't



Of the millions of words, and thousands of images, and hundreds of hyperlinks that you (and Provost Michael Kotlikoff) have deployed on the Cornell "COVID-19 WEBSITE," <u>not once</u> do we find forthright disclosure regarding the legal/medical fraud imposed upon University students and staff by:

"Liability Immunity."


Why is that Ms. Pollack?

In stark contrast to your pusillanimity, how many times do you think I proposed "liability immunity' as *key* to a competent, ethical and moral approach to transportation safety? How many times did I propose 'liability immunity' when assisting the Department of Transportation (DOT) with corrections to the Federal Registry?

How acceptable would your 'liability immunity' approach have been to accident victims?

How acceptable would your coercions and "mandatory vaccinations" have been to Cornell University Founder Mr. Ezra Cornell?

INTERMISSION 2: The RICO Crimes of Liability Immunity - Paul Sheridan versus Fauci / Bourla - Conclusion





Summary: Mr. Anthony Fauci

In your interview with the Financial Times of London of July 10, 2020, you spewed the following self-absorbed protestation:

"I have a reputation, as you probably have figured out, of speaking the truth at all times and not sugar-coating things. And that may be one of the reasons why I haven't been on television very much lately."

Your sputum occurred at the time that mass graves were being filled with New York nursing home corpses, and **frantic but secret emails** were sent between you and criminals such as Mr. Peter Daszak. M

In another example of self-absorbed vehemence, one year later on July 20 2021, but now **after** the frantic and secret but heavily redacted emails had been released; before the US Senate on Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee, you declared that you are in no-way connected to *any* gain-of-function research, at the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology, or anywhere else:



In a vile but revealing **demonstration of your true person**, you began putting your fingers into the faces of the Senate, in **a threatening and violent manner**. If your proximity was closer, and took place on campus, your shouting and physical actions would have been interpreted by any reasonable person as imminent physical danger; **your arrest by the Cornell University Police would have occurred / been justified**. N

[™] See INTERMISSION: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee, Page 15 above.

^N Personal observation: Your behavior is not unfamiliar to me; it portends a person whose position is increasingly tenuous compared to the associates you thought were going to 'have your back.'

Summary: Mr. Anthony Fauci - Conclusion

As you are fully aware, by virtue of being an open recipient, in the just-filed lawsuit of *Mr. Ravi Batra versus Mr. Peter C. Daszak, Janet D. Cottingham, EcoHealth Alliance, Incorporated,* your testimony and supporting unredacted documents, emails, etc., will be part of extensive discovery. None of the legal process, in my hard won experiences of over thirty years, will accommodate your history of violence, retaliation, threatening outbursts, or "sugarcoating" in behalf of your vested interests comrades.

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 08/17/2021 03:06 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

RAVI BATRA.

Plaintiff,

(NYSCEF CASE)

- against -

SUMMONS

PETER C. DASZAK, JANET D. COTTINGHAM a/k/a JANET DASZAK, and ECOHEALTH ALLIANCE INC.,

Defendants.

THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS ARE HEREBY SUMMONED, to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Attorneys for Plaintiff within twenty (20) days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service or within thirty (30) days after service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York; and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

COUNTY DESIGNATED AS THE PLACE OF TRIAL: NEW YORK COUNTY

BASIS OF VENUE: CPLR 503(a); New York County is the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

DATED: August 17, 2021

The Law Firm of Ravi Batra, P.O. Attorneys for Plaintiff

By: Ravi Batra, Esq.

[] The Batra Building 142 Lexington Avenue New York, NY 10016 [X] Westchester Office - Echo Law

11 Echo Bay Drive New Rochelle, NY 10805

212-545-1993

E-Mail: ravi@ravibatralaw.com

I am requesting that plaintiff extend discovery to the RICO scheme of 'liability immunity,' enacted by you in-behalf of Mr. Albert Bourla, Pfizer Corporation, etc., and how your 'liability immunity' scheme was endemic to your true role in the global COVID-19 pandemic; that of the defendants, PLA comrades at the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology, Mr. Bill Gates, Mr. Francis Collins, Mr. Christian Drosten, former New York Governor Andrew Cuomo, former presidential candidate Ms. Hillary Rodham-Clinton, etc.

Summary: Ms. Martha Pollack

Your comrade, the movie star, the person you declared a source of "guidance" in your alleged battle against "SARS-CoV-2," is the defrocked and disgraced Andrew Cuomo:



On March 25, 2020, Governor Cuomo, contrary to all common sense and well-known medical practices, directed by Executive Order (EO) that "COVID positive" people be forcefully and indiscriminately inserted into *all* New York nursing homes. In that EO your friend Cuomo ordered that "SARS-CoV-2 testing" of <u>new</u> residents be banned; your "source of guidance" outlawed testing that was previously standard practice for any new resident, for even the flu!

But you and Cornell University Provost Michael Kotlikoff said nothing in protest of such torrid corruption; why is that Ms. Pollack?

A person close to me commented: **"This is too stupid to be stupid!"** That was very insightful, and absolutely true. There was nothing "stupid" about Cuomo's nursing home EO. It was purposeful and provably conspiratorial . . . and you, Ms. Pollack, were/are directly connectable to all of this.

Cuomo loses Emmy following scandal, resignation

By NICK NIEDZWIADEK | 08/24/2021 01:29 PM EDT

Regarding The Company You Keep, a mere three days ago, Tuesday August 24, 2021, the news media and their headlines continue to divert from real priorities; the relevant facts and criminality of COVID-19, and the tens-of-thousands of avoidable deaths in the New York nursing homes . . . and the conspiratorial inspiration, The Great Reset, codified by your comrade Mr. Klaus Schwab.

Ontrary to the testimonial *crap* from Dr. Howard Zucker, there was nothing "inadvertent" about the true purpose of the Cuomo Executive Order which forced COVID patients into the nursing homes. If this "doctor" still claims 'There is much to learn about this virus,' I suggest he seek grammar school level tutoring from Dr. David Martin and Dr. Reiner Füllmich (Page 15 above). Alternatively, I can assure Zucker that very little is unknown about 'liability immunity.'

In the original reports of November 2020, International Academy President and CEO Mr. Bruce Paisner, declared that Governor Cuomo was being given an Emmy Award:

"... because he effectively created television shows, with characters, plot lines, and stories of success and failure."

None of these farcical Emmy Award news reports covered the horrors of the New York nursing homes; not even in the context of "failure." Now, just three days ago, your comrade Mr. Paisner is spewing:

STATEMENT FROM THE INTERNATIONAL ACADEMY OF TELEVISION ARTS & SCIENCES

August 24, 2021

The International Academy announced today that in light of the New York Attorney General's report, and Andrew Cuomo's subsequent resignation as Governor, it is rescinding his special 2020 International Emmy® Award. His name and any reference to his receiving the award will be eliminated from International Academy materials going forward.

Do you see any mention of, or any update regarding Cuomo's murderous COVID "stories of failure" in New York in general, **or the nursing homes in particular?** Wednesday offered the following:

New York Governor <u>Kathy Hochul</u> promised more government transparency on her first day in office, and by day's end her administration had quietly acknowledged nearly 12,000 more deaths in the state from <u>COVID-19</u> than had been publicized by her predecessor, Andrew Cuomo.


New York now reports nearly 55,400 people have died of COVID-19 in New York, based on death certificate data submitted to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, up from about 43,400 that Cuomo had reported to the public as of Monday, his last day in office.

"We're now releasing more data than had been released before publicly, so people know the nursing home deaths and the hospital deaths are consistent with what's being displayed by the CDC," Hochul said Wednesday on MSNBC. "There's a lot of things that weren't happening and I'm going to make them happen. Transparency will be the hallmark of my administration."

Whether in November 2020 when the farcical Emmy was awarded, or in August 2021 when the farcical Emmy is rescinded, your media friend Mr. Paisner never connects his rescinding to murder in the nursing homes . . . nor did you as President of Cornell University, a <u>life sciences</u> institution.

P Governor Hochul is a courtesy copy of this letter; I assure you, and her, that I will be one of many testing her trendy <u>claims</u> of "transparency" in the not-too-distant future.

Shortly after the Cuomo EO that forced diseased residents into the nursing homes, you began your service to Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla on the New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board. Immediately you subverted the Cornell home page for an exploitive, commercially-premised scare campaign:



As was well-known to you, Provost Michael Kotlikoff and Cornell Counsel Ms. Madelyn Wessel, the term 'New Normal' was codified for the purpose of marketing . . . vaccines! Merck Corporation deployed The New Normal as part of their roll-out promotions at the January 6 2004 conference entitled:

"SARS and Bioterrorism: Bioterrorism and Emerging Infectious Diseases, Antimicrobials, Therapeutics and Immune Modulators"

As you three were *also* fully aware, "New Normal," which you dutifully regurgitated, was a term then embraced as a <u>lockdown</u> branding campaign, fully endorsed <u>and adopted</u> by:

World Health Organization

The Global Preparedness Monitoring Board

People's Republic of China Center for Disease Control

The Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation

Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations

Mr. Anthony Fauci (NIAID) and Mr. Francis Collins (NIH) . . . to name a few.

"At this time, Cornell is not requiring our employees or students to be vaccinated; however, we strongly encourage each of you to be vaccinated when you become eligible. Vaccination is key to the resolution of this global pandemic, and we hope that you all take this opportunity to protect yourselves, as well as our community".

If recollection serves, the above "vaccine" roll-out was displayed at your 'COVID-19 WEBSITE' **prior** to the EUA of December 11, 2020. The site was updated as you served (1) the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board and (2) Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla . . . the other "philanthropist" was also on-cue:

"The only vaccine, that if everything went perfectly, might seek the emergency use license by the end of October, would be Pfizer." Mr. Bill Gates, September 15, 2020.

October?! You too were on-cue while subverting the campus to your "vaccine" agenda. In a grotesque demonstration of inveracity, you orchestrated another "surprise" involving "America's Doctor" during Homecoming 2020 . . . in October.

^Q You received my June 9 2021 letter to Mr. Fauci wherein I detail his and your fraudulent misuse of the campus for your joint agenda (it was not *your* first time). Pages 5 - 13: http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-4-9june2021.pdf

While Mr. Gates *continues* to lie about the Pfizer needle as a "vaccine," parroting the fairy tale that it resulted from Year 2020 Operation Warp Speed, and censoring the truth that in-fact mRNA-based needles and associated patents date to not-later-than 2003; he is also aware that the great nation of India is a market where his person and his "vaccine" profiteering were, and remain **not welcome**.

