

27 October 2022

To : Ivy League Presidents and Ivy League Law School Deans

Ms. Amy Gutmann
University of Pennsylvania

Mr. Peter Salovey
Yale University

Mr. Lawrence Bacow
Harvard University

Mr. Lee Bollinger
Columbia University

Ms. Martha Pollack
*Cornell University **

Ms. Christina Hull Paxson
*Brown University **

Mr. Philip Hanlon
Dartmouth College

Mr. Christopher Eisgruber
Princeton University

Mr. Theodore W. Ruger
U of Penn Law School

Ms. Heather Gerken
Yale Law School

Mr. John F. Manning
Harvard Law School

Ms. Gillian L. L. Lester
Columbia Law School

Mr. Jens David Ohlin
Cornell Law School

Subject : Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates; Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

Reference 1 : My Letter of 5 October 2022 : Mrs. Jummai Nache and her Family *

Reference 2 : The True Character of Mr. Albert Bourla – “The Vaccine King” Pfizer Incorporated Response to Reference 1

Reference 3 : **Further Subject Confirmation** – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022

Dear Madams/Sirs :

The notion that you remain employed (or employable), given your documented inveracity, is not merely a measure of the servility of your Boards of Trustees, but is also an indication of the corruptible society that you have nurtured through your “leadership in education.” I can assure you, I am not alone in that opinion.

You are in-receipt of my letters relating to the so-called COVID-19 pandemic; some are in multiple receipts spanning three years. What was immediately clear to me, most assuredly regarding but not limited to Ms. Pollack, was that **none of you were reacting** to the “COVID-19 pandemic” . . . hardly.

The evidence is overwhelming that **all of you were/are, in-fact, enacting the COVID-19 pandemic.**

All of you were/are vested interests. You are guilty under the Subject, and are connectable to the portent of Reference 1, and much more. I am also not alone in *that* opinion. Under the most rudimentary legal tenets of Nuremberg, the portent of Reference 3 has always been known to you, or knowable by you.

* Pollack and Paxson are in-receipt; UPS 1Z17231JV70295239410 and UPS 1Z1723W70297975513.
REFERENCE 1 AVAILABLE HERE : <https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-9-5-october-2022.pdf>

REFERENCE 1 and REFERENCE 2

You have noted that Reference 1 is *addressed* to the following four individuals:

Mr. Anthony Fauci
Director – NIAID

Ms. Martha Pollack
Cornell University

Mr. Albert Bourla, CEO
Pfizer, Incorporated

Mr. Donald Trump
Former US President

References 1 and 2 both revolve around the globalist RICO criminal; the person Mr. Michael Bloomberg refers to as, “The Vaccine King”. . . Mr. Albert Bourla, CEO of Pfizer :



Recently his Royal Highness was called upon to testify under oath at the European Parliament Special Committee on COVID-19. **Mr. Bourla refused to do so**; instead dispatching his *marketing* representative Ms. Janine Small (Pages 15-18 below).

An associate of Ms. Pollack, Mr. Bourla *did* respond to Reference 1 (his cover on Page 3 below). However, Reference 1 neither discusses nor concerns a “*Ms. Paul V. Sheridan.*” **There is no such person.** If there were, she would possess the basic knowledge to forcefully refute coercion for Bourla’s mRNA needles.

REFERENCE 1 and REFERENCE 2 Conclusion



Manufacturer Report #: 202201224012

Pfizer Inc.
US Drug Safety Unit, MS712
100 Route 206 North
Peapack, NJ 07977

14-OCT-2022

Mr./Ms. Paul V Sheridan
DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, MI 48124
UNITED STATES

Patient ID: UNKNOWN
Suspect Product: BNT162B2 (BNT162B2)
Reported Event Term(s): heart failure
blood clots
mom double-jebbed in 3rd trimester

Dear Mr./Ms. Sheridan:

Thank you for taking the time to contact Pfizer Inc., regarding experience(s) that occurred while taking BNT162B2.

We would like to ask you to provide some further information on the experience you have reported and have attached a questionnaire we hope you will complete in this regard.

Pfizer Inc. is interested in learning as much as possible about adverse event(s) or potential adverse event (s) that have been reported with the use of our products and any further information you are able to provide would enable us to better evaluate this report. All such information provided to us is regarded as strictly confidential by Pfizer and by Health Authorities worldwide.

A postage-paid return envelope is enclosed for your convenience. Thank you once again for your cooperation.

Sincerely,
Safety Evaluation & Reporting
US Drug Safety Unit

If you are not the patient who was treated with above mentioned product(s) please provide

Patient's Name: _____ **Address:** _____

If available, please provide:

Drug Lot Number and Expiration Date: _____

Country of Occurrence: _____

Please provide the attached Questionnaire to your HCP for appropriate completion. If you're unable to provide it to the HCP, please complete the Questionnaire to the best of your knowledge.