INDIA FEBRUARY 5, 2021 / 12:30 AM

Pfizer drops India vaccine application after regulator seeks local trial

By Krishna N. Das

Like Fauci, Collins, Bourla, Cuomo, Walensky, Daszak, and Susan Wojcicki (YouTube), and Dr. Augustine Choi (Director of Weill-Cornell Medical), and Dr. Soumya Swaminathan (Chief Scientist of the World Health Organization). . . . you Ms. Pollack also distort the truth about off-patent COVID treatments; that are not experimental and do require liability immunity . . . while defiling Cornell University with these distortions, tens-of-thousands of elderly were left to die, in isolation, in the New York nursing homes.

Your connections to COVID distortions **and outright lies** are well-documented, ranging from your membership on the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board to ongoing Cornell University website postings. An example of a bold-faced lie, connectable to your person as current President of Cornell University; one among hundreds from today's CornellHealth webpage (screenshot):

Is the vaccine safe?

All data currently available indicate that the vaccines are safe. Thus far, no serious long-term side effects have occurred. Some individuals do experience minor side effects that reflect the body's immune response beginning; a tiny number of individuals have experienced allergic reactions and have required immediate and successful treatment.

All current data? No serious long-term side effects? Minor side effects?! A tiny number!? As you are fully aware, your so-called "approved vaccines," that you have injected into the arms of captured, unsuspecting but **coerced** Cornell students and staff, have killed and horribly maimed more human beings in the first six months of deployment (post the fraudulent December 11 2020 EUA), than all true vaccines <u>combined</u> during the previous twenty years! **Let us try that again, in large font:**

Your so-called "approved vaccines," that you have injected into the arms of unsuspecting but coerced Cornell students and staff, have killed and horribly maimed more human beings in the first six months of deployment than all vaccines combined during the previous twenty years!

This "knowledge" is well-known . . . on the next page we review a recent Cornell home page.

^R On Page 7 of Exhibit 1 you will find the US Patent Office rejection verbiage against the profiteering attempts of the **"pathological liar"** Mr. Fauci versus his mRNA concoction applications dating to 2003. See Item (1) Page 3 above.



Respect knowledge? Be kind? In case you, and Provost Kotlikoff, and Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla *forgot*, there is nothing kind about the underbelly that motivates your violation of the Nuremberg Code; its letter or spirit. We share more "knowledge" with you. In the Exhibit, I declare on Page 4 (screenshot):

Preview of the 13 July 2021 Headlines - Everything becomes Nothing?

At the beginning of the Fauci Pandemic, **everything** is **COVID**, and the death statistics are exaggerated.

At the end of the Fauci Pandemic, **nothing** is "vaccine," and the death statistics are subverted.

From beginning to end . . . one bold-faced lie after another . . . all leading to the following headline:

The world has become *increasingly* aware that promotion of the Dr. Christen Drosten perversion of the RT-PCR protocol as a "test" for "SARS-CoV-2" is an abject fraud:

CDC withdraws fraudulent PCR testing protocol that was used to falsify covid "positives" to push the plandemic

Sunday, July 25, 2021 by: Mike Adams Tags: badhealth, badmedicine, badscience, CDC, coronavirus, COVID, hoax, junk science, pandemic, PCF Plandemic, science froud


It was well-known *from the very beginning*, the "test" that you deployed against Cornell students and staff can *not*, and never will be able to distinguish between SARS-causing viruses versus, for example, the flu! Without being **clade specific**, it certainly cannot detect the recently deployed "SARS-CoV-2." In other words, the essence if not the totality of your 'COVID-19 WEBSITE' is not merely incompetent, mistaken, or merely outdated. You and that website (and what has resulted from it) constitute fraud.

S You received my July 21 2020 letter to Mr. Fauci; on Pages 10-11 I requested his "knowledge" regarding the rt-PCR fraud; he never responded with integrity. If *you* need "knowledge" on my use of the phrase "recently uploaded variant 'SARS-CoV-2,'" have Mr. Fauci explain it to you. As he is aware, the explanation also applies to the recent diversionary sputum from Walensky and Bourla about the "Delta variant," its connection to patent # 7279327, the GISAID database, and on and on and on.

INTERMISSION 3: The CornellHealth COVID "Vaccine" Fraud

We review another lie from Ms. Martha Pollack, and contrast that lie with very recent headlines from Japan. We contextualize with screenshots of typical searches recently conducted at the CornellHealth website:





INTERMISSION 3: The CornellHealth COVID "Vaccine" Fraud - con't



My 'paulvsheridan' YouTube account enjoyed years of postings that involved geology to history. That account had a million hits, and hundreds of 'thumbs up.' Last year I uploaded "The Ivermectin Story." Within hours Ms. Susan Wojcicki, a colleague to Ms. Martha Pollack, did not merely delete the Ivermectin videos, she terminated my entire paulvsheridan account.

Contrary to the motivations and perversions of CornellHealth, and the decrepit news media, **The Ivermectin Story** is not only utterly factual and truthful; in deep irony, its broad censorship by social media confirms that status! Protecting the profiteering of the Pfizer mRNA needles is *also* confirmed, the real perversion; the underbelly of this RICO.

That my alma mater, an institution famed for its good works in life sciences, would openly endorse, by their actions and words, profit over health; this will not be tolerated.

CornellHealth relies on "guidance" from the Acting Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), Dr. Janet Woodcock. From her notorious 'opioid epidemic' failures, to the recent <u>true</u> status of <u>non approval</u> of the deployed mRNA Pfizer needle, **her reputation for double-talk and lying is consistent with the unofficial 'job description' of her FDA position.**





The FDA tweet is typical of the "guidance" which <u>assaults</u> the Cornell and Ithaca NY communities; CornellHealth deploys the murderous Pfizer needle while lying to those communities about the many alternatives, such as the globally recognized COVID record of the lost-cost **off-patent** drug Ivermectin.

^T **Ms. Pollack**, perhaps you would remind Dr. Woodcock and FDA sycophants that the renowned Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine is fully versed in the successful uses and deployments of Ivermectin, in humans and animals that spans over **four decades worldwide**. Perhaps Provost Michael Kotlikoff would offer that update <u>to the</u> world given his previous role as Dean of the Cornell University College of Veterinary Medicine!

INTERMISSION 3: The CornellHealth COVID "Vaccine" Fraud - Conclusion

As an alternative to the charlatans of Ms. Susan Wojcicki, Ms. Martha Pollack, Dr. Janet Woodcock, and CornellHealth . . . we have the serious gentleman, Dr. Haruo Ozaki.



Dr. Ozaki is Chairman of the Tokyo Metropolitan Medical Association. In a news conference streamed on 12 August 2021, he declared that Japan was already a "country of use" regarding Ivermectin, but the reason for low-use was not lack of known effectiveness among his medical profession, but a lack of availability!

The cause of that Ivermectin shortfall?

Dr. Ozaki points to the company that coined and promoted the pro-vaccine vernacular "**New Normal.**' Dr. Ozaki stated:

"Even if a doctor writes a prescription for Ivermectin, there is no drug in the pharmacy. This (prescription) is virtually unusable. But (Merck) says that Ivermectin does not work, so there should not be any need to limit supply. If it does not work, there is no demand. I believe it works, so block supply. It looks like you are."

Contrary to the Tweet sputum from the FDA about horses, Ivermectin use in humans has zero side-effects and an overwhelmingly positive track record versus "SARS-CoV-2." Dr. Ozaki stated on August 12, 2021:

"I am aware that there are many papers that Ivermectin is effective in the prevention and treatment of corona, mainly in Central and South America and Asia. In Africa, if we compare countries distributing Ivermectin once a year with countries which do not give Ivermectin, I mean they do not give Ivermectin to prevent COVID, but to prevent parasitic diseases...but anyway, if we look at COVID numbers in countries that give Ivermectin, the number of cases is 134.4 per 100,000, and the number of deaths is 2.2 in 100,000.

"Now, African countries which do not distribute Ivermectin: 950.6 cases per 100,000 and 29.3 deaths per 100,000. I believe the difference is clear."

The papers discussed by Dr. Ozaki, regarding use of Ivermectin in Central America, South America, Asia and Africa, were written a posteriori.

That is, human use of Ivermectin in those areas is historical, but <u>not</u> for "SARS-CoV-2." Data tabulated for these papers is **after-the-fact**; and as-such is skewed <u>against</u> Ivermectin. Helping CornellHealth with arithmetic, the Africa data suggests that COVID cases drop 86%, and the deaths drop by 92%!

Still going slow for CornellHealth . . . a drug that has been off-patent since 1996, dispensed for humans <u>for decades</u> but for non-COVID uses, that has nonetheless shown miraculous positive effect versus COVID, that costs \$10, is banned and censored from the Cornell campus?

Contrary to the Tweet sputum from the FDA about cows, the dispensing of Ivermectin for human use involves proper dosage amounts and **covers four decades worldwide!**, Woodcock and CornellHealth might benefit from The Ivermectin Story documentary, banned by YouTube, but preserved here:

https://pvsheridan.com/Ivermectin-Story_Part-1.mp4


https://pvsheridan.com/Ivermectin-Story_Part-2.mp4

<u>Summary: Ms. Martha Pollack</u> - Conclusion (from Page 32)

At the CornellHealth website we find the following bold-face lie:

How effective is the vaccine?

Pfizer reports that the vaccine is 95% effective. Moderna reports that their vaccine is 94% effective.



There are so many **bold-faced** lies spewed by the CornellHealth and main Cornell webpages; so many spewed by you, Provost Kotlikoff, and your StayHomecoming cohort Fauci, so many by Weill-Cornell Medical College regarding "SARS-CoV-2" that this letter could easily go to 10,000 pages. As could the book COVID-19: The Global Predators: We Are the Prey!

In contrast to The Company *YOU* Keep, experts that you and your cohorts Andrew Cuomo and Albert Bourla did *not* invite to the NY Forward Reopening Advisory Board, these Harvard University authors *did* invite practicing physicians; true health authorities such as Dr. Peter McCullough, Dr. Elizabeth Vliet and New York Dr. Vladimir Zelenko.