PREAMBLE TO REFERENCE 3

COMRADE MARC SIEGEL : THE NKVD-STYLED PROMOTER OF “VACCINE PASSPORTS”

All eight Ivy League presidents are in-receipt (from almost two years ago) of my 6 March 2021 letter. Page 1 displayed the following Subject and Reference (screenshot) :

Subject:	Ensuring Liability Immunity for Ivy League University Presidents and Staffs – Student Signature / Consent Requirements for COVID-19 “Vaccine”
Reference:	Plan by Ivy League Universities to Make COVID-19 “Vaccinations” Mandatory

True to your pusillanimity, none of you responded. But true to your criminality, you responded on-cue by *further* enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic.” Going beyond the marketing schemes of lockdowns and facemasks, you then illegally coerced experimental mRNA needles upon students and staff. *

On Page 3 of that 6 March 2021 letter, I reviewed the Bolshevik charlatan Dr. Marc Siegel; and his self-enriching promotions of NKVD-styled **“vaccine passports.”** A screenshot from that Page 3 :

Recently Dr. Marc Siegel lauded and displayed what he called a “vaccine passport.” Apparently now required by the hapless government of . . . Iceland!? Siegel praised Iceland’s mandate which requires that anyone attempting to enter its territory must prove that they have submitted to COVID-19 “vaccination.” Siegel’s “vaccine passport” must be presented prior to entry.

But then Siegel described his real agenda, **mandatory COVID-19 “vaccination” for all**; required prior to the issuance of Soviet-styled “papers” that would be required for traveling state-to-state. Siegel babbled about an upcoming visit to his mother, currently living here in Michigan.

In December Siegel declared the EUA deployment of the COVID-19 “vaccine” as, *“A holiday gift for all.”*

The underbelly of Siegel’s most recent Fox News rant with Tucker Carlson, was that such mandates and Soviet-styled “papers” are *not* coercion. Uh huh. Instead his “vaccine passport” sputum is the result of his new label . . . hold for it . . . **“incentive.”** Siegel spewed, with a straight-face, that forcing Americans to be vaccinated in order to travel across state borders is part of his “incentive” plan.

So . . . according to Siegel, and brethren of his ilk, **not** allowing citizens to travel from state-to-state, and **not** granting them passage at state border check points unless they prove submission to “vaccination” (showing an intra-national “vaccine passport”) **is now enforceable under the new labeling: “incentive.”**

I am confident that the clerks and lawyers and judges of Nuremburg are turning in their graves . . .

After the FDA EUA fraud of 11 December 2020, Siegel gushed: **“A holiday gift for all” !?** Perhaps he would oblige Mrs. Jummai Nache regarding which holiday . . . **a gift for his friend “The Vaccine King”?**


* The needle is **not** “approved.” The needles remain categorized as experimental; a scheme to maintain **LIABILITY IMMUNITY**. Even Ivy League law school deans can decipher this RICO detail. None of you are innocent; those pushing or complicit with the “approved” claim are guilty of criminal fraud. If you doubt any of this, confer with HHS Secretary Mr. Xavier Becerra regarding his extension of the “Emergency” (therefore experimental) status on 13 October 2022.

PREAMBLE TO REFERENCE 3

COMRADE MARC SIEGEL : THE NKVD-STYLED PROMOTER OF “VACCINE PASSPORTS” *con't*

Throughout 2021, after the fraudulent FDA EUA, the Siegel circus intensified regarding “vaccine passports,” escalating from misdirection to bold-faced lies. **The Siegel charade is exactly consistent with the coercive marketing verbiage inflicted upon the people of Europe** (Reference 3, Page 13 below).

On 31 March 2021, a month after Mrs. Jummai Nache collapsed in-agony after receiving the second needle manufactured by Pfizer, Mr. Siegel offered his contribution to **enactment** of the COVID-19 “pandemic” . . . falling into lock step with Ms. Rochelle Walensky of the CDC; a “contribution” where semantic revisionism and marketing verbiage commands priority over The Whole Truth :



Presuming we were born-yesterday, Siegel began the above by exposing his compulsion for diversion :


“The word ‘passport’ puts people off. But I like the word ‘incentive.’”

Siegel avoids The Whole Truth, instead diverting to marketing verbiage. Whether discarding ‘standard art definitions’ or substituting ‘incentive’ to inflict coercion; I can assure Walensky, Siegel and all of you, that you have fooled no one. The above is a mere introduction to his “vaccine passport” crap. Next we review the Siegel lie; coyly deployed to promote “vaccine passports” as part of the mRNA needle marketing plan. *

* In Reference 1, *The Pollack Effect* Page 10 of 92, I contextualize the consequences of being “proud” versus **The Whole Truth**. In *The Bourla Effect*, Page 34 of 92, I rail against the RICO stunt of subverting the ‘standard art definition’ of the well-defined term ‘vaccine.’ But infliction of that term upon the student, in the context of COVID-19, is evidence of **your** criminal character. The Pfizer needle is not a vaccine, it contains a known-to-be harmful and deadly mRNA gene manipulation concoction **that has maimed or killed more human beings, in its initial six months, than all (true) vaccines in the previous twenty years COMBINED**.

PREAMBLE TO REFERENCE 3
COMRADE MARC SIEGEL : THE NKVD-STYLED PROMOTER OF
“VACCINE PASSPORTS” *con’t*

As a reminder, we are relating enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic,” and one of its key promotional and market *creation* terms, “vaccine passport,” to the testimony presented in Reference 3 (Page 13 specifically).



After admitting that the rt-PCR test protocol was a fraud, Siegel then unloaded his 31 March 2021 lie:

“Ya know what is accurate? A study that came out today that shows that if you have the second dose of the Pfizer or the Moderna vaccine, you’re (at) decreased risk of spreading this, or getting infected by ninety percent. And it’s probably even greater than that.”

Mealy-mouth rubbish! No government, no health agency, no Big Pharma thugs . . . no one “came out” on 31 March 2021 and issued “a study” that even attempts to connect mRNA needles and “spreading.” Known to Siegel, Pfizer announcements of the 31 March 2021 timeframe only used the word “spread” for the marketing of “new variants” and the related push to inject *“Adolescents 12-15 Years of Age.”* As Siegel also knew, the term ‘transmissibility’ was nowhere to be found :

As detailed in Reference 3 (Pages 11-20), no study has ever been done by Pfizer, or any other Big Pharma thug, at any time, to assess the post-mRNA needle effect upon virus transmissibility; *none whatsoever* !

On 31 March 2021, the same day when Siegel spewed the above “vaccine passport” *rubbish*, the World Health Organization said the exact opposite! WHO screenshot, Page 7 below:


PREAMBLE TO REFERENCE 3
COMRADE MARC SIEGEL : THE NKVD-STYLED PROMOTER OF
“VACCINE PASSPORTS” *Conclusion*

Screenshot from World Health Organization (WHO) webpage of 31 March 2021 refuting Siegel :


Safety against infection and transmission after vaccination

Available clinical trials have shown COVID-19 vaccines to be safe and highly effective at preventing severe disease. Given how new COVID-19 is, researchers are still looking into how long a vaccinated person is likely to be protected from infection, and whether vaccinated people can still transmit the virus to others. As the vaccine rollout expands, WHO will continue to monitor the data alongside regulatory authorities.

Wearing a mask can save lives,
even after you've been vaccinated.



While COVID-19 vaccines help to protect you from severe illness and death you might still get infected and spread the virus to someone else.



Wearing a mask is one way to protect yourself from the remaining risk of getting ill after vaccination and will help stop you from passing the virus on to others.

Safe and effective vaccines are making a significant contribution to preventing severe disease and death from COVID-19. As vaccines are rolling out and immunity is building, it is important to continue to follow all of the recommended measures that reduce the spread of SARS-CoV-2. This includes physically distancing yourself from others; wearing a mask, especially in crowded and poorly ventilated settings; cleaning your hands frequently; covering any cough or sneeze in your bent elbow; and opening windows when indoors.

AVAILABLE HERE: <https://www.who.int/news-room/feature-stories/detail/getting-the-covid-19-vaccine>

Repulsing “vaccine passport,” “incentive” and “study” charlatans like Dr. Marc Siegel; we now concentrate on the buffoonery and criminality of the Ivy League, its Presidents and Law School Deans . . . we turn to the instant Subject . . . **your enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic” . . . and how your persons and your actions are connectible to the horrors being endured by Mrs. Jummai Nache.**

INTERMISSION ONE

In my letter to her, copied to the rest of you, of 12 July 2022; I distinguish Ms. Pollack based on to her close affiliation with the criminal of Page 2 above, and her cooperations with the fornicating adulterous defrocked ex-Governor Andrew Cuomo . . . as a fellow accomplice to **enactment** of the COVID-19 RICO crimes, such associations indicate the true character and “leadership” of Ms. Pollack, (screenshot from Page 9) :

12 July 2022
Ms. Martha E. Pollack
Page 9 of 24

**Your Criminal Affiliation—with, Accommodations—of, and Affinity—for
Pfizer Corporation CEO Mr. Albert Bourla *con't***

Reference 2? Your affinity-for another common criminal, Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla, **is unique**. Unlike other Ivy League “presidents,” you participated directly with Bourla with formulation of the needle mandate marketing schemes. Your closed-door sessions with Mr. Bourla, as members of the New York Forward ReOpening Advisory Board, **established you as the new low for Cornell administrators**.

On the day it was updated, August 23, 2021, I made a screenshot of the Advisory Board webpage. On that date it promoted a **new bold-faced lie about the Pfizer needle**:

August 23, 2021 | 2:20 pm

COVID-19 Vaccines

On August 23, the FDA announced the full approval of the Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine for the prevention of COVID-19 disease in individuals age 16 and older. Read more.

Services
News
Government
COVID-19 Vaccine

New York Forward
Industry Guidelines
Testing
Vaccine Program
Advisory Boards
Protect Yourself

NY Forward Advisory Board

The New York Forward Reopening Advisory Board will help guide the state's reopening strategy. The advisory board will be chaired by former secretaries to the Governor Steve Cohen and Bill Mulrow and includes over 100 business, community and civic leaders from industries across the state.


If you had any competence, if you had any character, if you had any virtue, you would have immediately rejected the abject lie of its first sentence. As you and Mr. Bourla are fully aware, **the FDA did no such thing; the mRNA needles being mandated against Cornell students did not, have not, and never will be granted “full approval.”** And save your Comirnaty ruse for those born-yesterday.

12 JULY 2022 LETTER AVAILABLE HERE: <https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2pollack-1-12july2022.pdf>

The ongoing **Pollack complicity with the NYFAB lie** discussed by that final paragraph is characteristic. Throughout the “pandemic” she was directly involved in the purposeful deceit of the following webpage:

INTERMISSION ONE

COVID-19 Response



HEALTH & SAFETY

Prevention & Care

Vaccination remains the number one way to protect yourself and others against COVID-19. All members of the Cornell community are asked to:

- Masks are required in healthcare facilities and highly encouraged in all other settings.
- Practice physical distancing and minimize close contact with others, especially indoors with people who are sick, if possible.
- Wash your hands often or use an alcohol-based hand sanitizer with at least 60% alcohol if washing is not an option.
- Stay home and avoid contact with others when you are sick; call ahead before seeking medical care at Cornell Health or elsewhere.
- Avoid touching your eyes, nose, and mouth.
- Cover your cough or sneeze with a tissue, then throw the tissue in the trash.