But, regarding your bold-faced lie above, one that characteristically involves 'lies by commission' and 'lies by omission,' we ask simple questions that are never addressed by your servitude to "The Vaccine King," Mr. Albert Bourla:

"95% effective" at what?!

- (a) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing viral transmissibility, and therefore the lunatic mandates of 'social distancing' and 'masks' can be relaxed on that basis?
- (b) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing reinfection of the alleged original cause of COVID, the "SARS-CoV-2"?
- (c) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing infection by Bourla's follow-up marketing schemes; the alleged "variants," such as the brand name "Delta variant"?
- (d) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing infection in the nose and nasopharynx; the exact locations of the fraudulent "COVID test," the basis of your vile COVID-19 Response?
- (e) Is The Vaccine King's needle 95% effective at preventing future infection from the common cold or flu, either in the short term or the long term?

OF COURSE NOT, AND ON ALL ACCOUNTS! The "95% effective" verbiage connects to no such claim; indeed, very recent studies indicate that Bourla's needle <u>REDUCES</u> immune response to the flu . . . and you are fully aware of these facts . . . Ms. Pollack.

One of the most indicative of your exploitations involves black people. The "95% effective" CornellHealth *lie-by-omission* is well-known <u>as such</u> to black people. Therefore, I ask you Ms. Pollack:

- (1) Is that reality going to increase your use of the label "anti-vaxxer" against them?
- (2) Is that reality going to further accredit their refusal to be injected with Bourla's mRNA needle?
- (3) If the answer to question (2) is yes, are you going to preside over the non-admission of black people, hiding behind your dystopian "Consequences of inaction" coercion threats?


Before you assert your personal angelology, I demand that you review Page 25 above.

Personal Notes: Summary of the Attached Exhibit

The memorial gala of my Cornell President Dr. Frank H. T. Rhodes is scheduled for October 23, 2021. I first met President Rhodes, by accident, in the "green" elevator of Day Hall in 1979. My minor knowledge of his expertise (geology) was a fun introduction. We became, if I may be so bold, friends. Characteristically, of the 15-odd letters I wrote post-graduation, guess how many President Rhodes did *not* respond to?

The attached Exhibit is a 'thank you' to Oral Robert University President Dr. William M. Wilson. His gracious note to me is under Tab 1.

Unlike the dystopian **crap** you are inflicting upon the world, **and by extension Ms. Jummai Nache**, the path of President Wilson is truthful, fruitful and righteous. As you will see, I had shared the following June 2021 CDC VAERS chart with President Wilson:



My letter to President Wilson was widely distributed. Shortly thereafter, CDC Director Rochelle Walensky scrubbed the above type of reporting/charting from her website.

With this is mind, please know that President Wilson and Oral Robert University are **not** participating, at any level, in the factual declaration found at the bottom of Page 31.



^U The same number Fauci and Pollack *have* responded to.

Conclusion

During the time that the servility of Ms. Pollack was serving his profiteering needs as a co-member of the New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board, and the criminality of Mr. Fauci was serving his needs with everything from 'liability immunity' to the fraudulent RICO-based Emergency Use Authorization, the CEO of Pfizer Mr. Albert Bourla was threatening the health and well-being of humanity on a global scale, not the least of which included outright blackmailing of entire nations, especially in Latin and South America:



Conclusion

But the bullying by Mr. Bourla did not begin in the New York nursing homes, or end in Latin and South America. A key operative was the continuous, coordinated coercion of the medical, hospital and nursing staffs . . . not the least of which is Ms. Jummai Nache:



In a lengthy conversation with husband, Mr. Philip Nache, he explained that prior to the 'vaccine mandate' inflicted upon her, "Jummai was never hospitalized. She was the healthiest of our family!"

Meanwhile, vested interests like you two, Ms. Pollack and Mr. Fauci, were vigorously manipulating facts, conspiring from behind closed doors, and boldly doing so in plain view; jointly from the bully pulpit of my beloved alma mater Cornell University during Homecoming 2020, an utterly despicable display of arrogance and implicit inveracity!

In the final assessment, lest your tendency for selfindulgence and raw egotism overwhelms you, you two amount to, at most, *symptoms* of the current epoch; one that is characterized by the betrayal depicted on Page 2 above.

Like spiritual chaff, the status of Judæan Judas Iscariot, your chances of passing through the proverbial keyhole are diminishing with every human soul that suffers as horribly as Jummai. Such are connectable to your promotions and deeds, prior to and ongoing with COVID.

It is only matter of time, under the edict 'Follow the Science,' and your **dystopian crap**, that similar outcomes to that of Ms. Jummai Nache will befall many more; not the least of which is the **coerced** Cornell University students and staffs also afflicted by bullying by your comrade Mr. Albert Bourla.

Please know that the above is highly thrifted, and I took no pleasure in its authorship. However, I will leave Ms. Pollack with one assertion: In the context of Page 2 above, had Ms. Jummai Nache been enrolled at Cornell, but decided through true 'informed consent' to reject the Bourla needle; you would have voided her matriculation without hesitation.

Sincerely,

Paul V. Sheridan

Statement on the Recent Killings of Black People in America

May 29, 2020

Dear Cornellians,

I am heartbroken, angry and frankly sickened by the recent killing of George Floyd, and before him, Ahmaud Arbery, Breonna Taylor and others whose deaths are less well publicized.

The amount of pain in the Black community is unfathomable, especially as these are occurring in the midst of a pandemic that is having such a disproportionate impact on communities of color.

Decent people and institutions cannot stand silent while such violence against our fellow citizens continues.

I want to make clear, both personally and on behalf of Cornell, that we will do all we can as a university to address this scourge of racism. We will address it directly in our educational programs, in our research and in our engagement and related activities, working through the ways we know best to push for a world that is equitable and kind; where people do not have to fear for their lives because of the color of their skin; and where everyone has the same opportunities to grow, thrive and enjoy their lives.

My heart goes out to everyone who is feeling the pain of these recent incidents.

Sincerely,

Martha

Addendum to Abridged Hard Copy Version

The complete letter of 27 August 2021, including attached Exhibit, up-to-date SPODs, and hyperlinks is available here:

https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2pollack-fauci-1-21august2021.pdf

The attached Exhibit to the 27 August 2021 letter, as a separate document, is available here:

https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2wilson-1-19july2021.pdf

The complete video of the Dr. David Martin interview by Dr. Reiner Füllmich of the July 2021 meeting of The Coronavirus Investigation Committee is here:

https://pvsheridan.com/Dr-Fuellmich Dr-Martin July-2021-Corona-Investigative-Committee.mp4

This interview is featured on Page 15.

The complete (current) court file of the litigation of:

Mr. Ravi Batra versus Mr. Peter C. Daszak, Janet D. Cottingham, EcoHealth Alliance, Inc.

is available here: http://pvsheridan.com/Batra versus Daszak/

This court file is introduced on Page 27.

A recent interview of Dr. Vladimir Zelenko on "SARS-CoV-2" is available here:

https://pvsheridan.com/Dr-Vladimir-Zelenko Exposes-Global-Genocide.mp4

Dr. Zelenko is discussed on Pages 16, 19, 21 and 36.

Background and availability information on the Dr. Peter Breggin / Ms. Ginger Ross-Breggin book, **COVID-19: The Global Predators: We Are the Prey!** is available here:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rXE-f HDLTc

This book is discussed on Page 36

A historical sampling of the Paul V. Sheridan letters on COVID is available here:

http://pvsheridan.com/paulvsheridan-SARS-CoV-2-Letters-Directory/



21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

State of Florida COVID-19 Vaccine Screening and Consent Form – Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine (please see Page 2 red arrow highlights)

8 pages



Administration Facility	Name/Facility ID:	

COVID-19 VACCINE SCREENING AND CONSENT FORM Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine

Name: Last:		First:		Middle Initial:			
Date of Birth: Month	Day	Year	Phone Number (Patient or Guardian):				
Address:				Apt/Room #:			
City:			State:	Zip:			
Sex (Gender assigned at birth) ☐ Female ☐ Male	☐ Asian	an Indian or Alaska Native r African American	☐ Native Hawaiian or other ☐ Pacific Islander ☐ White	☐ Other Asian ☐ Unkno ☐ Other Nonwhite ☐ Other Pacific Islander	own	nicity ispanic o ot Hispar nknown	r Latino nic or Latino
Primary Insurance Carrie	er ID #:		Grp #:		I		
Insurance Company :			Insu	rance Company Phone #			
Insured's Name:		R	elationship:	Insured's	Date of Bi	rth	
Secondary Insurance Ca	rrier ID #:		Grp #:				
Insurance Company:			Insu	rance Company Phone #			
Insured's Name:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	R	elationship:	Insured's	Date of Bi	rth	
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCRE Please check YES or No for	ENING QUES	TIONS on.		irst Dose	•	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREI Please check YES or No for I. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle conausea, vomiting, or diarrhe	ENING QUES each question you had at an or body aches ea?	TIONS on. ny time in the last 10 c , headache, new loss	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose	y	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREI Please check YES or No for I. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhe 2. Have you tested positive fo	ENING QUES each question you had at an or body aches ea? r and/or been	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 c headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the l	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days?	cy e,	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREIPlease check YES or No for I. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle conausea, vomiting, or diarrhed. Have you tested positive for I. Have you had a severe alle any of the ingredients of this	ENING QUES reach question you had at an or body aches ea? r and/or been regic reaction (s vaccine?	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 c headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephr	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the I rine or hospital care) to a	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days?	cy e,	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREIN Please check YES or No for I. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhed Place in the Ingredients of the ingredient	ENING QUES reach question you had at an or body aches ea? r and/or been regic reaction (s vaccine?	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 c headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephr	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the I rine or hospital care) to a	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days?	cy e,	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREIN Please check YES or No for 1. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhous 2. Have you tested positive for 3. Have you had a severe alle	ENING QUES reach question you had at any probody aches, ea? r and/or been regic reaction (s vaccine? ccinations in the	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 coordinates, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephr the last 14 days (e.g. i	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)?	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine	e, or to	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREI Please check YES or No for 1. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhe 2. Have you tested positive fo 3. Have you had a severe alle any of the ingredients of thi 4. Have you had any other val 5. Have you had any COVID-	ENING QUES reach questic you had at an or body aches, ea? r and/or been orgic reaction (s vaccine? ccinations in the	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 c, headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephr he last 14 days (e.g. interapy within the last 9	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)?	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine	e, or to	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREIN Please check YES or No for 1. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle concusea, vomiting, or diarrhes 2. Have you tested positive for 3. Have you had a severe alle any of the ingredients of this 4. Have you had any other variable and the younge of the ingredients of the ingredi	ENING QUES each questic you had at an or body aches ea? r and/or been ergic reaction (s vaccine? ccinations in the SCREENING each questic	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 co, headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephr he last 14 days (e.g. interapy within the last 9 con.	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)? 90 days (e.g. Regeneron,	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine Bamlanivimab, COVID Conv	e, e or to	Yes	No
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREIN Please check YES or No for Individual In	ENING QUES reach question you had at any body aches, ea? r and/or been regic reaction (s vaccine? ccinations in the second of t	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 co, headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephrone he last 14 days (e.g. interapy within the last 9 countries of anaphylic treatment of anaphylic interapt.	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)? 90 days (e.g. Regeneron, ID-19 VACCINE	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine Bamlanivimab, COVID Conv	e, e or to		
Please check YES or No for Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle conausea, vomiting, or diarrhed. Have you tested positive for Have you had a severe alle any of the ingredients of this Have you had any other varies. Have you had any COVID-Plasma, etc.) ECTION 3: IMMUNIZATION SPIE CALLED TO YOU	ENING QUES reach question you had at any probody aches, ea? r and/or been ergic reaction (s vaccine? eccinations in the seach question or emergency mant or is there	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 ce, headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI de.g. needed epinephr the last 14 days (e.g. interapy within the last 9 GUIDANCE FOR CO on. treatment of anaphylate a chance you could	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)? 90 days (e.g. Regeneron, ID-19 VACCINE	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine Bamlanivimab, COVID Conv	e, e or to		
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREIPlease check YES or No for I. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle conausea, vomiting, or diarrhed. Have you tested positive for B. Have you had a severe aller any of the ingredients of this I. Have you had any other variable. Have you had any COVID-Plasma, etc.) ECTION 3: IMMUNIZATION SPIE CHECK YES or No for Ison you carry an Epi-pen for foods, vaccines or latex? 7. For women, are you pregrated.	ENING QUES each question you had at any probody aches, ea? r and/or been ergic reaction (so vaccine? coinations in the each question or emergency mant or is there antly breastfeet	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 control in the last 14 days (e.g. in the last	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)? 90 days (e.g. Regeneron, VID-19 VACCINE axis and/or have allergies become pregnant?	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine Bamlanivimab, COVID Conv	e, e or to		
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREI Please check YES or No for 1. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhe 2. Have you tested positive fo 3. Have you had a severe alle any of the ingredients of thi 4. Have you had any other van 5. Have you had any COVID- Plasma, etc.) ECTION 3: IMMUNIZATION 3: Please check YES or No for 6. Do you carry an Epi-pen for foods, vaccines or latex? 7. For women, are you pregr 8. For women, are you curre 9. Are you immunocomprom	ENING QUES each question you had at anyor body aches ea? r and/or been ergic reaction (s vaccine? ccinations in the SCREENING each question or emergency mant or is there ently breastfeed ised or on a m	TIONS on. by time in the last 10 control in the last 14 days (e.g. in the last	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)? 90 days (e.g. Regeneron, IVID-19 VACCINE axis and/or have allergies become pregnant?	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine Bamlanivimab, COVID Conv	e, e or to		
ECTION 2: COVID-19 SCREI Please check YES or No for 1. Do you have today or have breathing, fatigue, muscle of nausea, vomiting, or diarrhe 2. Have you tested positive fo 3. Have you had a severe alle any of the ingredients of thi 4. Have you had any other val 5. Have you had any COVID- Plasma, etc.) ECTION 3: IMMUNIZATION 3: Please check YES or No for 6. Do you carry an Epi-pen for foods, vaccines or latex? 7. For women, are you curre	ENING QUES reach questic you had at an or body aches, ea? r and/or been argic reaction (s vaccine? ccinations in the seach questic or emergency mant or is there at the seach or a misorder or are	TIONS on. Ty time in the last 10 co, headache, new loss diagnosed with COVI e.g. needed epinephr he last 14 days (e.g. interapy within the last 9 GUIDANCE FOR CO on. treatment of anaphylate a chance you could ding? nedication that affects you on a blood thinne	days a fever, chills, cough of taste or smell, sore thr ID-19 infection within the Irine or hospital care) to a influenza vaccine, etc.)? 90 days (e.g. Regeneron, IVID-19 VACCINE axis and/or have allergies become pregnant? Expour immune system?	, shortness of breath, difficult oat, congestion or runny nose ast 10 days? previous dose of this vaccine Bamlanivimab, COVID Conv or reactions to any medication	e, e or to valescent		

- I certify that I am: (a) the patient and at least 18 years of age; (b) the parent or legal guardian of the patient and confirm that the patient is at least 16 years of age; or (c) authorized to consent for vaccination for the patient named above. Further, I hereby give my consent to the Florida Department of Health (DOH) or its agents to administer the COVID-19 vaccine.
- I understand that This product has not been approved or licensed by FDA, but has been authorized for emergency use by FDA, under an EUA to prevent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) for use in individuals 16 years of age and older; and the emergency use of this product is only

Page 1 of 2 Effective Date: 1/04/2021 authorized for the duration of the declaration that circumstances exist justifying the authorization of emergency use of the medical product under Section 564(b)(1) of the FD&C Act unless the declaration is terminated or authorization revoked sooner.

- I understand that it is not possible to predict all possible side effects or complications associated with receiving vaccine(s). I understand the risks and benefits associated with the above vaccine and have received, read and/or had explained to me the Emergency Use Authorization Fact Sheet on the COVID-19 vaccine I have elected to receive. I also acknowledge that I have had a chance to ask questions and that such questions were answered to my satisfaction.
 - I acknowledge that I have been advised to remain near the vaccination location for approximately 15 minutes after administration for observation. If I experience a severe reaction, I will call 9-1-1 or go to the nearest hospital.
- On behalf of myself, my heirs and personal representatives, I hereby release and hold harmless the State of Florida, the Florida Department of Health (DOH), and their staff, agents, successors, divisions, affiliates, subsidiaries, officers, directors, contractors and employees from any and all liabilities or claims whether known or unknown arising out of, in connection with, or in any way related to the administration of the vaccine listed above.
- I acknowledge that: (a) I understand the purposes/benefits of Florida SHOTS, Florida's immunization registry and (b) DOH will include my personal immunization information in Florida SHOTS and my personal immunization information will be shared with the Centers for Disease Control (CDC) or other federal agencies.
- I further authorize DOH or its agents to submit a claim to my insurance provider or Medicare Part B without supplemental coverage payment for me for the above requested items and services. I assign and request payment of authorized benefits be made on my behalf to DOH or its agents with respect to the above requested items and services. I understand that any payment for which I am financially responsible is due at the time of service or if DOH invoices me after the time of service, upon receipt of such invoice.
- I acknowledge receipt of the Notice of Privacy Rights.

Signature of Patient or Authorized Representative				Date:		
Print Name of Representative and Relationship to Person Receiving Vaccine:						
Site (LD/RD)	Route	Manufacturer (MVX)		Lot # Unit of Use/ Unit of Sale	Expiration Date	Date of EUA Fact Sheet
	IM					
Administer name/ID	ed at lo	ocation: facility				
Administer	ed at lo	ocation: Type				
Administra	ition Ad	dress:				
CVX (prod	uct)					
Sending or	ganizat	tion:				
Vaccinator Pri	nt Name:			Signature:		Date:
Vaccine admi	nistering	provider suffix:			_	

Page 2 of 2
Effective Date: 1/04/2021

FACT SHEET FOR RECIPIENTS AND CAREGIVERS

EMERGENCY USE AUTHORIZATION (EUA) OF THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE TO PREVENT CORONAVIRUS DISEASE 2019 (COVID-19) IN INDIVIDUALS 16 YEARS OF AGE AND OLDER

You are being offered the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine to prevent Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) caused by SARS-CoV-2. This Fact Sheet contains information to help you understand the risks and benefits of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine, which you may receive because there is currently a pandemic of COVID-19.

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is a vaccine and may prevent you from getting COVID-19. There is no U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19.

Read this Fact Sheet for information about the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. Talk to the vaccination provider if you have questions. It is your choice to receive the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine.

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is administered as a 2-dose series, 3 weeks apart, into the muscle.

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine may not protect everyone.

This Fact Sheet may have been updated. For the most recent Fact Sheet, please see www.cvdvaccine.com.

WHAT YOU NEED TO KNOW BEFORE YOU GET THIS VACCINE?

WHAT IS COVID-19?

COVID-19 disease is caused by a coronavirus called SARS-CoV-2. This type of coronavirus has not been seen before. You can get COVID-19 through contact with another person who has the virus. It is predominantly a respiratory illness that can affect other organs. People with COVID-19 have had a wide range of symptoms reported, ranging from mild symptoms to severe illness. Symptoms may appear 2 to 14 days after exposure to the virus. Symptoms may include: fever or chills; cough; shortness of breath; fatigue; muscle or body aches; headache; new loss of taste or smell; sore throat; congestion or runny nose; nausea or vomiting; diarrhea.

WHAT IS THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is an unapproved vaccine that may prevent COVID-19. There is no FDA-approved vaccine to prevent COVID-19.

The FDA has authorized the emergency use of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine to prevent COVID-19 in individuals 16 years of age and older under an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA).

For more information on EUA, see the "What is an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA)?" section at the end of this Fact Sheet.

WHAT SHOULD YOU MENTION TO YOUR VACCINATION PROVIDER BEFORE YOU GET THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

Tell the vaccination provider about all of your medical conditions, including if you:

- have any allergies
- have a fever
- have a bleeding disorder or are on a blood thinner
- are immunocompromised or are on a medicine that affects your immune system
- are pregnant or plan to become pregnant
- are breastfeeding
- have received another COVID-19 vaccine

WHO SHOULD GET THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

FDA has authorized the emergency use of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine in individuals 16 years of age and older.