Especially true in view of ongoing mRNA death and injury realities, and the documented success of everything from therapeutics to post-infection natural immunity, the Pollack verbiage “*vaccination remains the number one way to protect yourself,*” is not and never was merely a mistake; it’s a lie.

But the Pollack conflating of ‘**vaccine = non-transmissibility**’ began all the way back on 31 July 2020 when she published her Soviet-styled *Cornell Student Behavioral Compact*. Her NKVD Bolshevism had physically and intellectually threatened all students and staff with a University gendarme she called the *Cornell Compact Compliance Team* . . . reminiscent of the Stalinist Commissariat of Internal Affairs.

The *Compact* was an opening salvo in Pollack’s University Development accommodation of the Pfizer CEO; her *Compact* and *Compliance Team* were marketing ruses, and had a deeply negative effect on Cornell community health. **The *College Fix* article of 4 September 2021 documented the Pollack ruse.** *

All of you enacted similar subversions of basic human rights on your campuses; all while being fully aware that there was not, is not, and never will be a connection between the Bourla mRNA contraptions and non-transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2.

* In truth, it was well-known (and now provable) that without the mandating of Bourla mRNA needles, which were known to cause COVID positivity, the ongoing sham of Cornell Dashboard “COVID cases” would not be sustainable. If you doubt these various assertions, review the reality and results that **zero** mandates have had on global human health, Attachment One, Page 30 of 33 below.

PREAMBLE to Reference 3

In Reference 3 (Pages 11-20 below), we present the primary testimony of the following event :



Relative to the last sentence of Page 9, on 13 December 2021 with Mr. Stew Peters I stated :



“We are far beyond, in my opinion, and I have had a lot of legal experience in product liability . . . I have been in courtrooms all the way up to the Supreme Court of the United States; and **the issue of ‘willful misconduct’** is more than covered with evidence that is already in the public domain. In my opinion we are well into **criminal conduct**. So we have the issue of voiding the **liability immunity** provision; we are way beyond having that voided with the evidence that is already in the public domain. That is my sincere opinion.”

In “*The Trump Vaccine*” (Reference 1, Pages 54-59), I reviewed the October 2019 “**entity of excitement.**” I re-asserted my 20 November 2021 letter to former Trump defense attorney, Mr. Michael van der Veen :

“The so-called ‘COVID-19 vaccine’ is not in response to the SARS-CoV-2 virus; but the exact opposite!”

After the “entity of excitement” was sold as “The China Virus,” a key follow-up marketing ploy of Pfizer involved a lie, later fiercely promoted and enforced by Pfizer co-criminals of the Ivy League; **the lie that mRNA needles would prevent transmission of the virus . . . we now examine Reference 3.**

Reference 3

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *con't*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



Reference 3 *Conclusion*

Further Subject Confirmation – European Parliament Special Committee on the COVID-19 Pandemic, Sworn Testimony of Pfizer Marketing Executive Ms. Janine Small under Examination by Parliamentarian Mr. Rob Roos – 10 October 2022



INTERMISSION TWO

Outraged at the implications of the cowardice and criminality of Pfizer CEO Albert Bourla, who recently accepted a **one-million-dollar prize** for his **enactment** of the COVID-19 pandemic, a cowardice that led to the 10 October 2022 testimony by Pfizer marketing executive Ms. Janine Small; EU Parliamentarian Ms. Christine Anderson has submitted, to the equivalent of German “guidance” and “health authorities,” the following Parliamentary Question : *



Parliamentary question - P-003353/2022

European Parliament

Download ▼

Action Plan against Disinformation and German ‘Protect yourself and others by getting vaccinated’ campaign

12.10.2022

Priority question for written answer P-003353/2022
to the Commission
Rule 138
Christine Anderson (ID)

As part of the measures to tackle COVID-19, the Commission also touted vaccination as a promising solution. Member States adopted the same narrative and took it further by calling for social solidarity. The German Ministry of Public Health, for example, in a communication titled ‘Protect yourself and others by getting vaccinated’ said among other things that vaccination not only offers protection to the recipient but also indirectly to unvaccinated people by preventing or limiting the spread of a contagious disease.

However, this clearly does not apply to the COVID-19 vaccine, as bluntly admitted by Janine Small from Pfizer during the Special Committee on COVID-19 on 10 October 2022, when she stated that they did not even know whether it would prevent transmission before it entered the market.

1. Does the Commission know where the ‘Protect yourself and others by getting vaccinated’ statement originally came from in relation to the COVID-19 vaccine, when even the manufacturer does not make such a claim?
2. Has the Commission ever made any statements to that effect?
3. Will the Commission use the same vigour with which it called for vaccination (advertising campaigns, etc.) to combat related disinformation to make clear that the recognised COVID-19 vaccines do not prevent infection and that vaccination is not a solidarity measure to protect others?

Last updated: 18 October 2022
Legal notice - Privacy policy

It is unlikely, given their self-absorbed servile character, that the eight Boards of Trustees will uphold the duties to the alumni body by submitting a similar letter to their Ivy League presidents or law school deans.

* For details of a challenge made to Mr. Bourla regarding The Genesis Foundation, see Reference 1, Pages 28-52. Two notes (1) Dr. Simone Gold, Dr. Pierre Kory, Dr. Vladimir Zelenko, et al., have not been nominated, nor would they likely accept such an award. (2) Reference 1 is not addressed in *any* way by asinine Bourla response (Page 3 above).

REFERENCE 1 AVAILABLE HERE : <https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-9-5-october-2022.pdf>

C O N C L U S I O N

The Subject of this letter:

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

We begin with the issue of ‘Denial of Religious Exemptions’ . . . being parochial for a moment :

University Vision

Cornell aspires to be the exemplary comprehensive research university for the 21st century. Faculty, staff and students thrive at Cornell because of its unparalleled combination of quality and breadth; its open, collaborative and innovative culture; its founding commitment to diversity and inclusion; its vibrant rural and urban campuses; and its land-grant legacy of public engagement.

The notion that Ms. Martha Pollack has anything *incremental* to add to the original “founding commitment to diversity and inclusion” of Cornell University is like declaring similar contributions to the United States Constitution and human rights by Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov and his New York financier Mr. Jacob Schiff.

One of Ezra Cornell’s most adamant concerns in the 1860s was the implicit exclusionary practices of religion; such practices were never allowed to infiltrate the administration of his university. Persons of any religion, or persons not declaring a religion, were treated equally and never confronted with probing questions on their dogmas when seeking instruction at Cornell University. That diverse population was accommodated (past tense) on the campus of my alma mater, from the very start, and in all ways possible, especially when protecting basic human rights.

But turning the clock back to pre-1865 and the era of slavery that President Lincoln fought so altruistically against; now under Ms. Pollack and her enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic,” students and staff requesting accommodation, under federal law, for ‘Religious Exemption’ are scrutinized. Under the Pollack Inquisition, applicants are illegally required to submit private information about their “*religious traditions and the principles*” and “*beliefs that guide*” to name a few.

For example, as an ongoing characterization, as of this writing my polite request remains unanswered: *


REQUEST: Please direct Mr. Joel Malina, the current Cornell University Vice President for University Relations, to respond to my email of 16 June 2022, which requests basic information on Cornell University student and staff applications-for and granting-of ‘Religious Exemption’ versus the mRNA needle.

* For a detailed discussion of the internal legal context and personnel orchestrating this illegal activity, see Reference 1 Pages 13-16.

CONCLUSION

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

Shown on Page 7 above, which refuted the disinformation of comrade Marc “Vaccine Passports” Siegel, we review a *portion* of the World Health Organization (WHO) webpage, published on 31 March 2021:



Two days after the Siegel farce, on 2 April 2021, was The Whole Truth deployed to refute his “**vaccination = non-transmissibility**” farce, by Ms. Martha Pollack?



Not a chance . . . it fact she openly promoted that farcical lie.

C O N C L U S I O N

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

COVID-19 Vaccination and Fall Instruction

April 2, 2021

Dear Cornell Community,

Although we all appreciate that the COVID-19 pandemic is not over and that we must remain vigilant around public health measures to protect ourselves and our community, there is reason to be hopeful as we plan for the future. More than 90 million U.S. residents have safely received one or more doses of a currently approved COVID-19 vaccine, and recent data indicate that these vaccines not only protect those inoculated from serious infection, but also are protective for asymptomatic infection and the risk of transmission of virus to others.

With the recent announcements of expanded vaccine eligibility in New York and other states, and increasing vaccine production, it is likely that all members of our community will be able to obtain vaccination sometime this spring or summer. **Accordingly, Cornell intends to require vaccination for students returning to Ithaca, Geneva, and Cornell Tech campuses for the fall semester.** Medical and religious exemptions will be accommodated, but the expectation will be that our campuses and classrooms will overwhelmingly consist of vaccinated individuals, greatly reducing the risk of infection for all. Individuals who are not able to obtain vaccination prior to arrival for the fall semester, or whose vaccination is not recognized by New York state, will be expected to be vaccinated as soon after their arrival as possible, and Cornell is investigating ways to facilitate this process.

Given the implicit fraud, it is impossible for Pollack to speak to her enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic” without deployment of lies and disinformation. Before ending her very first paragraph Pollack is compelled to parrot a two-fold fraud that benefitted her associate, The Vaccine King, Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla.

Fraud #1 Contrary to Pollack’s garbage, there was no independent reliable publically available “recent data” *that even remotely* confirmed that mRNA needles “*protect those inoculated from serious infection.*” **NONE!** Indeed, thanks to the Judge Mark Pittman FOIA order against the FDA (and Pfizer), we now have documents that prove that Pollack’s New York ReOpening Advisory Board comrade, Pfizer CEO Mr. Albert Bourla, was aware of the exact opposite! *

* For an introduction to the Judge Pittman order of 6 January 2022, see Reference 1, Page 17 of 92.

CONCLUSION

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

Pollack Fraud #1 versus ‘the exact opposite’ ? As a parochial example, review the footnote of Page 9 above, and the following two screenshots from the *College Fix* article of 4 September 2021:

INSTALL NOW – REMOVE ALL ADS
TOTAL Adbit

TURN OFF ALL ADS
OFF

THE COLLEGE FIX

DONATE
SUBSCRIBE

ORIGINAL. STUDENT REPORTED. YOUR DAILY DOSE OF RIGHT-MINDED NEWS AND COMMENTARY FROM ACROSS THE NATION.