WHO SHOULD NOT GET THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

You should not get the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine if you:

- had a severe allergic reaction after a previous dose of this vaccine
- had a severe allergic reaction to any ingredient of this vaccine

WHAT ARE THE INGREDIENTS IN THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

The Pfizer BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine includes the following ingredients: mRNA, lipids ((4-hydroxybutyl)azanediyl)bis(hexane-6,1-diyl)bis(2-hexyldecanoate), 2 [(polyethylene glycol)-2000]-N,N-ditetradecylacetamide, 1,2-Distearoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, and cholesterol), potassium chloride, monobasic potassium phosphate, sodium chloride, dibasic sodium phosphate dihydrate, and sucrose.

HOW IS THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE GIVEN?

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine will be given to you as an injection into the muscle.

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine vaccination series is 2 doses given 3 weeks apart.

If you receive one dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine, you should receive a second dose of this same vaccine 3 weeks later to complete the vaccination series.

HAS THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE BEEN USED BEFORE?

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is an unapproved vaccine. In clinical trials, approximately 20,000 individuals 16 years of age and older have received at least 1 dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine.

WHAT ARE THE BENEFITS OF THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

In an ongoing clinical trial, the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine has been shown to prevent COVID-19 following 2 doses given 3 weeks apart. The duration of protection against COVID-19 is currently unknown.

WHAT ARE THE RISKS OF THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

Side effects that have been reported with the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine include:

- injection site pain
- tiredness
- headache
- muscle pain
- chills
- joint pain
- fever
- injection site swelling
- injection site redness
- nausea
- feeling unwell
- swollen lymph nodes (lymphadenopathy)

There is a remote chance that the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine could cause a severe allergic reaction. A severe allergic reaction would usually occur within a few minutes to one hour after getting a dose of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. Signs of a severe allergic reaction can include:

- Difficulty breathing
- Swelling of your face and throat
- A fast heartbeat
- A bad rash all over your body
- Dizziness and weakness

These may not be all the possible side effects of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. Serious and unexpected side effects may occur. Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is still being studied in clinical trials.

WHAT SHOULD I DO ABOUT SIDE EFFECTS?

If you experience a severe allergic reaction, call 9-1-1, or go to the nearest hospital.

Call the vaccination provider or your healthcare provider if you have any side effects that bother you or do not go away.

Report vaccine side effects to **FDACDC Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System (VAERS)**. The VAERS toll-free number is 1-800-822-7967 or report online to https://vaers.hhs.gov/reportevent.html. Please include "Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine EUA" in the first line of box #18 of the report form.

In addition, you can report side effects to Pfizer Inc. at the contact information provided below.

Website	Fax number	Telephone number
www.pfizersafetyreporting.com	1-866-635-8337	1-800-438-1985

WHAT IF I DECIDE NOT TO GET THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

It is your choice to receive or not receive the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. Should you decide not to receive it, it will not change your standard medical care.

ARE OTHER CHOICES AVAILABLE FOR PREVENTING COVID-19 BESIDES PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE?

Currently, there is no approved alternative vaccine available for prevention of COVID-19. FDA may allow the emergency use of other vaccines to prevent COVID-19.

CAN I RECEIVE THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE WITH OTHER VACCINES?

There is no information on the use of the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine with other vaccines

WHAT IF I AM PREGNANT OR BREASTFEEDING?

If you are pregnant or breastfeeding, discuss your options with your healthcare provider.

WILL THE PFIZER-BIONTECH COVID-19 VACCINE GIVE ME COVID-19?

No. The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine does not contain SARS-CoV-2 and cannot give you COVID-19.

KEEP YOUR VACCINATION CARD

When you get your first dose, you will get a vaccination card to show you when to return for your second dose of Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine. Remember to bring your card when you return.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

If you have questions, visit the website or call the telephone number provided below.

To access the most recent Fact Sheets, please scan the QR code provided below.

Global website	Telephone number
www.cvdvaccine.com	
	1-877-829-2619 (1-877-VAX-CO19)

HOW CAN I LEARN MORE?

- Ask the vaccination provider.
- Visit CDC at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/index.html.
- Visit FDA at https://www.fda.gov/emergency-preparedness-and-response/mcm-legal-regulatory-and-policy-framework/emergency-use-authorization.
- Contact your local or state public health department.

WHERE WILL MY VACCINATION INFORMATION BE RECORDED?

The vaccination provider may include your vaccination information in your state/local jurisdiction's Immunization Information System (IIS) or other designated system. This will ensure that you receive the same vaccine when you return for the second dose. For more information about IISs visit: https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/programs/iis/about.html.

WHAT IS THE COUNTERMEASURES INJURY COMPENSATION PROGRAM?

The Countermeasures Injury Compensation Program (CICP) is a federal program that may help pay for costs of medical care and other specific expenses of certain people who have been seriously injured by certain medicines or vaccines, including this vaccine. Generally, a claim must be submitted to the CICP within one (1) year from the date of receiving the vaccine. To learn more about this program, visit www.hrsa.gov/cicp/ or call 1-855-266-2427.

WHAT IS AN EMERGENCY USE AUTHORIZATION (EUA)?

The United States FDA has made the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine available under an emergency access mechanism called an EUA. The EUA is supported by a Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS) declaration that circumstances exist to justify the emergency use of drugs and biological products during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine has not undergone the same type of review as an FDA-approved or cleared product. FDA may issue an EUA when certain criteria are met, which includes that there are no adequate, approved, available alternatives. In addition, the FDA decision is based on the totality of scientific evidence available showing that the product may be effective to prevent COVID-19 during the COVID-19

pandemic and that the known and potential benefits of the product outweigh the known and potential risks of the product. All of these criteria must be met to allow for the product to be used in the treatment of patients during the COVID-19 pandemic.

The EUA for the Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine is in effect for the duration of the COVID-19 EUA declaration justifying emergency use of these products, unless terminated or revoked (after which the products may no longer be used).



Manufactured by Pfizer Inc., New York, NY 10017

BIONTECH

Manufactured for BioNTech Manufacturing GmbH An der Goldgrube 12 55131 Mainz, Germany

LAB-1451-0.7

Revised: December 2020



Scan to capture that this Fact Sheet was provided to vaccine recipient for the electronic medical records/immunization information systems.

Barcode Date: 12/2020

TAB 3

21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

The College Fix article of 4 September 2021, Despite 95% vaccination rate, Cornell today has five times more COVID cases than it did this time last year

4 pages

TURN OFF ALL ADS











DONATE

ORIGINAL. STUDENT REPORTED. YOUR DAILY DOSE OF RIGHT-MINDED NEWS AND COMMENTARY FROM ACROSS THE NATION.

News ~

Student Reporters

Opinion

About The Fix

Write For Us

Contact

SUBMIT

ANALYSIS HEALTHCARE

Despite 95% vaccination rate, Cornell today has five times more COVID cases than it did this time last year

JOSEPH SILVERSTEIN - CORNELL UNIVERSITY

SEPTEMBER 4, 2021











ANALYSIS: If the goal is to prevent infection, the 95 percent vaccination rate on Cornell's campus has not accomplished that

Cornell University has aggressively pushed its students to get vaccinated, announcing a vaccine mandate for the 2021-22 academic year in April and frequently denying religious and medical exemptions.

As a result, 95 percent of the campus population, both students and faculty, is vaccinated.

Despite this, Cornell University has more than five times the amount of confirmed positive cases during its first week of this academic year than it did during its first week of the 2020-21 academic year, according to the Cornell COVID dashboard.

By the numbers, during the first week of school that ran from Aug. 27 to Sept. 2 of this academic year, Cornell reported 322 positive COVID-19 cases.



MOST POPULAR FROM THE COLLEGE FIX

Students demanded professor who refused to use trigger warnings be fired. So far, he's still teaching.

SEPTEMBER 3, 2021

DON'T MISS A THING

Despite 95% vaccination rate, Cornell today has five times more COVID cases than it did this time last year SEPTEMBER 4, 2021

Christian students win


By the numbers, during the first week of school that ran from Aug. 27 to Sept. 2 of this academic year, Cornell reported 322 positive COVID-19 cases.

In comparison, during the first week of school last year, which ran from Sept. 3 through Sept. 9 of 2020, Cornell reported 59 positive COVID-19 cases.


That is 263 more cases, or more than five times the amount of positive cases, when comparing the first week of school.


Most students attended in-person classes in the fall of 2020 and were required to wear masks, just like this academic year. The increase in positive cases cannot be attributed to an increase in testing — in fact, more tests were administered in the first week last fall, according to the Cornell COVID dashboard.

FALL 2021



FALL 2020





** These data reflect Cornell's testing programs for students, faculty and staff on the Ithaca campus, including Cornell Agrillach. Unlike testing in other parts of the nation (much of which is limited to testing of symptomatic individuals), we are using surveillance testing, which allows us to proactively test all members of our campus community, not just those who are experiencing symptoms or have a known contact with a positive case. As a result, many of the positive star results we report are asymptomatic infections that most other testing programs would miss. The information on this page may not be inclusive of employees who undergo testing off campus or Cornell community cases outside of Tompkins County. The dashboard is typically updated daily. Monday-Priday. Please note that due to test processing time, there may be a two-day delay between when a test is taken and when the results will appear on the dashboard. The dash may be corrected as final investigations are completed.

From Sept. 3 through Sept. 9 of 2020, there were 28,951 COVID tests administered, <u>according</u> to the Cornell COVID dashboard. There were only 59 positive tests, or a 0.2 percent positivity rate.

In contrast, from Aug. 27 to Sept. 2 of this year, only 27,103 tests were administered, finding 322 positive cases, or a 1.19 percent positivity rate.

No variable changed: Cornell <u>announced</u> in July that it would still require all individuals, regardless of vaccination status, to wear a mask while inside. The same public health measures, often <u>criticized</u> as too strict, that were in place last year remain in place this year.

Due to the high amount of positive cases the Ithaca campus is currently experiencing, President Martha Pollack warned classes may be moved online if the trend continues. Meanwhile, the campus has been moved to a "Code Yellow."

If the goal is to prevent infection, the 95 percent COVID-19 vaccination rate on Cornell's campus has not accomplished that.