News · Student Reporters · Opinion
About The Fix · Write For Us · Contact

ANALYSIS HEALTHCARE

Despite 95% vaccination rate, Cornell today has five times more COVID cases than it did this time last year

JOSEPH SILVERSTEIN - CORNELL UNIVERSITY · SEPTEMBER 4, 2021

SHARE THIS ARTICLE:


ANALYSIS: *If the goal is to prevent infection, the 95 percent vaccination rate on Cornell's campus has not accomplished that*

Cornell University has aggressively pushed its students to get vaccinated, **announcing** a vaccine mandate for the 2021-22 academic year in April and frequently denying religious and medical exemptions.

As a result, 95 percent of the campus population, both students and faculty, is vaccinated.

Despite this, Cornell University has more than five times the amount of confirmed positive cases during its first week of this academic year than it did during its first week of the 2020-21 academic year, **according** to the Cornell COVID dashboard.

By the numbers, during the first week of school that ran from Aug. 27 to Sept. 2 of this academic year, Cornell reported 322 positive COVID-19 cases.



DON'T MISS A THING

Subscribe to receive email updates.

SUBMIT

MOST POPULAR FROM THE COLLEGE FIX

- 1 Students demanded professor who refused to use trigger warnings be fired. So far, he's still teaching.
SEPTEMBER 3, 2021
- 2 Despite 95% vaccination rate, Cornell today has five times more COVID cases than it did this time last year
SEPTEMBER 4, 2021
- 3 Christian students win

Cornell's draconian COVID-19 policies have failed, and now the administration's promises about a normal, mask-free academic year in return for achieving "herd immunity" have come crashing down against the cold reality we all face.

If the vaccines cannot prevent infection and return us to normalcy, what then, is the point of returning to campus at all?

Editor's note: *The author of this piece has requested and been denied a religious exemption from Cornell's vaccine mandate. He received the first dose of the Moderna vaccine in June.*

C O N C L U S I O N

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

The Pollack parroting of Fraud #2 is key; her 2 April 2021 proclamation that Cornell was to be tyrannized. That fraud verbiage in red below . . . was the globally enacted underbelly of the “COVID-19 pandemic.”

Fraud # 2: “vaccines . . . protect . . . but are also protective for the risk of transmission.”

The carnage inflicted by Fraud #2 ranges from the suicide-inducing imprisonment of our K-12 children, to Soviet-styled “Vaccine Passports,” to lockdown of the Ivy League campuses, to the quarantining of “COVID positive” students . . . **to the horrors inflicted upon Mrs. Jummai Nache and her family:**



Pollack Fraud #2 is a bold-face lie that was known or knowable **as such** by Ivy League presidents and law school deans; all giving mere lip-service to the admonition “*Never again!*” while demanding that students be indoctrinated with the central legal portent of the Medical Tribunals of Nuremberg, Germany in 1948.

CONCLUSION

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

Afflicted by University of Minnesota “leadership,” that was inspired by “COVID-19 pandemic” enactments of the Ivy League, Mrs. Jummai Nache was “informed” that her employer mandate, which demanded injections of the Pfizer mRNA needle, was grounded in her solemn duty to protect others from :

“the risk of transmission”

Alternatively, leading up to injection, Mrs. Nache was **not informed** about A – F :

- (A) That the Pfizer needle was *not* filled with a “vaccine,” but with an mRNA contraption that had never been successfully deployed into humans, and was known to be inherently harmful, and deadly.
- (B) The hidden reality that the Pfizer mRNA needle was protected from Common and Tort Law actions under the RICO provisions of the PREP Act; that she could not seek legal redress for harm caused by the defects of the Pfizer mRNA contraption.
- (C) That Pfizer and FDA had reviewed in a secret 22 October 2020 meeting, the likelihood of the specific “adverse event” (thromboembolism) that led to amputations of her legs, hands and fingers. *
- (D) Despite “independent analysis” crap from Fauci, Pfizer was secretly present at the FDA Emergency Use Authorization meeting of 10 December 2020, wherein **the ‘adverse events’ known to be connected to mRNA needles were jointly scrubbed from the discussion.**
- (E) The well-known fact that previous (true) vaccines have never, do not, and never will protect against **“the risk of transmission.”**
- (F) That what was being injected into her body, the mRNA contraption, **was never tested by Pfizer** to determine if the well-known historical reality of (E) had somehow magically been revised (?!).



* For review of secret Pfizer-FDA meeting of 22 October 2020 see Reference 1 Page 12 of 92.

CONCLUSION

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

In open court, **your best defense**, that you are innocent on the basis of being unaware or uninformed regarding various lies, from state, national and global health authorities; for example, that you were never formally and specifically told that the COVID-19 “vaccine” did not, does not, and cannot avert the spreading of the SARS-CoV-2 virus . . . **that adolescent defense would have zero credibility before a jury.**

In the context of your collective and unison enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic,” a prosecutor or plaintiff attorney (of below average skills) would have a field day with your defense case . . . were these subjects not so serious, your defense case would be laughable.

I start with your “best defense,” not merely because it is likely, but because it is despicable and fraudulent in view of the mission of the Ivy League, and your alleged role in it. This is especially egregious and painful regarding what is arguably the premier life sciences university in human history; Cornell University.

Founded by a farmer and a close friend to Abraham Lincoln, who is the historical presidential giant of human rights in these United States; a university with a world-renowned medical school and hospital . . . it grieves me deeply that the most fundamental tenets of humanity and medicine would, *in-combination*, be so blatantly, broadly and callously discarded at the behest of a global criminal enterprise, that has as its central operative the theme of **depopulation of the very humans Ezra Cornell sought to serve and uplift.**



C O N C L U S I O N

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

Before I conclude, a brief explanation is needed of my Page 26 assertion:

“Afflicted by University of Minnesota “leadership,” that was inspired by the ‘COVID-19 pandemic’ enactments of the Ivy League”

Picture the following admittedly preposterous scenario . . . all thirteen of you geniuses of the Ivy League decided that *you* were empowered as the “health authority,” that *you* decided on “guidance” (versus some United Nations Great Reset clerk) when administering for the well-being of your campus communities.

In other words, given that the educational institutions that you lead are the primary source of the best intellect that humanity has ever offered (a standard and justified Ivy League marketing ploy for global student recruitment); in that context you would not grovel before The Vaccine King when he declares :

“vaccines . . . protect . . . but are also protective for the risk of transmission.”



That is, regarding Reference 3, and in furtherance of your claimed qualification to remain employed as Ivy League presidents and law school deans, you were not going to wait years for the testimony of a Pfizer marketing dweeb named Ms. Janine Small to explain that the above transmissibility claim is not merely mistaken, but is known by you to be a dangerous ruse (Pages 15-20 above).

C O N C L U S I O N

Enactment of the COVID-19 “Pandemic” Ivy League Criminal Participations in Denial of Religious Exemptions; Lockdowns, Social Distancing, Face Mask and mRNA Mandates, and Deliberate Spreading of Blatant Disinformation that mRNA Needle Obviated Transmissibility

Given the non-occurrence of the above scenario, and before you flatter yourselves, rest assured that your enactments of the “COVID-19 pandemic” confirm that, at best, your true status comports with the phrase used by the successor to Vladimir Ilyich Ulyanov, Mr. Ioseb Besarionis dze Jughashvili:

“useful idiots”

Upcoming discussions will not be so expedient. The notion that high level Ivy League administrators, most of whom having access to the highest calibre internal medical colleges and professionals (notwithstanding Mr. Anthony Fauci) would enforce lockdowns, social distancing, face mask and mRNA needle mandates based upon **the lie that “vaccines . . . protect . . . but are also protective for the risk of transmission,”** degrades all thirteen of you to the status of common criminals; all guilty of willful misconduct.

It is not extravagant to envision future litigation wherein your role in enactment of the “COVID-19 pandemic” adjudicates upon your culpability, not limited to the injuries and injustices on the Ivy League campuses, but on the campus of the University of Minnesota as well. Again, distinguishing Ms. Martha Pollack, it is clear that both she and Weill-Cornell Medical College Dean Augustine Choi, as well as the latter’s alumnus, Mr. Anthony Fauci, **were fully aware that no testing of the mRNA needle versus transmissibility had ever been conducted by their comrade Mr. Albert Bourla.**

Again, this alone constitutes evidence of **willful misconduct** that obviates any legalistic machinations under the PREP Act. With five of the eight Ivy League universities having internal access to the highest calibre legal minds, expert resources will confirm, as can the undersigned from his direct experience, that corporations are **routinely guilty of NOT TESTING** for a known product defect if the ongoing ruse promotes product sales; and more stridently, the created test data will lead to massive punitive charges.

Specifically, the globalist on Page 2 above was/is intimately aware of the legal “benefits of non-testing. Likewise, Ms. Pollack was aware that central to a successful University Development prospecting of Pfizer was appeasement of the marketing schemes that, in fact, comprise the instant Subject.

But have any of the truths discussed above, or *ad nauseam* over the last three years, had an effect on the self-lucidity of the Ivy League presidents or their law school deans? **Not a chance.**

Cordially yours,

Paul V. Sheridan
DDM Consulting
22357 Columbia Street
Dearborn, Michigan USA
313-277-5095
pvs6@cornell.edu

enclosures

ATTACHMENT ONE

A representative photographic chronology of the horrors endured by Mrs. Jummai Nache and her family, caused by the Pfizer mRNA needle, is contained in Reference 1, Pages 79 - 90.

On 1 February 2021 Mrs. Jummai Nache was coerced into a second Pfizer mRNA needle. Prior to injection her employer, the University of Minnesota (U Minn), refused to administer a so-called COVID test. After the second mRNA needle, she experienced total physical collapse, and complete destruction of her prior 'model of health' status. After admission for emergency amputations, due to massive blood clots, U Minn **then** did an *ad hoc* COVID test and unilaterally declared that the cause of her thromboembolisms was "COVID-19." **A despicable and orchestrated medical fraud.**

On 13 October 2022 a pre-vaccination Infection Fatality Rate (IFR) study was published, by some of the most renowned experts in epidemiology, population health, and biomedical data sciences:

The following shows that medical justification for intercession into the health of Mrs. Nache was near-zero. Given the prior known and ongoing Pfizer mRNA injury and death statistics, intercession was in-fact criminal. A member of the 40-49 years age group (at the time of U Minn coercion), Mrs. Nache had a pre-vaccination IFR of not more than 0.035% (red arrow) :


medRxiv

THE PREPRINT SERVER FOR HEALTH SCIENCES



BMJ Yale

Age-stratified infection fatality rate of COVID-19 in the non-elderly informed from pre-vaccination national seroprevalence studies

Angelo Maria Pezzullo, Cathrine Axfors, Despina G. Contopoulos-Ioannidis, Alexandre Apostolatos,
 John P.A. Ioannidis

doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.10.11.22280963>

The researchers broke down the demographics into smaller buckets, showing the increase in risk amongst older populations, and conversely, how infinitesimal the risk was amongst younger age groups.