As Cornell and many other universities across the country mandate these vaccines, one must ask: to what extent are the vaccines effective? Do the benefits of vaccination outweigh the risks for healthy 20-year-olds?

In August, the CDC director <u>admitted</u> the COVID-19 vaccines cannot prevent transmission. Cornell's recent case surge is further evidence of that.

The risk of an adverse reaction to the COVID-19 vaccine, while minute, is not zero.

According to CDC and FDA data, the mRNA vaccines have been linked to increased risk of myocarditis, a serious heart condition, in young people, particularly young men. While this reaction to the vaccine is exceedingly rare, the connection between the mRNA vaccines and myocarditis is higher than previously thought.

Further, Dr. Robert Malone, one of the founders of mRNA vaccines, <u>warned</u> on evolutionary biologist Bret Weinstein's podcast that the spike protein received from vaccination is, in his medical opinion, cytotoxic.

And <u>according</u> to information released by the Japanese government in response to a Freedom of Information Act request, the lipid particles from the Pfizer vaccine concentrate overwhelmingly in the ovaries and also bone marrow.

Why on earth would Cornell force its students to receive this vaccine?

To be clear, Americans who are elderly, immunocompromised, or suffer from a serious underlying condition should definitely get the vaccine. But even in those cases, they must still have the freedom to make such a decision for themselves.

Cornell's draconian COVID-19 policies have failed, and now the administration's promises about a normal, mask-free academic year in return for achieving "herd immunity" have come crashing down against the cold reality we all face.

If the vaccines cannot prevent infection and return us to normalcy, what then, is the point of returning to campus at all?

Editor's note: The author of this piece has requested and been denied a religious exemption from Cornell's vaccine mandate. He received the first dose of the Moderna vaccine in June.

MORE: Cornell COVID restrictions 'defy reason,' student columnist says

IMAGE: Evgenii Mitroshin / Shutterstock

Like The College Fix on Facebook / Follow us on Twitter



21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

Letters from/to Oral Roberts University President Dr. William Wilson, describing ORU decision to not follow the national stampede into campus mandatory "vaccines." (cover letter only)

18 Pages



June 27, 2021

Paul Sheridan
President
DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, Michigan 48124-3431

Dear Mr. Sheridan,

Blessings and grace to you.

I have received your packet and auxiliary materials dated June 9, 2021. Thank you for your hard work in putting this together.

My prayers are with you and with our nation as we continue to move back to normal after the pandemic.

Sincerely,


William M. Wilson

President

DEVELOPING WHOLE LEADERS FOR THE WHOLE WORLD

ORU HEALTH AND SAFETY INFORMATION

ORU President Dr. William Wilson announces a return to normal operations at ORU.



- Students will not be required to have a vaccination for COVID-19 in order to attend ORU this Fall. We have not been requiring, nor will we require, COVID-19 vaccinations of staff or faculty in order to serve or work at this university.
- ▶ Students will not be required to test for COVID-19 before entering the dorms.
- Masks will be optional in all campus venues and at all campus events. They will not be required anywhere on campus.
- Our cafeteria, food outlets, Chapel, classrooms and all departments will return to normal operations without social distancing. Classroom sizes will return to normal, and we will have normal student-faculty interactions.
- ▶ There will be no temperature checks and no check-in apps when you come onto campus this Fall.
- All residential classes will continue to be taught in-person, face-to-face and virtually.
- We will maintain quarantine and isolation space should we need them.
- ▶ Testing for COVID-19 and the influenza virus will be available to staff, faculty and students free of charge, allowing anyone who is symptomatic to be tested.
- We will maintain our hand sanitizing stations on campus to ensure good hygiene.





Q



Dear Customer,

The following is the proof-of-delivery for tracking number: 774299080065

Delivery Information:

Delivered Status: **Delivered To:** Shipping/Receiving

D.DAVIS 7777 S LEWIS AVE Signed for by: **Delivery Location:**

Service type: FedEx First Overnight

Special Handling: Deliver Weekday TULSA, OK, 74171

> **Delivery date:** Jul 20, 2021 09:07

Shipping Information:

Tracking number: Ship Date: 774299080065 Jul 19, 2021

> Weight: 6.1 LB/2.77 KG

Recipient:

President Dr. William Wilson, Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
TULSA, OK, US, 74171

Shipper:
Paul V. Sheridan, DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
DDM Consulting
Dearborn, MI, US, 48124

Ltr to Pres Wilson (ORU) Reference



President Dr. William Wilson
Oral Roberts University
7777 South Lewis Avenue
Tulsa, OK 74171
918-495-6161
Shipper tracking 7742-9908-0065

Subject 1: mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)

Subject 2: Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant" Subject 3: Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)

Reference 1: My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)

Reference 2: My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)

Reference 3: My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)
Reference 4: My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)
Reference 5: Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021:

The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)

Courtesy Copy List

Pastor Bruce Wesley
Clear Creek Community Church
999 North Egret Bay Blvd.
League City, TX 77573

Dr. Robert Jeffress, Pastor
First Baptist Church Dallas
1707 San Jacinto
Dallas, TX 75201

League City, TX 77573 Dallas, TX 752 281-338-5433 214-969-0111

Shipper tracking 774309405970 Shipper tracking TBD

Congressman Jim Jordan Senator Rand Paul United States Congress United States Senate

2056 Rayburn House Office Building 167 Russell Senate Office Building

Washington, DC 20515 Washington DC, 20510

202-225-2676 202-224-4343

Shipper tracking 774309258409 Shipper tracking 774309210632

Congressman Mark Green Congressman James Comer United States Congress United States Congress 2446 Rayburn HOB 2410 Rayburn HOB Washington, DC 20515 Washington, DC 20515

74511119(01), DC 20313 Washing(01), DC 203

202-225-2811 202-225-3115

Shipper tracking 774320542652 Shipper tracking 774320500965

Senator Ron Johnson Mr. Tucker Carlson
United States Senate Fox News Washington
328 Hart Senate Office Building 400 North Capitol St NW

Washington, DC 20510 Washington, DC 20001

202-224-5323 202-824-6300

Shipper tracking 774309312042 Shipper tracking 774309446558

Dr. Anthony S. Fauci, Director Ms. Martha E. Pollack

NIAID Office of the President

5601 Fishers Lane Cornell University - 300 Day Hall Rockville, MD 20852 Ithaca, NY 14853

301-496-2263 / anthony.fauci@nih.gov 607-255-5201

Shipper tracking TBD Shipper tracking TBD

22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124-3431
313-277-5095
pvs6@cornell.edu

19 July 2021

VIA FEDEX AIRBILL 7742-9908-0065

President Dr. William Wilson Oral Roberts University 7777 South Lewis Avenue Tulsa. OK 74171

Subject 1: mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD)

Subject 2: Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant" Subject 3: Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021)

Reference 1: My Letter to the Presidents of the Ivy League (6 March 2021)

Reference 2: My Letter to Anthony Fauci and Ivy League Law School Deans (12 April 2021)

Reference 3: My Letter to Governor DeSantis / Governor Noem (23 April 2021)
Reference 4: My Letter to Fox News CEO Mr. Jack Abernethy (24 June 2021)
Reference 5: Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021:

The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)

Dear President Wilson:

Thank you sincerely for your letter of 27 June 2021. Anticipating such courtesy, from a person and an institution (ORU) that has a demonstrated track record of true-caring, bravery, intelligence and integrity; I am grateful to have made our acquaintance (Attachment 1).

Context

When discussing current affairs, I sometimes refer to The Big Five (in approximate historical order):

Big Religion

Big Government

Big Corporate

Big Media

Big Academia

None are problematic *per se*. But in our time it is clear that all have been infiltrated, corrupted, and diminished in grace and purpose. If major revisions in behavior/priority are not enacted by The Big Five, individually and in unison, then their collective fate as irredeemable is assured.

In my hard-won experience, Big Academia is the most insidious. Big Academia does the "best job" of promoting itself as pure, as intelligent, as moral/ethical. It is Big Academia that the other four (1) look to for realization, (2) rely upon for longevity and (3) literally employ for justification (research?).

I can assure The Big Five that their wares & ways are not new to The World, and that the latter has a long history of enforcing . . . course correction. But our issue is the toll in human suffering, the demise of the innocent; both of which are avoidable by leaders making a proper interpretation of Hosea 4:6.

Alternatively, I correctly anticipated <u>not</u> receiving similar courtesy from *any* member of the *many* other recipients of the References/telephone calls, including non-response from those at my alma mater, Cornell University.


Subject 1: mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD) ²

In Reference 2 (Page 3), and on Page 19 in my letter of 9 June 2021, I directed the following historical reality at Anthony Fauci (screenshot):

It is your well-documented historical practice of deriding and discarding, at every opportunity, the merits of non-vaccine based treatments and cures for a variety of health issues. You have dictated that "vaccination is key" to disease mitigation. Vaccination is Fauci's priority; especially the experimental. You have a long record of discrediting and subverting the use of now-inexpensive, proven/safe treatments, and health/immune system enhancement protocols. You have a long record of orchestrating **investment-intensive**, **taxpayer-funded**, corporate pharmaceutical, shareholder promoted, university Development Office prospect endorsed, globally-scaled **vaccine** development and deployment. Those that question your methods are ridiculed, their employment terminated, and reputations publically tarnished.

On Page 6 of my letter of 2 July 2021 to US Michigan Senators Debbie Stabenow and Gary Peters, I offered the May 2021 summary chart of the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS). The fraudulent VAERS was once again underreporting: Since the Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) of the mRNA "vaccine" in December 2020, 5,888 Americans had already lost their lives to what Fauci and Cornell University forcefully declare as "safe & effective." ³

By June 2021, the VAERS tally skyrocketed by an additional 3,160 to 9,048!!!



² Please note that the key Subject 1 word is within quotation marks; please see Page 7 below.

³ VAERS is run by two of the most unreliable and distrusted organizations in history: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Repeated requests for system accuracy updates have been ignored. Experts <u>conservatively</u> correct the VAERS COVID-19 death data by 40x, and the injury data by 100x. Above I emphasize 'Americans.' It is well-known that thousands have suffered worse mRNA inoculation fates *outside the USA;* data which are strenuously avoided by CDC/FDA and their media mouthpieces.

Subject 1: mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD) - con't

Context is needed to truly comprehend a 13 July 2021 headline (Please see Page 5 below).

A. At the beginning of this so-called pandemic, hospitals and doctors and nurses **worldwide** were coerced into recording *any* new death as "COVID-19" on the death certificate. A motorcycle accident death in Florida was caused by blunt-force-trauma. But that true causation was deemed inconsequential versus COVID-19. This COD **farce** caught the attention of Governor Ron DeSantis.

Over a year ago, Page 17 of 36 in my 21 July 2020 letter to Fauci, I displayed (screenshot):

Should "COVID-19" be reported on the death certificate only with a confirmed test?

COVID-19 should be reported on the death certificate for all decedents where the disease caused or is assumed to have caused or contributed to death. Certifiers should include as much detail as possible based on their knowledge of the case, medical records, laboratory testing, etc. If the decedent had other chronic conditions such as COPD or asthma that may have also contributed, these conditions can be reported in Part II. (See attached Guidance for Certifying COVID-19 Deaths)

That the lead-in question is posed <u>at-all</u> confirms how deeply corrupted the so-called COVID-19 pandemic truly is. This document, its enforcement, and implicit fraudwas exposed very early by Montana physician Dr. Annie Bukacek. I presented her in my letter to President Trump. In an interview, "Montana physician Dr. Annie Bukacek discusses how COVID 19 death certificates are being manipulated," she reviews her 30+ years of experience with death certificates:



She poses the central question, one we reviewed in the section above, "SARS-CoV-2 Tests, Confirmed COVID-19 Cases, and the So-Called Second Wave." Dr. Bukacek asks:

"I am going to talk about death certificates today. The decision for unprecedented government mandated lockdowns has been based on the alleged death rates of COVID-19. But are these death rates based on truth?

... Are the reported deaths from COVID-19, truly deaths from COVID-19?"

As you are fully aware Dr. Fauci, the answer, on both questions is a resounding, "NO!"

The first MD to expose this fraud, Dr. Annie Bukacek was vilified by "health authorities" and their media mouthpieces. She received zero blessings and support from various "religious leaders" (See page 9 below).

Subject 1: mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD) - con't

B. A model of physical health & condition, Mr. Hank Aaron was *specifically chosen* to rectify "vaccine hesitancy" among Black people. Certainly the geniuses that comprise CDC/FDA, and their suitors in Big Pharma, would not deploy a person that was so frail, so tentative that their death was imminent. Such would subvert their schemes. Aaron's longevity status was well-known; *THAT* pre-condition was *WHY* he was chosen . . . and that is why his death was anything but "natural."

As his tragic destiny attests, within a short time after being inoculated with Fauci's mRNA "vaccine," we all lost a beloved hero. **An even shorter time later,** the 'damage control' headlines began spewing from the vested-interests of both media and hospital: ⁴



Preview of the 13 July 2021 Headlines - Everything becomes Nothing?

At the beginning of the Fauci Pandemic, **everything** is COVID, and the death statistics are exaggerated.

At the end of the Fauci Pandemic, **nothing** is "vaccine," and the death statistics are subverted.

From beginning to end . . . one bold-faced lie after another . . . all leading to the following headline:

⁴ Obviously, Mr. Hank Aaron is not listed in the VAERS data base . . . his COD was listed as "natural."

Subject 1: mRNA "vaccine" as Ongoing Cause of Death (COD) - Conclusion



Shock? For whom? Certainly not the undersigned. And certainly not the "humanitarians" here:







Subject 2: Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant"

Written during the final, but revised-timing of the COVID plan, the Wall Street Journal marketing hype above, which masquerades as news, begs elaboration. Medicines, in the Bill Gates byline, especially the off-patent medicines, are not moneymakers. The profit margins, required by New World Order criminals such as Gates, are to be found, historically speaking, in patented vaccines.

Unknown to most, the Global Alliance for Vaccines and Immunizations (GAVI) was founded in 1999 with \$750,000,000 of bribery/seed money from . . . Bill Gates. In 2010 GAVI announced, at its founder's behest, that 2010 through 2020 be declared 'The Decade of the Vaccine.'



My "introduction" to Anthony Fauci occurred in the early 1980s during his 'HIV = AIDS' charade. My mentors were Dr. Terrance Gordon, Dr. Gary Null, and Dr. Kary Mullis; among others. Of the four letters I wrote to Fauci about his charade, he responded to none. My primary theme was outpatient treatments.

During his 'HIV = AIDS' storyline, Fauci attempted to patent an early version of the mRNA contraption. This "vaccine" targeted (what has *still* not been identified as a "novel") Human Immuno-Deficiency Virus (HIV).



SPEAKING OUT, ACTING UP: AIDS activists from around the country came together to "Storm the NIH" on May 21, 1990, setting off colored smoke bombs en route to buildings where NIH and NIAID directors had their offices. The demonstration "made a huge statement" about activists' demands for increased patient access to clinical trial decisions, says activist Peter Staley. "The people whose minds were ultimately changed: this action made very clear to them how important this goal was to us."

⁵ I am drafting material that will qualify/quantify the 'revised-timing of the COVID plan' verbiage. An important portion of the associated facts will be drawn from Reference 5, please see Page 12 below.

Subject 2: Fraudulent Promotions of "COVID vaccine" and "Delta Variant" - Conclusion

Motivated by historical reality (screenshot, Page 2 above), Fauci sought to gorge himself on profits derived from (1) The death of AIDS victims and (2) simultaneous denial of inexpensive non-vaccine off-patent treatments. The outrage directed at Cornell graduate Fauci is depicted in the 1990 photo (Page 6).

But the response to Fauci from the US Patent and Trademarks Office? 6

Application/Control Number: 09/869,003 Page 5
Art Unit: 1648

These arguments are persuasive to the extent that an antigenic peptide stimulates an immune response that may produce antibodies that bind to a specific peptide or protein but is not persuasive in regards to a vaccine. The immune response produced by a vaccine must be more than merely some immune response but must be protective. As noted in the previous Office Action, the art recognizes the term "vaccine" to be a compound which prevents infection. Applicant has not demonstrated that the instantly claimed vaccine meets even the lower standard set forth in the specification, let alone the standard art definition, for being operative in this regards. Therefore, claims 5, 7, and 9 are not operative as an anti-HIV-1 vaccine and therefore lack patentable utility.

With a documented priority of "career success" rather than service-to-others, Fauci failed to deliver an "AIDS vaccine." During his time as errand boy for AIDS profiteers, Fauci denied approval of off-patent treatments (such as sulfamethoxazole Bactrim™). On Page 6 in my letter to Fauci of 21 December 2020, I quoted Yale Professor Dr. Harvey Risch regarding the AIDS death toll attributed to "America's Doctor":

"Seventeen-thousand people died because of Dr. Fauci's insistence on not allowing even a statement supporting consideration of the use (of Bactrim)."

Again, the sub context of Subject 2 is the Page 2 screenshot. Regarding COVID-19, the mRNA inoculation being mandated is also **not** a vaccine . . . its content, delivery and true purpose does not meet the most loosely defined medical, legal, moral . . . or even patent office criteria . . . and Fauci knows it! Hence the use of quotation marks is not picayune, but is meant to expose **another fraud**. 8

I will discuss a similar, but even more dangerous fraud labeled as "Delta variant," in the Conclusion. That discussion will rely on Reference 5.

⁶ Please see Reference 2, Tab 10, Page 6 (Many thanks to Dr. David E. Martin).

⁷ For additional discussion see Reference 2, Page 16 of 26.

⁸ As such, this may require an update to Attachment 1, your Page 2 verbiage.

INTERMISSION

NIH director: We asked God for help with COVID-19, and vaccines are the 'answer to that prayer'

'This is about saving lives,' NIH Director Francis Collins told RNS.



National Institutes of Health Director Dr. Francis Collins speaks during a Senate Health, Education, Labor and Pensions Committee hearing on new coronavirus tests on Capitol Hill in Washington on May 7, 2020. (AP Photo/Andrew Harnik, Pool)





Subject 3: Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress (15 July 2021) - Introduction

In the epic movie *Excalibur*, film genius Director John Boorman scripted a key scene where the Boy King is perplexed by doubts presented by his Knights about the spiritual condition of the kingdom. At the Round Table, King Arthur poses the question to his mentor and life-long friend, Merlin the Magician:

King Arthur Where hides evil then, in my kingdom?

Merlin the Magician Always where you never expect it . . . ALWAYS!

Subject 3: Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress - "Thou Shall Be No Priest to Me"

Two weeks prior to the Fox News interview with Pastor Robert Jeffress, the VAERS data shown on Page 2 above was published.

Two days prior to the Fox News interview with Pastor Jeffress, the "shock" headlines sampled on Page 5 were published . . . as of this letter, 19 July 2021, **that VAERS death toll is now over 11,000!!**

Months prior to the Fox News interview with Pastor Jeffress, the headlines on the bottom of Page 8 above were published **and known to Jeffress** and the general public:



My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge: because thou hast rejected knowledge, I will also reject thee, that thou shalt be no priest to me: seeing thou hast forgotten the law of thy God, I will also forget thy children. Hosea 4:6

Subject 3: Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress - "Thou Shall Be No Priest to Me"

Big Media anchors like Shannon Bream are known quantities. But where "we least expect it" is twofold:

- (1) The Kingdom never expected evil of such magnitude to emerge from those swearing to protect us under the Hippocratic Oath, the medical, pharmaceutical and hospital professions.
- (2) But the Kingdom never, never, expects that evil hides in plain sight at the religious bully pulpit.

But the "knowledge" referred to by Lord Jesus had/has **nothing** to do with that lauded by Big Academia, and their clients in Big Religion, Big Government, Big Corporate, and Big Media.

Praying alongside common criminals like Francis Collins (Page 8 above), Pastor Jeffress openly declared that the mRNA inoculation is from God (!?); while conflating everything from the 'sanctity of life' to 'my body my choice' (in the polemical sense), to the 'attitude that is in Christ Jesus.'

Working in lockstep with Anthony Fauci, Francis Collins, Bill Gates, Klaus Schwab. Joe Biden, and the entire anti-Jesus New World Order demons, **Pastor Jeffress** never offered the mountains of **worldly** knowledge regarding the known fraud of rt-PCR "testing," a fraud deployed from the very beginning of the "pandemic," but of late specifically targeting the Christian churches for pre-planned headlines:



At no time did Pastor Jeffress protest the "Vacina Salva!" crap spattered upon "Christ the Redeemer" in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; quite the contrary, he endorsed it! (Please see Page 8 above.)