- Ages 60-69, fatality rate 0.501%, survival rate 99.499%
- Ages 50-59, fatality rate 0.129%, survival rate 99.871%
- Ages 40-49, fatality rate 0.035% survival rate 99.965%
- Ages 30-39, fatality rate 0.011%, survival rate 99.989%
- Ages 20-29, fatality rate 0.003%, survival rate 99.997%
- Ages 0-19, fatality rate 0.0003%, survival rate 99.9997%



A D D E N D U M
Ongoing Vindication of the Undersigned
12 October 2022 Press Conference by EU Parliament

“The so-called ‘COVID-19 vaccine’ is not in response to the SARS-CoV-2 virus; but the exact opposite!”

All the way back on 21 July 2020, in a letter addressed to Mr. Anthony Fauci, a letter that was received by current Cornell University employee, Ms. Martha Pollack, I questioned the person many deem to be the most hated person of our time (screenshot) :

Your May 27 Politico interview occurred a mere 5 days after the thelancet.com publication of Surgisphere’s “investigation.”



On Page 8 of that 21 July 2020 letter I preambled a question with the following Fauci quote (screenshot) : *

21 July 2020

Dr. Anthony S. Fauci
Page 8 of 36

But then, without prompting by Politico, you began promoting vaccines:

“ When we first developed a vaccine, I said it would be about a year to a year-an-a-half, and that was in January.¹ So a year from January is December. I still think that we have a good chance, if all the things fall in the right place, that we might have a vaccine that would be deployable by the end of the year, by November or December.”

Note the Footnote 1 marker . . .

* LETTER OF 21 JULY 2020 AVAILABLE HERE : <https://pvsheridan.com/sheridan2fauci-1-21july2020-spods.pdf>

A D D E N D U M
Ongoing Vindication of the Undersigned
12 October 2022 Press Conference by EU Parliament

“The so-called ‘COVID-19 vaccine’ is not in response to the SARS-CoV-2 virus; but the exact opposite!”

Footnote 1 on Page 8 of my 21 July 2020 letter (screenshot) :

¹ **January?!** Given how little was known about SARS-CoV-2, due to censorship (by the Wuhan Laboratory and those associated with it), it is astounding that you were already “develop(ing) a vaccine.” In this context please review the screenshot on Page 1 above, and Question 1 above.

Premised upon the Fauci quote of 27 May 2020 . . . already developing a vaccine?? In January 2020??

That “*Question 1 above*,” mentioned in that Footnote 1, directs the reader to the following (screenshot) :

During the US GOF moratorium, the total amount of US taxpayer funds that were deployed to the Wuhan Laboratory of Virology in China is TBD. One media report stated:

“In 2014, the NIH approved a grant to EcoHealth Alliance designated for research into ‘Understanding the Risk of Bat Coronavirus Emergence.’ The project involved collaborating with researchers at the Wuhan Institute of Virology to study coronaviruses in bats and the risk of potential transfer to humans.”

QUESTION 1

Is the essence of these media reports true; that while employed by the US taxpayer you were directly (or indirectly) connectable to the funding of research or the funding of a research facility that is connectable to the SARS-CoV-2 virus and the resulting COVID-19 pandemic?

In July 2020 I was alone; focused on the unsolicited assertion that Mr. Anthony Fauci made to Politico :

“When we first developed a vaccine . . . that was in January.”

Fauci openly stated that a COVID-19 “vaccine” is underway a mere two months after the October 31 2019 announcement of their **“entity of excitement.”** ? Back then I was alone in questioning those logistics.

I am no longer alone . . . we now review the 12 October 2022 press conference of the European Union Parliament, highlighting the inquiry recently made by Mr. Cristian-Vasile Terheş of Romania.

A D D E N D U M
Ongoing Vindication of the Undersigned
12 October 2022 Press Conference by EU Parliament

**“The so-called ‘COVID-19 vaccine’ is not in response to the SARS-CoV-2 virus;
but the exact opposite!”**



“Everyone is avoiding these, I would say, logical questions. There is another issue. A year ago, I requested them (Pfizer) to submit some details and data to me, because I wanted to have an informed decision, I will say, when I voted in favor or against the ‘Green Certificate.’ And one of the questions I asked was, to send me all trials, the tests, the clinical trials that all these medical companies had done, either in animals or in humans before they requested the marketing authorization (for the COVID vaccine).

So, in the case of Pfizer, here is something interesting. When they submitted the information and the clinical trials to Pfizer; here is all the tests, that they submitted along with their request.

They submitted a clinical trial that started on January 14, 2020!

I asked yesterday, the representative of Pfizer, and she declined to answer, how is it possible, that we, the world, found out in December 2019 that there is a COVID or coronavirus, as it is called, in China, December of 2019? On January the 11th the Chinese government released the DNA data, or a segment of it, to the public and three days later, Pfizer already started the tests for the vaccine. **How is that possible?!** She did not answer.”

I am no longer alone . . . again, my Footnote 1 from all the way back on 21 July 2020 :

¹ **January?!** Given how little was known about SARS-CoV-2, due to censorship (by the Wuhan Laboratory and those associated with it), it is astounding that you were already “develop(ing) a vaccine.” In this context please review the screenshot on Page 1 above, and Question 1 above.