⁹ For introduction to the rt-PCR fraud, see Pages 10/11: http://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-1-21july2020.pdf

Subject 3: Fox News Interview of Pastor Robert Jeffress - CONCLUSION

Since Pastor Robert Jeffress apparently missed a major detail, let us go real slow for him and his ilk.



The Lord Jesus said that, upon His return, He would address "the nations."

Jesus never said that He would speak at a one-world government forum that was orchestrated by a New World Order, regardless of the "Great Reset" machinations to inflict such upon His earthy Kingdom.



For viewing of the 15 July 2021 Fox News segment between Shannon Bream and Pastor Robert Jeffress:

http://pvsheridan.com/jeffress-foxnews-15july2021.m4v (no spaces)

Reference 5: Dr. Reiner Fuellmich Interview of Dr. David Martin of July 2021: The Coronavirus Investigation Committee (Enclosed USB Drive)

In regard to the crimes and the criminals that led to COVID-19, great incrementality is presented by the works of Dr. David E. Martin and Dr. Reiner Fuellmich:





If you do nothing else, with the materials I have forwarded to you, I ask that at the very least you view the 70-minute interview by Dr. Fuellmich of Dr. Martin; **that video is offered in the enclosed USB drive.**

Everything you think you know about COVID-19 will be revised or, at the very least, re-contextualized. One of the more sinister sales & marketing frauds exposed by Reference 5 will be the so-called "Delta variant."

CONCLUSION

We are rapidly approaching a worldwide condition where quarantine will be required of the "vaccinated."

Unlike Pastor Jeffress who, on national television, openly endorsed the 'wares & ways' of criminals such as NIH Director Dr. Francis Collins (whose direct connection to the Gain of Function [GOF] research at the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology was *further* confirmed by FOIA releases of the Fauci emails), you led Oral Roberts University on a path the endorses the true portent of Hosea 4:6. **You are to be congratulated.**

It is your decision (Page 2 of Attachment 1) that is the "answer to prayer," versus the vileness of an mRNA contraption that criminals and ignoramuses refer to as a "vaccine." Your decision and that of ORU is the anti-thesis of the vileness demonstrated on Exhibit 1 (overleaf).

Please remember, at the beginning EVERYTHING was COVID; at the end NOTHING is "vaccine."

Respectfully yours,

Paul V. Sheridan

Exhibit 1

Anthony Fauci's new COVID-19 guidance: 'Do what you're told'

By Ebony Bowden

November 13, 2020 | 1:27pm | Updated



<u>Memo</u>

During my mathematics/physics degree at Albany State, I lived with medical students at Albany Medical (Albany, New York). I am retired from nearly three decades of consultancy in Transportation Safety. The latter has involved regulatory affairs, accident reconstruction, injury and death causation, coroner's reports, autopsies, preparation-for and attendance-at depositions for attending physicians, etc. Although not a medical expert, my familiarity and periodic direct contact with the medical profession has spanned nearly 50 years. Regarding the rt-PCR testing fraud inflicted upon the world (and of-late the *Clear Creek Community Church* in League City, Texas) my knowledge of the **Nobel Prize winning work of Dr. Kary Mullis** is included throughout my COVID-19 letters. A sampling of the latter is available here:

http://pvsheridan.com/paulvsheridan-SARS-CoV-2-Letters-Directory/

For further detail / historical perspective on the rt-PCR testing fraud, please see Attachments 2 and 3.

Attachments / Tabs to Instant Memorandum

Letter of 27 June 2021, to Paul V. Sheridan from President William Wilson of Oral Roberts University (ORU) Page 2 Announcement from Oral Roberts University (ORU) President Dr. William Wilson: A Return to Normal Operations at ORU: Students will not be required to have a vaccination for COVID-19 in order to attend ORU this Fall. We have not been requiring, nor will we require, COVID-19 vaccinations of staff or faculty in order to serve or work at this university. Students will not be required to test for COVID-19 before entering the dorms. Masks will be optional in all campus venues and at all campus events. They will not be required anywhere on campus.	Tab 1
Transcript testimony of Dr. Reiner Fuellmich (rt-PCR testing fraud, etc.)	Tab 2
Mandatory Reporting of COVID-19 Lab Test Results: Reporting of Cycle Threshold Values (CTV): State of Florida - December 3, 2020	Tab 3

Addendum to Instant Memorandum

Lawsuit filed 19 July 2021:	
America's Frontline Doctors	Tab 4
versus	
Health and Human Services Secretary Xavier Becerra	

TAB 5

21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York Alexander Pirnie Federal Building 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

Order of Honorable Judge David N. Hurd of 14 September 2021, granting Plaintiffs' motion for Temporary Restraining Order (TRO), halting authority of New York State 'Public Health Order' scheduled for enforcement 28 September 2021, which removed religious exemption clause against mandatory vaccination of New York State health care workers, et al.

5 pages

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

Dr. A, Nurse A., Dr. C., Nurse D., Dr. F., Dr. G., Therapist I., Dr. J., Nurse J., Dr. M., Nurse N., Dr. O., Dr. P., Technologist P., Dr. S., Nurse S., and Physician Liaison X.,

Plaintiffs,

-v-

1:21-CV-1009

KATHY HOCHUL, Governor of the State of New York, in her official capacity, DR. HOWARD A. ZUCKER, Commissioner of the New York State Department of Health, in his official capacity, and LETITIA JAMES, Attorney General of the State of New York, in her official capacity,

Defendants.

DAVID N. HURD United States District Judge

ORDER

On August 26, 2021, the New York State Department of Health ("DOH") promulgated a regulation that mandates COVID-19 vaccination of health care workers. This regulation requires personnel employed at general hospitals and nursing homes to receive their first dose of a COVID-19 vaccine

by September 27, 2021, and for personnel employed at other covered entities to receive a vaccine by October 7, 2021. Unlike a previously applicable Public Health Order, this new regulation excludes any religious exemption. The named plaintiffs are seventeen medical professionals employed in the State of New York who allege that their sincere religious beliefs compel them to refuse the COVID-19 vaccines that are currently available.

On September 13, 2021, plaintiffs filed this 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging this "vaccination mandate" violates the First and Fourteenth Amendments, the Supremacy Clause, and the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution. Plaintiffs sought to proceed pseudonymously. Plaintiffs also moved for a temporary restraining order ("TRO") and a preliminary injunction that would enjoin defendants from, *inter alia*, enforcing the vaccine mandate "to the extent it categorically requires health care employers to deny or revoke religious exemptions from COVID-19 vaccination mandates."

Upon review of plaintiffs' memorandum of law and supporting documentation, it is

ORDERED that

- 1. Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order is GRANTED;
- 2. Defendants, their officers, agents, employees, attorneys and successors in office, and all other persons in active concert or participation with them,

are temporarily ENJOINED from enforcing, threatening to enforce, attempting to enforce, or otherwise requiring compliance with the vaccine mandate such that:

- (a) The vaccine mandate is suspended in operation to the extent that the DOH is barred from enforcing any requirement that employers deny religious exemptions from COVID-19 vaccination or that they revoke any exemptions employers already granted before the vaccine mandate issued;
- (b) The DOH is barred from interfering in any way with the granting of religious exemptions from COVID-19 vaccination going forward, or with the operation of exemptions already granted;
- (c) The DOH is barred from taking any action, disciplinary or otherwise, against the licensure, certification, residency, admitting privileges or other professional status or qualification of any of the plaintiffs on account of their seeking or having obtained a religious exemption from mandatory COVID-19 vaccination; and
- (d) As noted *supra*, since the August 26, 2021 regulation does not require hospital and nursing home employees to receive a vaccine until September 27, 2021, the TRO does not, as a practical matter, go into effect until that date.

- 3. Plaintiffs shall serve defendants with (1) this Order; (2) the operative complaint and supporting exhibits; and (3) the motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction no later than Thursday, September 16, 2021 at 12:00 p.m.;
- 4. Defendants are to advise the Court if they oppose plaintiffs' request for a <u>preliminary</u> injunction pending an expedited resolution of the merits of the main issue for a <u>permanent</u> injunction;
- 5. If yes, defendants shall file and serve all submissions in opposition to the plaintiffs' motion for a preliminary injunction before Wednesday, September 22, 2021 at 5:00 p.m.;
 - 6. No reply is permitted;
- 7. Defendants shall further advise the Court if they oppose plaintiffs' request to proceed pseudonymously;
- 8. If yes, defendants shall file and serve all submissions in opposition to the plaintiffs' request to proceed pseudonymously before Wednesday, September 22, 2021 at 5:00 p.m.;
 - 9. No reply is permitted; and
- 10. If yes, defendants shall SHOW CAUSE at an in-person oral argument to be held at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, September 28, 2021 at the United States Courthouse in Utica, New York why the TRO should not be converted to a

preliminary injunction in accordance with Rule 65 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

United States District Judge

Dated: September 14, 2021 at 10:00 a.m.

Utica, New York.

END OF DOCUMENT

21 September 2021

Honorable David N. Hurd United States District Court Northern District of New York 10 Broad Street Utica, NY 13501 315-793-9571

Subject: Show Cause Hearing of 28 September 2021 – Dr. A, Nurse A, et al,

versus Governor Hochul, Dr. Zucker, et al. (Case 1:21-cv-01009)

Reference 1: My Letter to Cornell University and Anthony Fauci of 27 August 2021

Reference 2: Four Subjects Presented in Reference 1

END OF DOCUMENT

27 October 2021

Dr. Joseph A. Ladapo, M.D. Florida Surgeon General Florida Health 4052 Bald Cypress Way Tallahassee, FL 32399

Subject 1: Mrs. Jummai Nache

Subject 2: New York District Court Ruling – 'Religious Exemption'

Subject 3: The Fraud of Alleged "COVID-19 Vaccine" Safety versus Liability

Immunity

Reference 1: My Letter/Enclosure to You of 23 September 2021

Reference 2: Report by Sharyl Attkisson of 10 October 2021: Amish COVID

Reference 3: Your Talk of 25 October 2021 in Clearwater, Florida